Litchfield Professional Educator Growth Plan



Professional Educator Evaluation Committee Members

Nathan Cooper, Teacher, Campbell High School, LEA President
Steven Fraser, Teacher, Litchfield Middle School
Julie Heon, Director of Curriculum and Instruction, SAU 27
Tom Lecklider, Principal, Litchfield Middle School
Kathrine McGarry, Speech/Language Pathologist, Griffin Memorial School
Michael Perez, Assistant Principal, Campbell High School
Dennis Perreault, Teacher, Campbell High School
Heather Stein, Teacher, Litchfield Middle School
Scott Thompson, Principal, Griffin Memorial School

Rubric Development Subcommittees

Rubric for Instructional Specialists

Connie Faro, Assistant Principal, GMS
Kate Seaver, Reading Specialist, LMS
Chris Tate, Reading and Learning Disabilities Specialist, GMS
Kathy Tobey, Reading Teacher, LMS

Rubric for Library Media Specialists

Andrea Ange, Library Media Specialist, CHS Melina Prevel-Turmell, Library Media Specialist, GMS Amy Provencal, Library Media Specialist, LMS Martha Thayer, Assistant Principal, LMS

Rubric for School Nurses

Rachel Baker, School Nurse, CHS Kellie Chambers, School Nurse, LMS Laura Rothhaus, Principal, CHS Susan Seabrook, School Nurse, GMS

Rubric for School Counselors

Jodi Callinan, Director of Guidance, CHS
Mary Cummings, School Counselor, LMS
Lynne Ellis, School Counselor, LMS
Tom Lecklider, Principal, LMS
Jeff Parsons, School Counselor, CHS
William Raymond, School Counselor, CHS
Jocelyn Robinson, School Counselor, GMS

Rubric for Special Education Teachers

Eliot Bamford, Special Education Teacher, CHS Devin Bandurski, Director of Student Services, SAU 27 Stephanie Hoelzel-Dickey, Special Education Teacher, LMS Mary Lou Saxton, Special Education Teacher, GMS

Rubric for Teachers

Erin Brown, Teacher, CHS
Julie Cohen, Teacher, GMS

Nathan Cooper, Teacher, CHS and LEA President
Shaun Elliott, Teacher, GMS
Jesse Haarlander, Teacher, GMS
Carolyn Leite, Teacher, LMS
Audra McCollem, Teacher, LMS
Kim Nolan, Teacher, LMS
Dennis Perreault, Teacher, CHS
Laurie Rothhaus, Principal, CHS
Scott Thompson, Principal, GMS

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) Committee

Kristin Adamakos, Teacher, GMS
Susan Benoit, Teacher, GMS
Shawn Flynn, Teacher, CHS
Jessie Girvin, Teacher, LMS
Jessica Guerrette, Teacher, LMS
Tom Lecklider, Principal, LMS
Phil Martin, Teacher, CHS
Michael Perez, Assistant Principal, CHS
Tari Selig, School Psychologist, GMS

3

Table of Contents

Pa	age
Purpose6	
Introduction of the Professional Educator Growth Plan	
Summary of Litchfield School District Professional Educator Growth Model8	
Annual Contract Educators	
Continuing Contract Educators9	į
Continuing Contract Educators In Need of Assistance9	
Procedures for the Evaluation of Educators	
Formal Observation Model Procedures	0
Mini-Observation Model Procedures	
Summative Evaluation1	
Overview tables of both models	
Goal Progress/Attainment	
Student Learning Objectives	
Glossary of Terms	
<u>Appendices</u>	
Appendix A : Formal Observation Forms:	
Pre-Observation Conference Form	
Post-Observation Conference Form	
Observation Reports:	
Observation Report for Teachers	
Observation Report for Instructional Specialists	
Observation Report for Library Media Specialists	
Observation Report for School Counselors	
Observation Report for School Nurses	
Observation Report for Therapeutic Specialists	
Appendix B : Mini-Observation Model Observation Reports:	
Observation Report for Teachers	
Observation Report for Instructional Specialists	
Observation Report for Library Media Specialists	
Observation Report for School Counselors	
Observation Report for School Nurses	
Observation Report for Therapeutic Specialists	

Appendix C: Final Summative Evaluation Form

Evaluation for Teachers

Evaluation for Instructional Specialists

Evaluation for Library Media Specialists

Evaluation for School Counselors

Evaluation for School Nurses

Evaluation for Therapeutic Specialists

Appendix D: Assistance Plan and Sample Assistance Plan

Appendix E: Student Learning Objectives Development Guide

Appendix F: Educator Growth and Evaluation Rubrics (found in separate document)

Rubric for Teachers

Rubric for Instructional Specialists

Rubric for Library Media Specialists

Rubric for School Counselors

Rubric for School Nurses

Rubric for Therapeutic Specialists

Purpose

An effective system of educator evaluation accomplishes three things; it ensures quality teaching, student support, and it promotes professional learning (The Danielson Group). Improving teaching and learning begins by recognizing that teaching is an extremely complex process; however, certain aspects of education can be understood and described in terms of particular categories, indicators, and attributes of effectiveness. Within this context, educator skills will be assessed and evaluated using a variety of data sources.

The intended outcomes of the process are as follows:

- 1. To provide formal and informal opportunities for administrators and educators to objectively consider and evaluate the effectiveness and the contribution of the educator to the total school program. These evaluations give an opportunity for educators to be reflective of their effectiveness in accordance with the evaluation criteria.
- 2. To provide planning programs of in-service training for all educators and to identify areas in which educators need individual assistance and support.
- 3. To provide an objective means by which recommendations may be made to the school board regarding the employment status of an educator and to provide data for reports, studies, and recommendations as needed.

Introduction of the Professional Educator Growth Plan

For purposes of this document, the term educator shall mean classroom teachers, special educators, transition specialists, therapeutic specialists (speech pathologists and occupational therapists), school nurses, library media specialists, school counselors, and instructional specialists.

The Professional Educator Growth Plan is based on the <u>Framework for Teaching Evaluation</u> <u>Instrument</u> (2013) edition by Charlotte Danielson. The educator specific rubrics are an integral part of the process.

The goals of the Litchfield School District Professional Educator Growth Plan include promoting and assessing high standards for the performance of educators leading to, and evidenced by, improved student learning. The plan acknowledges that educators with differing levels of experience will require different guidelines and timelines in the evaluation process. Three levels of experience are included in the plan:

6

- 1. Annual Contract Educators educators employed by the district for 0-5 years. (0-3 years for those educators with NH continuing contract status from another district as defined by RSA 189:14a).
- 2. Continuing Contract Educators educators employed by the district for more than five years (3 years for those educators with NH continuing contract status from another district as defined by RSA 189:14a) who have successfully completed the Annual Contract Cycle.
- 3. Continuing Contract Educators in Need of Assistance educators in need of additional support.

It is the goal of the Professional Educator Growth Plan that all educators become the drivers of their own professional growth and development, with a focus on meeting student needs. Administrators serve as an objective partner in the process, helping educators set goals, approving professional learning activities, and reviewing outcomes.

In recognition of this, the district has adopted a Mini-Observation Model which is designed to increase administrator awareness of instructional practices, increase collegial conversations between educators and supervisors, and ultimately improve both instruction and learning outcomes in a natural and continuous process of interaction between students, educators and administrators. Mini-Observations replace the more traditional planned observation model. The Mini-Observation Model is available to annual contract educators beginning in year 3, and to continuing contract educators at the start of each year. Beginning in 2016-2017, educators may choose the Mini-Observation Model option, following the guidelines provided.

Summary of Litchfield School District Professional Educator Growth Plan

Annual Contract Educators

For Annual Contract Educators - New to the District	For Annual Contract Educators – Previous Continuing Contract in NH, new to district
Five Year Plan	Three Year Plan
 Year 1 Mentor assigned by principal and district administration Minimum of three formal observations Informal observations Summative evaluation Year 2 Mentoring continues if appropriate Minimum of two formal observations Informal observations Summative evaluation 	 Year 1 Mentor assigned by principal and district administration Minimum of three formal observations Informal observations Summative evaluation Year 2 Mentoring continues if appropriate Minimum of two formal observations Informal observations Summative evaluation
Year 3 (Annual Co	Contract, 5-year Plan) ontract, 3-Year Plan) select either model
Formal Observation Model	Mini-Observation Model
 Minimum of one formal observation annually Informal observations Summative evaluation (end of each year) 	 8-10 Mini-Observations using District Mini-Observation Form Informal observations Summative evaluation (end of each year)

- 5-Year Plan: According to RSA 189:14-a, eligible individuals may move to Continuing Contract Status for year six at this time.
- 3-Year Plan: According to RSA 189:14-a, eligible individuals may move to Continuing Contract Status for year four at this time.

Continuing Contract Educators

*Educator may select either model

Formal Observation Model	Mini-Observation Model
 Minimum of one formal observation	 5 Mini-observations per year using
in three year period Informal observations Summative evaluation (end of 3rd year)	District Mini-Observation Form Summative evaluation (end of 3rd year)

Continuing Contract – In Need of Assistance Status

An administrator **may** place an educator on an Assistance Plan for any of the following reasons:

- The Continuing Contract Educator earns an *Unsatisfactory* in one or more of the four major domains on his/her summative evaluation.
- Performs below proficient in any one or more of the same criteria on two consecutive summative evaluations.

The Assistance Plan is a specific plan that is designed to outline the educator's opportunities for growth. The assistance plan, except as otherwise provided, is to last no more than one calendar year.

- Administrator meets with educator (and other representation if requested) to discuss the concerns
- An Assistance Plan that outlines the areas of need and specifies areas of growth will be developed at this meeting (Appendix D).
- The timeline and procedures outlined on the Assistance Plan shall be followed by all parties involved.
- If the problem is resolved by completion of the Assistance Plan, then the educator is returned to Continuing Contract status. (See sample Assistance Plan, Appendix D).
- If the problem is not resolved, but significant progress has been made, as determined by the building administrator, the educator may remain on the Assistance Plan for up to an additional year.
- If the problem is not resolved and little or no progress is made under the Assistance Plan, then the educator is referred to the Superintendent for potential salary freeze, dismissal and/or non-renewal.

This action is in addition to the standard requirements of Continuing Contract Status.

9

Procedures for the Evaluation of Educators

The Professional Educator Growth Plan includes formal and informal observations, summative evaluations, and goal setting. Educators will be evaluated on the domains in the applicable rubric.

Annual contract educators and annual contract Allied Health professionals will engage in the summative evaluation process yearly. Continuing contract educators will engage in the summative evaluation process once every three years based on their Department of Education certification cycle. Allied Health professionals on continuing contract will be engaged in the summative evaluation process every three years based on year of hire.

Formal Observation Model Procedures

- A. Formal observations will include both announced and unannounced observations with at least one announced observation each cycle. Observations will be conducted as follows:
 - 1. Pre-observation conference for announced observations: one to three days prior to the observation or by mutual agreement (Appendix A).
 - 2. Observation: at least thirty minutes in length
 - 3. Post-observation conference: one to seven days following the observation (Appendix A).
 - 4. Administrator's written report (Appendix C): The administrator presents the educator with the written observation report within ten school days of the Post-observation conference. Upon receipt, the observation form must be signed and returned by the educator within ten school days. This document becomes part of the educator's personnel file. The signature does not mean the educator agrees with the evaluation but rather that s/he has seen and read it. The educator has the right to respond to the classroom observation in writing to the administrator within those ten school days of receiving the written report. This written response will be included in the personnel file with the signed observation form. At any time an educator can request an additional observation if he or she feels the previous observation was not a true reflection of his or her performance.
- B. Data collected through informal observations, which may negatively impact a summative evaluation, will be shared in writing with the teacher by the administration prior to the summative evaluation. The educator has the right to respond to the data collected in the informal observations.

Informal observations can include:

- 1. Drop-Ins
- 2. Incidental observations

Mini-Observation Model Procedures

The Mini-observation Model will provide another format for educators to get an accurate appraisal of teaching:

- A. The administrator will visit the educator's classroom for a minimum of 10 minutes.
- B. Frequency:
 - 1. Annual Contract Educators (3 or 5-Year Plan)
 - a. Launch School Year (2016-2017): 8-10 Mini-observations September-February
 - b. Years 3, 4 & 5: 8-10 Mini-observations, March-February
 - 2. Continuing Contract Educators
 - a. Launch School Year (2016-2017): 5 Mini-observations September-February
 - b. Subsequent Years (up to conclusion of 3-year cycle): 5 Mini-observations March-February
 - c. Will receive a total of 15 Mini-observations over the course of the 3-year cycle.
- C. Following the Mini-observation, the administrator will follow up with a face-to-face conversation and submit the signed and dated Mini-Observation Form to the educator within 24 hours.

Summative Evaluation

Summative evaluation will include the following:

- A compilation of both formal and informal observations, as well as a written statement of progress toward meeting goals.
- Administrator's written report (Appendix C); the administrator presents the educator with the written summative report prior to a conference. The summative report must be signed by both the administrator and educator within ten school days of the conference. This document becomes part of the educator's personnel file. The signature does not mean the educator agrees with the evaluation, but rather that s/he has seen it and read it. An educator has the right to respond to the summative report in writing to the administrator within those ten school days of having the conference. This written response will be included in the personnel file with the signed summative form.

	Annual Contract Educator	rs (5 year plan)
Years in district	Formal Observation Model	Mini- Observation Model
1	3 Formal observations per year; summative at conclusion of year	N/A
2	2 Formal observations per year; summative at conclusion of year	N/A
3* - 5	2 Formal observations per year; summative at conclusion of year	8-10 Mini-observations per year; summative at conclusion of year

^{*}At year 3, educator may choose formal observation model or mini observation model

	Annual Contract Educators (3 year plan)							
Years in district	Formal Observation Model	Mini- Observation Model						
1	3 Formal observations per year; summative at conclusion of year	N/A						
2	2 Formal observations per year; summative at conclusion of year	N/A						
3*	2 Formal observations per year; summative at conclusion of year	8-10 Mini-observations per year; summative at conclusion of year						

*At year 3, educator may choose formal observation model or mini observation model

	Continuing Contract E	ducators
Year in evaluation cycle	Formal Observation Model	Mini- Observation Model
1-3	Formal observation within 3 year cycle; summative at conclusion of 3rd year	5 Mini-observations per year; summative at conclusion of 3rd year
2 & 3 ONLY	Formal observation within 3 year cycle; summative at conclusion of 3rd year	5 Mini-observations per year; summative at conclusion of 3rd year
3 ONLY	1 Formal observation; summative at conclusion of 3rd year	8-10 Mini observations per year; summative at conclusion of 3rd year

Goal Progress and Attainment

Goal setting is an integral part of the Litchfield School District's Master Learning Plan as well as this Professional Educator Growth Plan. The goals established for one plan are intended to satisfy both the Master Learning Plan and the Professional Educator Growth Plan. Goal setting and goal review conferences will revolve around a common set of goals. Please see the Professional Development Master Plan and Learning Plan instructions for further details.

The student data goal created within the Educator's Learning Plan serves as the Educator's Student Learning Objective (SLO) within the Growth Plan. Below is an explanation of what an SLO entails and how to construct one. Additional information about how to construct an SLO may be found in the Student Learning Goals/Objectives Development Guide (Appendix E).

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

A Student Learning Objective (SLO) is a content and grade/course-specific measurable learning objective that can be used to document student learning over a defined period of time. A SLO provides a means for educators to establish learning goals for individual or groups of students, monitor students' progress toward these goals, and then evaluate the degree to which students achieve these goals. The active involvement of the educator throughout the process is a key advantage of the SLO approach over traditional test-centered approaches to accountability. It is designed to reflect and develop good instructional practices such as setting clear learning targets, differentiating instruction for students, monitoring students' progress toward these targets, and evaluating the extent to which students have met the targets.

The SLO will be created and agreed upon by the professional employee and his/her administrator during the annual goal setting meeting.

1. Student Learning Goal/Objective Statement

What is the expectation for student growth and development?

- After you have written a learning goal/objective, check every learning objective by asking:
 - o Does the learning objective describe what the curriculum intends for students to know (cognitive), think (affective) or do (behavioral)?
 - o Is the objective detailed and specific? Is it measurable?

2. Student Population

Who is included in this student learning goal/objective? Why is this target group/class selected?

- Justifies why this class and/or group was selected, as supported by data.
- Describes characteristics of student population with specific information including special needs, EL, 504, tiered students, etc. relevant to the goal objective (e.g., I have 6 English language learners, 4 special ed. Students...)
- Includes a large proportion of students including specific sub groups where appropriate

3. Learning

Which competencies/performance indicators are connected to the learning content?

• Selects applicable competencies or performance indicators from the approved Litchfield Curriculum Frameworks specific to student learning needs identified from analysis of baseline data.

4. <u>Instructional Strategies/Supports</u>

What methods will you use to accomplish this student learning goal/objective? How will progress be monitored? What professional learning/supports do you need to achieve this student learning goal/objective?

- Describes key instructional strategies that will promote student learning related to the student learning goal/objective
- States how formative assessments will be used to guide instruction and monitor progress toward the student learning goal objective
- Identifies professional learning/supports needed to attain the student learning goal/objective

5. Baseline/Trend Data

What data were reviewed to assist in establishing the student learning goal/objective?

- Identifies by specific name any baseline assessment(s) and/or performance measures used (e.g. trend data, historical data, prior grades, feedback from parents and previous teachers, observations and other baseline data available, etc.)
- Summarizes student data to demonstrate specific student need for the learning content tied to specific standards (including strengths and weakness)

6. Growth Targets

A. How will you measure progress toward your student learning goal/objective?

Assessments/Measures of Progress

- 1. Identifies by specific name the standardized and/or nonstandardized assessment(s)/measures of progress that will be used as indicators of student growth and development
- 2. Takes into consideration how all students may demonstrate application of their knowledge/skills through multiple measures including but not limited to constructed response items or performance tasks
- 3. Assessment(s) measures of progress is objective, fair and includes plans for consistent administration procedures
- 4. Identifies assessment tools including but not limited to answer keys, scoring guides and/or rubrics
- B. What targets will you establish to demonstrate attainment of your student learning goal/objective?

Growth Targets

- 1. Sets individual or differentiated growth targets for a large proportions of students that are rigorous and reflect both greater depth of knowledge and complexity of thinking required for student success
- 2. Growth targets are based on state test data, where available
- 3. Specifies end dates which includes the majority of the course length

7. Actual Outcomes

Identify the actual outcomes at the end of the instructional period for the whole class as well as for different subgroups, as appropriate.

- A. When writing a student learning outcome, keep in mind:
 - It must contain an active verb that describes an observable or identifiable action
 - It must focus on the student as the performer
 - Answer the following:
 - o What is the student expected to be able to know?
 - o What is a student expected to be able to do?
 - o How is a student expected to be able to think?
 - Include a timeframe in which the outcomes can be measured

- B. Think about how you will measure the outcome(s). Choose a method to do so.
 - What instruments will you use?
 - Quantitative or qualitative methods?
 - Survey, interviews, observations, focus groups, etc.?
 - Be sure that the student learning outcomes can be measured appropriately and adequately.

8. Comments

Provides a space for the educator to describe any variables that may impact the data.

Glossary of Terms

Annual Contract Educator: Educators employed by the district for 0-5 years. (0-3 years for those educators with NH continuing contract status from another district as defined by RSA 189:14a).

CEU: Continuing Education Unit

Continuing Contract Educator: Educators employed by the district for more than five years (3 years for those educators with NH continuing contract status from another district as defined by RSA 189:14a) who have successfully completed the Annual Contract Cycle.

Drop-In: An unannounced visit by an administrator. The administrator may or may not follow up with educator following drop-in.

Educator: Classroom teacher, special educator, transition specialists, therapeutic specialists (speech pathologists and occupational therapists), school nurses, library media specialists, school counselors, and instructional specialists.

Formal Observation Model: The three pronged process used by both administrator and educator whereas educator is evaluated over the course of educator's certification cycle.

Incidental Observations: Examples include but are not limited to- team meetings, planning times

Mini-Observation Model: Provides another format for educators to get an accurate appraisal of teaching through the use of multiple 10-minute observations over the course of the educator's certification cycle

My Learning Plan (MLP): A web-based educator evaluation and professional learning data management tool. The educator uses this to create a professional learning plan, log CEUs, and reflect on professional learning.

RSA 189 (click on the hyperlink for more information) or copy the following link into your browser: http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/nhtoc/nhtoc-xv-189.htm

Rubric: A guide listing specific criteria for grading or scoring. They are used to identify the levels of competence in each principal area.

SLO: Student Learning Objective

Summative evaluation: Evaluation which is the compilation of observations by educators

Litchfield School District Pre Conference Discussion Topics

The goal of the clinical supervision model is to conduct a pre and post conference with each staff member who is observed. The following topics should guide this process:

Teache	eacher: Date:			
Superv	visor: (Signature)	Da	ate:	
Confe	rence Date: T	ime:	Place:	
9.	Is there anything in particular you would like	te me to lo	ok for during the observation?	
8.	Is there anything else you would like to sha	re about th	is class or your teaching?	
7.	How will you know that your goal or purpo	se is met?		
6.	How will student learning be evaluated both	ı individua	lly and collectively?	
5.	5. Describe the classroom management style for this specific class/group? What unique challenges does this class present to you?			
4.	How will you differentiate instruction to me class/group?	eet the vari	ed needs of the students in the	
3.	What are the general instructional technique	es you will	use?	
	Local Instructional Unit:			
	District Frameworks:			
2.	What is its relationship to the district curricu	lum framev	works?	
1.	What is the goal or purpose of the lesson/acti	vity?		

Litchfield School District Post Conference Discussion Topics

Questions to draw from for the post-conference	Questions	to draw	from	for the	post-conference
--	-----------	---------	------	---------	-----------------

1. How di	id you feel about this lesson? Why did you feel that way?
	ras what you observed students doing or saying the same or different from what you oned them doing or saying?
3. How w	ras what you did the same or different from what you had planned to do?
•	a reflect on the goals for this lesson, what can you say about your students' ement of them?
	ything unexpected happen during this observation? How would you characterize eaction? How did you revise or adjust the lesson?
6. What, i	if anything, would you do differently?
7. Is there	e anything else you would like to share about this class or your teaching?
Conference Da	ate: Place:
Supervisor:	Date: (Signature)
Teacher:	(Signature) Date:

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

TEACHER OBSERVATION REPORT

Intended Learning	Teacher:		Date:		Time	e:		
This report must be completed following each formal classroom observation. All skills must be rated as: D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Unit/Lesson Design	Grade Level:	Subject:		No. 0	of Stu	idents	s:	
All skills must be rated as: D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U N/A	Intended Learning Goals:							
D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U N/A (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Unit/Lesson Design	This report must b	e completed follow	ing each formal classr	oom obser	vatio	n.		
P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Unit/Lesson Design 1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students 1c. Setting instructional outcomes 1d. Designing Coherent Instruction 1e. Designing Student Assessments Overall Rating Average: D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A Comments:	All skills must be r	ated as:						
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D	P (3) Proficient – B (2) Basic – imp U (1) Unsatisfacto N/A Not Applica Comments MUST	Performs at expect rovement recommony - performs beloable or Not Observe	ted performance level ended to reach profici w basic level of perfor ed	ent perforn rmance				n
Comments:	DOMAIN 1: PLANNI	ING AND PREPARAT	ION					
1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Unit/Lesson Design 1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students 1c. Setting instructional outcomes 1d. Designing Coherent Instruction 1e. Designing Student Assessments Average: D (3.51-4) □ P (2.51-3.5) □ B (1.51-2.5) □ U (1-1.5) □ N/A □ Comments:								N/A
1c. Setting instructional outcomes 1d. Designing Coherent Instruction 1e. Designing Student Assessments Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A Comments:	1a. Demonstrating I	Knowledge of Conten	t and Unit/Lesson Design					
1d. Designing Coherent Instruction 1e. Designing Student Assessments Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A Comments:	1b. Demonstrating l	Knowledge of Studen	ts.					
1e. Designing Student Assessments Average: D (3.51-4) □ P (2.51-3.5) □ B (1.51-2.5) □ U (1-1.5) □ N/A □ Comments:	1c. Setting instruction	onal outcomes						
Overall Rating Average: D (3.51-4) □ P (2.51-3.5) □ B (1.51-2.5) □ U (1-1.5) □ N/A □ Comments:	1d. Designing Cohe	rent Instruction						
D (3.51-4) □ P (2.51-3.5) □ B (1.51-2.5) □ U (1-1.5) □ N/A □ Comments:	1e. Designing Stude	ent Assessments						
	O	P (2.51-3.5) □	B (1.51-2.5) □ U					-
	Comments:							
		ext.						

DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
2a. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport					
2b. Establishing a Culture of Learning					
2c. Managing Classroom Procedures					
2d. Managing Student Behavior					
2e. Organizing Physical Space					
Overall Rating	Avera	_			_
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U (1	-1.5) L	1 1 \ / <i>P</i>	L		
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION					
	D	P	B	U	DT/A
3a. Communication with Students	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
3b. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques					
3c. Engaging Students in Learning					
3d. Using Assessment in Instruction					
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness					
5c. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness					
Overall Rating	Avera	ge: _			_
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U (1	-1.5) [] N/A			
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

	D	P	В	U	N/
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	A
4a. Reflecting on Teaching					
4b. Maintaining Accurate Records					
4c. Communicating with Families					
4f. Showing Professionalism					

Overall Rating			Average:	
D (3.51-4) □	P (2.51-3.5) □	B (1.51-2.5) □	U (1-1.5) □ N/A □	
Comments:				
Click here to enter t	ext.			
□ Continu	e regular observati			
L Follow-C	ip obsei vation and	conference to be sc	neduled by.	
Date:				
Requested by:	Teacher	Ac	lministrator	
Specific Objectives	s(s) for follow-up ol	oservation and/or p	ossible resources:	
Evaluator's			Date	
Signature:			<u> </u>	
Teacher's			Date	
Signature:			<u> </u>	
Date of post- conference:				

The teacher's signature signifies she/he has read this observation report and has discussed it with the evaluator. The teacher may write comments to attach to this report. Comments must be submitted to the evaluator within ten school days of receiving the written observation report.

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

INSTRUCTIONAL SPECIALISTS OBSERVATION REPORT

Specialist		Date:		Time	: <u> </u>		
Grade Level:	Subject:		No. o	No. of Students:			
Intended Learning Goals:							
This report must be	completed follow	ing each formal classroom o	observ	ation	l .		
All skills must be rat	ted as:						
P (3) Proficient – P B (2) Basic – impro U (1) Unsatisfactor N/A Not Applicab	Performs at expect ovement recommon y - performs belon le or Not Observe	ve expected performance level eted performance level ended to reach proficient pe ow basic level of performance ed ratings. It is intended that o	erform ce				n
DOMAIN 1: PLANNIN	G AND PREPARAT	ION					
	_		D	P	В	U	
			(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
professional developm	ent, as well as the s	trends in specialty area and chool's program and levels of					
teacher skills in delive		1					
to the setting and the to		al support program appropriate					
		es, both within and beyond the					
school and district	owicage of resource	es, both within and beyond the					
1d. Planning and eval	uating the instruction	onal support program,					
integrated with the over	•						
							<u></u>
Overall Rating			verag				-
D (3.51-4) \square	P (2.51-3.5) □	B (1.51-2.5) □ U (1-1.	.5)	N/A			

Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT					
	D	P	В	U	
20 Creating an anxironment of trust and respect establishing a culture	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/
2a. Creating an environment of trust and respect, establishing a culture for ongoing instructional improvement, and establishing clear					
procedures for teachers to gain access to instructional support					
2b. Establishing and maintaining norms of behavior for professional					
interactions					
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U (1-1)	Averag				-
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE					
	1				
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/2
3a. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and					N /.
3a. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff					N/.
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing					N/.
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement					N/A
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using					N/
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness					N/.
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and					N/.
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district					N/.
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners		(3)	(2)	(1)	
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners	(4)	(3) ge:	(2)	(1)	
lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff 3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement 3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners Overall Rating	(4)	(3) ge:	(2)	(1)	N/2

Comments:

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

			D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on pract	tice		(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	IVA
4b. Preparing and subm		reports					
4c. Coordinating work							
4f. Showing profession	nalism, including ir	ntegrity and confidentiality					
Overall Rating			Averag	ge:			_
D (3.51-4)	P (2.51-3.5) □	B (1.51-2.5) □ U	(1-1.5)	N/A			
Comments:							
Click here to enter text	t.						
☐ Follow-up Date: Requested by:	Teacher	conference to be sched	nistrator	rces:			
Evaluator's Signature:			Date : _				
Teacher's Signature:			Date :				
Date of post- conference:							

The teacher's signature signifies she/he has read this observation report and has discussed it with the evaluator. The teacher may write comments to attach to this report. Comments must be submitted to the evaluator within ten school days of receiving the written observation report.

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

LIBRARY MEDIA SPECIALIST OBSERVATION REPORT

Specialist		Date:	Time:
Grade Level:	Activity:		No. of Students:
Intended Learning Goals:			
This report must be	completed follow	ing each formal clas	sroom observation.
All skills must be ra	ted as:		
P (3) Proficient – B B (2) Basic – impr U (1) Unsatisfactor	Performs at expec ovement recomme	w basic level of perf	el cient performance level
Comments MUST be appropriate.	e included for all	ratings. It is intende	ed that data be included when
DOMAIN 1: PLANNIN	NG AND PREPARAT	ION	

	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
1a: Demonstrating knowledge of literature and current trends in					
library/media practice and information technology					
1b. Demonstrating knowledge of the school's program and student					
information needs within that program					
1c. Establishing goals for library/media program appropriate to the					
setting and students served					
1d Demonstrating knowledge of resources, within and beyond the					
school and district and to access such resources as interlibrary loan					
1e. Planning the library/media program integrated with the overall					
school program					
1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the library/media program					

Overall Rating			Average:
D (3.51-4) □	P (2.51-3.5) □	B (1.51-2.5) □	U (1-1.5) □ N/A □

Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT					
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
2a. Creating an environment of respect and rapport					
2b. Establishing a culture for investigation and love of literature					
2c. Establishing and maintaining library procedures					
2d. Managing student behavior					
2e. Organizing physical space					
Overall Rating A $D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U (1-1)$ Comments:	veraş .5) □				_
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION	D	P	Тв	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
3a. Assessing student needs					
3c. Engaging students in enjoying literature and in learning information skills					
3d. Assisting students and teachers in the use of technology in the library/media center					
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1)	veraį .5) □	,	. 🗆		_
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY					
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					
4b. Preparing and submitting reports and budgets					
4c. Communicating with the larger community	1	1	1	1	1

4e. Engaging in professional development				
4f. Showing professionalism				
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-4)	Averag 1.5) □			_
Comments:				
Click here to enter text.				
☐ Continue regular observation cycle.				
☐ Follow-up observation and conference to be schedule	d by:			
Date:				
Requested by:TeacherAdminist	rator			
Specific Objectives(s) for follow-up observation and/or possible	resour	ces:		
Evaluator's Signature:	Date : _			
Teacher's Signature:	Date :			
Date of post- conference:				

4d. Participating in the professional community

The teacher's signature signifies she/he has read this observation report and has discussed it with the evaluator. The teacher may write comments to attach to this report. Comments must be submitted to the evaluator within ten school days of receiving the written observation report.

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCHOOL COUNSELOR OBSERVATION REPORT

Counselor:		Date:		Time	e:		
School:	Activity:						
Intended Learnin Goals:	g 						
This report mu	st be completed following ea	ach formal classr	room obser	vatio	1.		
All skills must l	oe rated as:						
P (3) Proficien B (2) Basic – i U (1) Unsatisf	ished – Performs above exp nt – Performs at expected p mprovement recommended actory - performs below ba dicable or Not Observed	erformance level l to reach profici	ent perforn	nance	level	l	
Comments MU appropriate.	ST be included for all ratin	gs. It is intended	that data b	e inc	luded	whe	n
DOMAIN 1: PLA	NNING AND PREPARATION						
			D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
1a: Demonstrati	ng Knowledge of School Couns	seling Theory					
	ing Knowledge of Students						
-	Counseling Outcomes						
	ng Knowledge of Resources					<u> </u>	
	to the Development of a Cohere		1				
1f: Contributes	to Overall Program Assessment						
Overall Rating D (3.51-4)	□ P (2.51-3.5) □ B (1.51-2.5) □ U	Avera g U (1-1.5) □				-
Comments:							
Click here to en							

DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

	D	P	B	U	DT/A		
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A		
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning							
2c: Managing Routines and Procedures							
2d: Managing Student Behavior							
2e: Organizing Physical Space							
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A							
Comments:							
Click here to enter text.							
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE							
	(4)	(3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A		
3a. Communicating with Students	(.)	(0)	(=)	(1)	1 1/11		
3b: Using Appropriate Counseling Techniques							
3c: Engaging Students in the Formulation of Current and Future Plans							
3d. Assessing Student Needs							
3e. Implementing Responsive Services							
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1)	Averag				-		
Comments:							
Click here to enter text.							
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY							

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					
4b: Maintaining Accurate Records and Using Appropriate Data to Guide Practice					
4c: Communicating with Families, Staff, and Community					
4e. Growing and developing professionally					
4f. Showing professionalism					

Overall Rating			Average:	
D (3.51-4)	P (2.51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5) □	U (1-1.5) 🗆 N/A 🗆	
Comments:				
Click here to enter to	ext.			
	e regular observation and	on cycle. conference to be so	shadwlad bys	
□ Follow-u	p observation and	comerence to be so	meduled by:	
Date:				
Requested by:	Teacher	Ac	lministrator	
Specific Objectives	(s) for follow-up ob	oservation and/or p	oossible resources:	
Evaluator's Signature:			Date :	
Teacher's Signature:			Date :	
Date of post- conference:				

The teacher's signature signifies she/he has read this observation report and has discussed it with the evaluator. The teacher may write comments to attach to this report. Comments must be submitted to the evaluator within ten school days of receiving the written observation report.

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCHOOL NURSE OBSERVATION REPORT

Nurse:			Date:		Time	:		
School	:	Activity:						
Intende Goals:	ed Learning							
This r	report must be	e completed following ea	nch formal classroom o	bserv	ation	ı.		
All sk	ills must be ra	ated as:						
D (4) P (3) B (2) U (1) N/A	Proficient – Basic – impr Unsatisfacto	d – Performs above exp Performs at expected per covement recommended ry - performs below bas ble or Not Observed	erformance level to reach proficient per	form	ance	level	I	
	nents MUST l priate.	oe included for all rating	gs. It is intended that da	ata bo	e incl	uded	l whe	n
DOMA	AIN 1: PLANNI	NG AND PREPARATION						
				D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
1a: D	emonstrating m	edical knowledge and skill	in nursing techniques	(-)	(-)	(-)	(=)	
1b. D	emonstrating kr	nowledge of child and adole	scent development					
1c. Es	stablishing goal he students serv	s for the nursing program aped	propriate to the setting					
	emonstrating kration and resour	nowledge of government, co	ommunity, and district					
1e. P	Planning the nur	sing program for both individual with the regular school program of the control o						
		n to evaluate the school nur						
	all Rating (3.51-4) □	P (2.51-3.5) □ B (1		verag 5) □				_
Comm								
Click	here to enter to	ext						

DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT

Comments:
Click here to enter text.

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	(1)	N/A
2a. Creating an environment of respect rapport	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	IV/A
2b. Establishing a culture for health and wellness					
2c. Following health protocols and procedures					
2d. Supervising health associates*					
2e. Organizing physical space					
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1)	verag .5) □	,			-

DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
3a. Assessing student needs					
3b. Administering medication to students					
3c. Promoting wellness through classes or classroom visits*					
3d. Managing emergency situations					
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness					
3f. Collaborating with teachers to develop specialized educational					
programs and services for students with diverse medical needs					

Overall Rating			Average:	-
D (3.51-4) □	P (2.51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5) □	U (1-1.5) □ N/A □	
Comments:				
Click here to enter to	ext.			

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					

4b. Maintaining health records in accordant submitting reports in a timely fashion	rdance with policy a	nd		
4c. Maintaining an effective data mana	agament system			
4d. Participating in a professional com				
4e. Engaging in professional development				
	ent			
4f. Showing professionalism				
Overall Rating		Average:		
D (3.51-4) \Box P (2.51-3.5) \Box	B (1.51-2.5) □	U (1-1.5) 🗆 N	/A 🗆	
Comments:				
Click here to enter text.				\Box
☐ Continue regular observation ☐ Follow-up observation and of the continue regular observation and ob	conference to be scl	ministrator	s:	
Evaluator's Signature:		Date :		
Teacher's Signature:		Date :		
Date of post-conference:				

The teacher's signature signifies she/he has read this observation report and has discussed it with the evaluator. The teacher may write comments to attach to this report. Comments must be submitted to the evaluator within ten school days of receiving the written observation report.

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

THERAPEUTIC SPECIALIST OBSERVATION REPORT

Therapist:		Date:		Time	e:		
School:	Activity:						
Intended Learning Goals:							
This report must be co	mpleted following ea	nch formal classr	oom obse	rvatio	1.		
All skills must be rated	l as:						
P (3) Proficient – Per B (2) Basic – improve	Performs above expr forms at expected poement recommended performs below bases	erformance level to reach profici	ent perfoi	mance	level		
N/A Not Applicable	or Not Observed						
Comments MUST be in appropriate.	ncluded for all rating	gs. It is intended	that data	be inc	luded	whe	n
• •	ncluded for all rating	gs. It is intended					n
Comments MUST be in appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING	ncluded for all rating		D (4)	P	B (2)	whe	n N/A
Comments MUST be in appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING A	ncluded for all rating AND PREPARATION vledge and skill in the sp		D (4)	P	В	U	
Comments MUST be is appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING. 1a: Demonstrating know the relevant certificate or 1b. Establishing goals for	AND PREPARATION vledge and skill in the sp	pecialist therapy; ho	D (4)	P	В	U	
Comments MUST be it appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING A service of the relevant certificate or 1b. Establishing goals for and the students served 1c. Demonstrating known that is the students served 1c. Demonstrating known that	AND PREPARATION vledge and skill in the specificense rether the therapy program a	pecialist therapy; ho	D (4)	P	В	U	
Comments MUST be in appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING And the relevant certificate or 1b. Establishing goals for and the students served 1c. Demonstrating known and guidelines	AND PREPARATION vledge and skill in the specificense r the therapy program appliedge of district, state a	pecialist therapy; ho ppropriate to the se nd federal regulation	olding tting	P	В	U	
Comments MUST be it appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING A service of the relevant certificate or 1b. Establishing goals for and the students served 1c. Demonstrating known that is the students served 1c. Demonstrating known that	AND PREPARATION vledge and skill in the specificense r the therapy program appliedge of district, state a	pecialist therapy; ho ppropriate to the se nd federal regulation	olding tting	P	В	U	
Comments MUST be is appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING A stable of the relevant certificate or 1b. Establishing goals for and the students served 1c. Demonstrating known and guidelines 1d. Demonstrating known appropriate.	AND PREPARATION vledge and skill in the spilicense r the therapy program appledge of district, state a ledge of resources, both	pecialist therapy; ho ppropriate to the se and federal regulation within and beyond	on D (4)	P	В	U	

D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U (1-1.5) \square N/A \square

Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT					
	D	P (2)	B (2)	U	■T/A
2a. Establishing rapport with students	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
2b. Organizing time effectively	+				
2c. Establishing and Maintaining clear procedures for referrals	+				
2d. Establishing standards of conduct in the treatment center	+				
2e. Organizing physical space for testing of students and providing therapy					
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1)	Averag 1.5) □				-
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
2 D 1' to referred analystic a student mode	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
3a. Responding to referrals and evaluating student needs 3b. Developing and implementing treatment plans to maximize					
students' success 3c. Communicating with Families	+		-	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	
3d. Collecting information; Writing reports			+ +	 	
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness	+		+ +		
	Averag				_
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY					
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice	(• /	(0)	(~)	(*/	177-
4b. Collaborating with teachers and administrators					

Comments:

4c. Maintaining an effect	ive data managen	nent system					
4g. Supervising therapeu	tic assistants						
Overall Rating			A	verag	ge:		_
D (3.51-4) \square P ((2.51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5) □	U (1-1.	.5) 🗆	N/A		
Comments							
Click here to enter text.							
CHER HEIE to CHIEF TEXT.							
□ Continue reg	gular observatio	on cycle.					
-		conference to be scl	heduled	by:			
•				•			
Date:							
Requested by:	Teacher	Ad	ministra	ator			
Specific Objectives(s) for	or follow-up ob	servation and/or po	ossible r	esour	ces:		
			_				
Evaluator's Signature:			D	ate •			
Signature.				• –			
Teacher's			D	ate			
Signature:				:			
Data of post							
Date of post- conference:							

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT – MINI- OBSERVATION FORM Teacher

Teacher:	Date:	Subject/Grade:					
School:	Time:	Evaluator:					
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING	S AND PREPARATION		D	P	В	U	N/A
1a. Demonstrating Knowled	lge of Content and Unit/Lesson Design						
1b. Demonstrating Knowled	lge of Students						
1c. Setting instructional outc	comes						
1d. Designing Coherent Inst	truction						
1e. Designing Student Asses	ssments						
COMMENTS:							
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONN			D	P	В	U	N/A
2a. Creating an Environme							
2b. Establishing a Culture of							
2c. Managing Classroom P							
2d. Managing Student Beha							
2d. Managing Student Beh							
2e. Organizing Physical Sp COMMENTS:	pace						
COMMENTS:							
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY	OF SERVICE		D	P	В	U	N/A
3a. Communication with S							11/11
3b. Using Questioning and							
3c. Engaging Students in L	-						
3d. Using Assessment in Ir							
3e. Demonstrating Flexibil							
COMMENTS:		<u>'</u>					
	ONAL RESPONSIBILITY		D	P	В	U	N/A
4b. Maintaining Accurate R							
4f. Showing Professionalis	sm .						
COMMENTS:							

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:		
TEACHER COMMENTS:		
Signatures:		
Educator:	Date:	
Administrator:	Date:	

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT – MINI- OBSERVATION FORM Instructional Specialists

Specialist:	Date:	Subject/Grade:				
School:	Time:	Evaluate	or:			
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PI		D	P	В	U	N/A
1a: Demonstrating knowledge of cur development, as well as the school's the program	rrent trends in specialty area and professional program and levels of teacher skills in deliver	ing				
and the teachers served	ctional support program appropriate to the setti					
1c. Demonstrating knowledge of res district	ources, both within and beyond the school and					
1d. Planning and evaluating the instruction overall school program	ructional support program, integrated with the					
COMMENTS:						
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT		D	P	В	U	N/A
	and respect, establishing a culture for ongoing lishing clear procedures for teachers to gain ac					
2b. Establishing and maintaining not	rms of behavior for professional interactions					
COMMENTS:		Ţ				T
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SER		D	P	В	U	N/A
_	ne design of instructional units and lessons, nal skills, and sharing expertise with staff					
3b. Locating resources for teachers	to support instructional improvement					
3c. Demonstrating flexibility and res						
3d. Working with staff to analyze as students learning	sessment results and using assessment to mo	nitor				
	asurable goals aligned to district outcomes, aching strategies, and engaging students as a	ctive				
COMMENTS:						

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY		D	P	В	U	N/A
4b. Preparing and submitting budgets and reports						
4c. Coordinating work with other instructional specialists						
4f. Showing professionalism, including integrity and confidentiality						
COMMENTS:						
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:						
TEACHER COMMENTS:						
Signatures:						
Educator:	Date:					
Administrator:	Date:					_

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT – MINI-OBSERVATION FORM Library Media Specialist

Specialist Date:	Grade:				
School: Time:	Evaluator:				
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION	D	P	В	U	N/A
1a: Demonstrating knowledge of literature and current trends in library/media practice and information technology					
1b. Demonstrating knowledge of the school's program and student information needs within that program					
1c. Establishing goals for library/media program appropriate to the setting and students served					
1d Demonstrating knowledge of resources, within and beyond the school and district and to access such resources as interlibrary loan					
COMMENTS:	<u> </u>				
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT	D	P	В	U	N/A
2a. Creating an environment of respect and rapport					
2b. Establishing a culture for investigation and love of literature					
2c. Establishing and maintaining library procedures					
2d. Managing student behavior					
2e. Organizing physical space					
COMMENTS:		T	1		
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE	D	P	В	U	N/A
3a. Assessing student needs					
3b. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons					
3c. Engaging students in enjoying literature and in learning information skills					
3d. Assisting students and teachers in the use of technology in the library/media center					
3e. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness					
COMMENTS:					
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY	D	P	В	U	N/A
4d. Participating in the professional community					
4f. Showing professionalism					
COMMENTS:					

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:	
TEACHER COMMENTS:	
Signatures:	
Educator:	Date:
Administrator:	Date:

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT – MINI-OBSERVATION FORM School Counselor

Counselor: Date:	Activity:					
School: Time:	Evaluator:					
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION		D	P	В	U	N/A
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of School Counseling Theo	ry					
1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students						
1c: Establishing Counseling Outcomes						
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources						
1e: Contributes to the Development of a Coherent Counsel	ing Plan					
1f: Contributes to Overall Program Assessment						
COMMENTS:						
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT		D	P	В	U	N/A
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport						
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning						
2c: Managing Routines and Procedures						
2d: Managing Student Behavior						
2e: Organizing Physical Space						
COMMENTS:						
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE		D	P	В	U	N/A
3a. Communicating with Students						
3b: Using Appropriate Counseling Techniques						
3c: Engaging Students in the Formulation of Current an	d Future Plans					
3d. Assessing Student Needs						
3e. Implementing Responsive Services						
COMMENTS:						
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY		D	P	В	U	N/A
4b: Maintaining Accurate Records and Using Appropria	te Data to Guide Practice					
4c: Communicating with Families, Staff, and Communi	ty					
4f. Showing professionalism						
COMMENTS:						-

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:		
TEACHER COMMENTS:		
Signatures:		
Educator:	Date:	
Administrator:	Date:	

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT – MINI-OBSERVATION FORM School Nurse

Nurse: Date:	Activity:				
School: Time: Evaluator:					
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION	D	P	В	U	N/A
1a: Demonstrating medical knowledge and skill in nursing techniques					
1b. Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development					
1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the served	ne students				
1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulat resources					
1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of s integrated with the regular school program	tudents,				
COMMENTS:					
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT	D	P	В	U	N/A
2a. Creating an environment of respect rapport					
2b. Establishing a culture for health and wellness					
2c. Following health protocols and procedures					
2d. Supervising health associates*					
2e. Organizing physical space					
COMMENTS:					
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE	D	P	В	U	N/A
3a. Assessing student needs					
3b. Administering medication to students					
3c. Promoting wellness through classes or classroom visits*					
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness					
COMMENTS:	<u> </u>	l			
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY	D	P	В	U	N/A
4b. Maintaining health records in accordance with policy and submitting repo					
4c. Maintaining an effective data management system					
4f. Showing professionalism					
COMMENTS:					
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:					

TEACHER COMMENTS:	
Signatures:	
Educator:	Date:
Administrator:	Date:

LITCHFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT – MINI- OBSERVATION FORM Therapeutic Specialists

Therapist:	Date:	Acti vity:				
School:	Time:	Evaluator:				
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PL	REPARATION	D	P	В	U	N/A
certificate or license	kill in the specialist therapy; holding the rel					
1b. Establishing goals for the therapy served	program appropriate to the setting and the	students				
1c. Demonstrating knowledge of dis	trict, state and federal regulation and guide	lines				
1d. Demonstrating knowledge of res district.	sources, both within and beyond the school	and				
1e. Planning the therapy program, in the needs of individual students	ntegrated with the overall school program, to	o meet				
COMMENTS:						
			1	ı	1	I
DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT		D	P	В	U	N/A
2a. Establishing rapport with studen	ts					
2b. Organizing time effectively						
2c. Establishing and Maintaining cle	ear procedures for referrals					
2d. Establishing standards of conduc	ct in the treatment center					
	sting of students and providing therapy					
COMMENTS:						
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SER	RVICE	D	P	В	U	N/A
3a. Responding to referrals and eval	uating student needs					
3b. Developing and implementing tr	reatment plans to maximize students' success	SS				
3c. Communicating with Families						
3d. Collecting information; Writing 1	reports					
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and R	esponsiveness					
COMMENTS:						

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY	D	P	В	U	N/A
4b. Collaborating with teachers and administrators					
4c. Maintaining an effective data management system					
4f. Showing professionalism, including integrity, advocacy, and maintaining confidentiality					
4g. Supervising therapeutic assistants					
COMMENTS:					
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:					
TEACHER COMMENTS:					
Signatures:					
Educator:	Date:				
Administrator: Da	nte:				

TEACHER SUMMATIVE EVALUATION REPORT

Teache	r:		Date:	Time:				
Grade I	Level:	Subject:		No. o	of Stu	idents	s:	
Intende Goals:	ed Learning							
This r	eport must be	completed follow	ving each formal classr	oom observ	vatio	n.		
All sk	ills must be rat	ted as:						
D (4) P (3) B (2) U (1) N/A	Proficient – F Basic – impro Unsatisfactor	Performs at exped ovement recomm	ve expected performan eted performance level ended to reach proficion ow basic level of perfor ed	ent perforn	ance	e leve	l	
	nents MUST be priate.	e included for all	ratings. It is intended	that data b	e inc	ludeo	d whe	n
D OMA	IN 1: PLANNIN	G AND PREPARAT	TION					
				D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
1a. D	emonstrating Knov	wledge of Content and	d Unit/Lesson Design	(-)	(0)	(=)	(1)	1 1/12
1b. D	emonstrating Kno	wledge of Students	•					
1c. Se	etting instructional	outcomes						
1d. D	esigning Coherent	Instruction						
1e. D	esigning Student A	Assessments						
Overa	ll Rating			Averag	ge:			_
D	(3.51-4)	P (2.51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5)	U (1-1.5)		N	/ A []
Comm	nents:							
Click l	here to enter tex	xt.						
D OMA	IN 2: CLASSRO	OM ENVIRONMEN	VT					
				D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A

2a. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport		- 1				
2b. Establishing a Culture of Learning						
2c. Managing Classroom Procedures						
2d. Managing Student Behavior						
2e. Organizing Physical Space						
Overall Rating		Avera	ige: _			_
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5)	□ U	(1-1.5	5) [l N	I/ A [
Comments:						
Click here to enter text.						
DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION						
		D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
3a. Communication with Students		(-)	(0)	(-)	(-)	1,112
3b. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques		1				
3c. Engaging Students in Learning						
3d. Using Assessment in Instruction						
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness						
Overall Rating		Avera	ıge: _			_
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5)	□ U	(1-1.5	5) [l N	I/A [
Comments:						
Click here to enter text.						
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY						
		D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/ A
4a. Reflecting on Teaching						
4b. Maintaining Accurate Records						
4c. Communicating with Families						
4d. Participating in the Professional Community					_	

4f. Showing Professionalism					
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.	51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5)		:: N/A	
Comments: Click here to enter text.					
Continue regula Follow-up obser Date: Requested by: Specific Objectives(s) for f	vation and co	nference to be sch	Administr		
Evaluator's Signature:			Date :		
Teacher's Signature:			Date :		
Date of post-conference:					

INSTRUCTIONAL SPECIALISTS SUMMATIVE EVALUATION REPORT

Specialist Date:	Time:				
Grade Level: Subject:	No. c	No. of Students:			
ntended Learning Goals:					
This report must be completed following each formal classroom	observ	ation	ı.		
All skills must be rated as:					
D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient per U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed	erform	ance	level	l	
Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that of	data b	e incl	uded	whe	n
	l n	P	R	T T	
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION 1a: Demonstrating knowledge of current trends in specialty area and professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher					N/A
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION 1a: Demonstrating knowledge of current trends in specialty area and professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher skills in delivering the program 1b. Establishing goals for the instructional support program appropriate to the setting and the teachers served					N/A
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION 1a: Demonstrating knowledge of current trends in specialty area and professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher skills in delivering the program 1b. Establishing goals for the instructional support program appropriate to the					N/A
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION 1a: Demonstrating knowledge of current trends in specialty area and professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher skills in delivering the program 1b. Establishing goals for the instructional support program appropriate to the setting and the teachers served 1c. Demonstrating knowledge of resources, both within and beyond the school					N/A
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION 1a: Demonstrating knowledge of current trends in specialty area and professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher skills in delivering the program 1b. Establishing goals for the instructional support program appropriate to the setting and the teachers served 1c. Demonstrating knowledge of resources, both within and beyond the school and district 1d. Planning and evaluating the instructional support program, integrated with the overall school program		(3)	(2)		N/A
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION 1a: Demonstrating knowledge of current trends in specialty area and professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher skills in delivering the program 1b. Establishing goals for the instructional support program appropriate to the setting and the teachers served 1c. Demonstrating knowledge of resources, both within and beyond the school and district 1d. Planning and evaluating the instructional support program, integrated with the overall school program	(4)	(3)	(2)		-
professional development, as well as the school's program and levels of teacher skills in delivering the program 1b. Establishing goals for the instructional support program appropriate to the setting and the teachers served 1c. Demonstrating knowledge of resources, both within and beyond the school and district 1d. Planning and evaluating the instructional support program, integrated with the overall school program Overall Rating	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	-

DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
2a. Creating an environment of trust and respect, establishing a culture for					
ongoing instructional improvement, and establishing clear procedures for teachers to gain access to instructional support					
2b. Establishing and maintaining norms of behavior for professional					
interactions					
Overall Rating	Averag	e:			
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U					
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
3a. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons, engaging teachers in new instructional skills, and sharing expertise with staff					
3b. Locating resources for teachers to support instructional improvement					
3c. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness					
3d. Working with staff to analyze assessment results and using assessment to					
monitor students learning	_				
monitor students learning 3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district					
3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district					
3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners	Averag	ge:			
3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners					 -]
3e. Designing lessons with clear measurable goals aligned to district outcomes, utilizing a variety of materials and teaching strategies, and engaging students as active learners Overall Rating					-]

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					
4b. Preparing and submitting budgets and reports					
4c. Coordinating work with other instructional specialists					
4d. Participating in a Professional Community					

4e. Engaging in Professio 4f. Showing professional	•		nd confid	entiality				
Overall Rating D (3.51-4)	P (2.51-3.5)		B (1.5	1-2.5)		verag (1-1.5)		 _
Click here to enter text	<u> </u>							
		and cor	nference		Adı	ninist		
Evaluator's Signature:					D	ate : _		
Teacher's Signature:					D	ate :		
Date of post- conference:								

LIBRARY MEDIA SPECIALIST SUMMATIVE EVALUATION REPORT

Specialist	Date: Time:					
Grade Level: Activity:		No.	of Stu	idents	:	
ntended Learning Goals:						
This report must be completed following o	each formal classroom o	obser	vatio	n.		
All skills must be rated as:						
D (4) Distinguished – Performs above ex P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected p B (2) Basic – improvement recommende U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below band N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed	performance level d to reach proficient pe	erforn	nanco	e leve	I	
Comments MUST be included for all ration appropriate.	ngs. It is intended that d	lata k	e inc	luded	l whe	n
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION						
		D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
1a: Demonstrating knowledge of literature and curre practice and information technology	ent trends in library/media	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	IV/A
1b. Demonstrating knowledge of the school's programeeds within that program	ram and student information					
1c. Establishing goals for library/media program ap students served	propriate to the setting and					
1d Demonstrating knowledge of resources, within	•					
district and to access such resources as interlibrary l 1e. Planning the library/media program integrated v program						
1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the library/media	program					
Overall Rating	A	veraș	ge:			_
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square	B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) 🔲	N	Α Γ]
		•				
Comments: Click here to enter text.		ĺ				

DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
2a. Creating an environment of respect and rapport					
2b. Establishing a culture for investigation and love of literature					
2c. Establishing and maintaining library procedures					
2d. Managing student behavior					
2e. Organizing physical space					
Overall Rating D (3.51-4)	vera; 1-1.5)			_	_
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION	l n	p	R	T TT	<u> </u>
DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION 3a. Assessing student needs	_	_			N/A
	_	_			N/A
 3a. Assessing student needs 3b. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons 3c. Engaging students in enjoying literature and in learning information skills 	_	_			N/A
3a. Assessing student needs 3b. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons	_	_			N/A
 3a. Assessing student needs 3b. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons 3c. Engaging students in enjoying literature and in learning information skills 3d. Assisting students and teachers in the use of technology in the library/media 	_	_			N/A
 3a. Assessing student needs 3b. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons 3c. Engaging students in enjoying literature and in learning information skills 3d. Assisting students and teachers in the use of technology in the library/media center 3e. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness 	(4)	ge:	(2)	(1)	
3a. Assessing student needs 3b. Collaborating with teachers in the design of instructional units and lessons 3c. Engaging students in enjoying literature and in learning information skills 3d. Assisting students and teachers in the use of technology in the library/media center 3e. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness Overall Rating	(4)	ge:	(2)	(1)	

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					
4b. Preparing and submitting reports and budgets					
4c. Communicating with the larger community					
4d. Participating in the professional community					

4e. Engaging in profession	*					
4f. Showing professionali	sm					
Comments: Click here to enter text		B (1.51-2.5)	Averag U (1-1.5)			
	egular observation					
Date:	observation and co	nference to be sched	luled by:			
Requested by:	Teach	er	_Administi	rator		
Specific Objectives(s)	for follow-up obse	rvation and/or possi	ible resour	ces:		
Evaluator's			Date			
Signature:			_ : _			
Teacher's Signature:			Date :			
Date of post- conference:						

SCHOOL COUNSELOR SUMMATIVE EVALUATION REPORT

Counsel	lor:		Date:		Time	: <u> </u>		
School:		Activity:						
Intended Goals:	d Learning							
This re	eport must be compl	eted following ea	nch formal class	room obser	vatior	1.		
All skil	lls must be rated as:							
P (3) B (2) U (1)	Distinguished – Per Proficient – Perform Basic – improvement Unsatisfactory - per Not Applicable or N	ns at expected pe nt recommended rforms below bas	erformance level to reach profici	l ient perforn	nance	level	l	
~	ants MIIST ha inclu	ded for all rating	gs. It is intended	that data b	e incl	uded	whe	n
		ded for an rading						
approp								
approp	oriate.			D	P	В	U	N/A
арргор Домаі	oriate.	PREPARATION					U (1)	N/A
DOMAI 1a: De	oriate. IN 1: PLANNING AND	PREPARATION of School Counseling		D	P	В		N/A
DOMAI 1a: Dei 1b. De	oriate. IN 1: PLANNING AND monstrating Knowledge of	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students		D	P	В		N/A
DOMAI 1a: Dei 1b. Dei 1c: Est	oriate. IN 1: PLANNING AND monstrating Knowledge of	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students tcomes		D	P	В		N/A
DOMAI 1a: Dei 1b. Dei 1c: Est 1d: Der	monstrating Knowledge commonstrating Knowledge cablishing Counseling Ou	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students tcomes of Resources	Theory	D	P	В		N/A
DOMAI 1a: Det 1b. Det 1c: Est 1d: Der 1e: Co	monstrating Knowledge cablishing Counseling Oumonstrating Knowledge cablishing Knowledge of the counseling Oumonstrating Oumonstrating Counseling Oumonstrating Oumonstrat	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students tcomes of Resources ment of a Coherent Co	Theory	D	P	В		N/A
1a: Del 1b. Del 1c: Est 1d: Der 1e: Col 1f: Col	monstrating Knowledge cablishing Counseling Outputs of the Development	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students tcomes of Resources ment of a Coherent Co	Theory	D	P (3)	B (2)	(1)	
1a: Der 1b. Der 1c: Est 1d: Der 1e: Cor Overal	monstrating Knowledge of the monstration of the	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students tcomes f Resources ment of a Coherent Coram Assessment	Theory ounseling Plan	Averag	P (3)	B (2)	(1)	
1a: Der 1b. Der 1c: Est 1d: Der 1e: Cor Overal	monstrating Knowledge of the monstrating Know	PREPARATION of School Counseling of Students tcomes f Resources ment of a Coherent Coram Assessment	Theory ounseling Plan	Averag	P (3)	B (2)	(1)	

DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

	1 B				
	(4)	P (3)	B (2)	(1)	N/A
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport	(-)	(0)	(-)	(-)	1 1/12
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning					
2c: Managing Routines and Procedures					
2d: Managing Student Behavior					
2e: Organizing Physical Space					
	1	I	1	1	
Overall Rating	Averag	ge:			_
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U	(1-1.5)		N /.	А С]
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE					
	D	P	B	U	NT/A
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
3a. Communicating with Students					
3b: Using Appropriate Counseling Techniques					
3c: Engaging Students in the Formulation of Current and Future Plans					
3d. Assessing Student Needs					
3e. Implementing Responsive Services					
Overall Rating	Averag	ge:			-
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U	(1-1.5)		N /.	А []
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY					
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice4b: Maintaining Accurate Records and Using Appropriate Data to Guide Practice					
4c: Communicating with Families, Staff, and Community					
4d. Participating in the Professional Community					
4e. Growing and developing professionally					
4f. Showing professionalism					
			L		

Overall Rating			Average:	
D (3.51-4)	P (2.51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5)	U (1-1.5)	N/A
Comments:				
Click here to enter text	•			
	egular observation observation and co	cycle. nference to be sched	luled by:	
Requested by:	Teach	er	_Administrator	
Specific Objectives(s)	for follow-up obser	rvation and/or possi	ible resources:	
Evaluator's Signature:			Date :	
Teacher's Signature:			Date :	
Date of post-conference:				

SCHOOL NURSE SUMMATIVE EVALUATION REPORT

the tended Learning loads: This report must be completed following each formal classroom observation. All skills must be rated as: D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level J (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance W/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U N/A (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A	Nurse:	Date:		Time	:		
Coals: Chis report must be completed following each formal classroom observation. All skills must be rated as: D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level B (2) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U N/A 1a: Demonstrating medical knowledge and skill in nursing techniques D D D 1b. Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development D D D 1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the students served D D D D 1d. Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation D D D D 1c. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program D D D D D D 1d. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program D D D D D D D D D	School:	Activity:					
All skills must be rated as: D (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level D (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level D (3) Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level D (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A 1a: Demonstrating medical knowledge and skill in nursing techniques 1b. Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development 1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the students served 1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources 1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program D (3.51-4)	ntende Goals:	d Learning					
O (4) Distinguished – Performs above expected performance level P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level U (1) Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance N/A Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A 1a: Demonstrating medical knowledge and skill in nursing techniques 1b. Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development 1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the students served 1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources 1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program Overall Rating Average: D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A	This r	eport must be completed following each formal classroom ol	bserv	ation	l .		
P (3) Proficient – Performs at expected performance level Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient performance level Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance NA Not Applicable or Not Observed Comments MUST be included for all ratings. It is intended that data be included when appropriate. DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D P B U (4) (3) (2) (1) N/A	All ski	lls must be rated as:					
DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION D	D (4) P (3) B (2) U (1) N/A	Proficient – Performs at expected performance level Basic – improvement recommended to reach proficient per Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of performance	form	ance	level	I	
D P B U N/A		G	ata bo	e incl	uded	l whe	n
1a: Demonstrating medical knowledge and skill in nursing techniques 1b. Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development 1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the students served 1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources 1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 1g. Developing a P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A Comments:	Dома	IN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION					
1b. Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development 1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the students served 1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources 1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 1f. Developing a P (2.51-3.5)							N/A
1c. Establishing goals for the nursing program appropriate to the setting and the students served 1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources 1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 17. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 18. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 21. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 22. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 23. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 24. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 25. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 26. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 27. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 28. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 28. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 29. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 29. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 20. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program the school nursing program to the school nursing program t	1a: De	monstrating medical knowledge and skill in nursing techniques			<u> </u>		
students served Id Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources Ie. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program If. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program Overall Rating Average: D(3.51-4) P(2.51-3.5) B(1.51-2.5) U(1-1.5) N/A Comments:							
1d Demonstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation and resources 1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 17. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 18. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 11. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 12. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 13. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 14. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 15. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 16. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 17. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 18. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 19. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 10. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program to th							
1e. Planning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of students, integrated with the regular school program 1f. Developing a plan to evaluate the school nursing program 2	1d De	monstrating knowledge of government, community, and district regulation					
Overall Rating Average: D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A Comments: Image:	1e. Pl	anning the nursing program for both individual students and groups of					
D (3.51-4) ☐ P (2.51-3.5) ☐ B (1.51-2.5) ☐ U (1-1.5) ☐ N/A ☐ Comments:	1f. De	veloping a plan to evaluate the school nursing program					
Comments:				_			- 1
			-1.3)		14/	А∟	_
TICK here to enter text		ents: here to enter text.					

DOMAIN 2: ENVIRONMENT

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A	
2a. Creating an environment of respect rapport	(4)	(5)	(2)	(1)	14/11	
2b. Establishing a culture for health and wellness						
2c. Following health protocols and procedures						
2d. Supervising health associates*						
2e. Organizing physical space						
Overall Rating Average: D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (1-1.5) N/A						
Comments:						
Click here to enter text.						
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE	T 5	_ n		.		
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A	
3a. Assessing student needs						
3b. Administering medication to students						
3c. Promoting wellness through classes or classroom visits*						
3d. Managing emergency situations						
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness						
3f. Collaborating with teachers to develop specialized educational programs and services for students with diverse medical needs						
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5) B (1.51-2.5) U (verag 1-1.5)				-]	
Comments:						
Click here to enter text.						

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					
4b. Maintaining health records in accordance with policy and submitting reports					
in a timely fashion					
4c. Maintaining an effective data management system					

4d. Participating in a professi	onal community					
4e. Engaging in professional of	levelopment					
4f. Showing professionalism						
Overall Rating D (3.51-4) P (2.51-3.5)	B (1.51-2.5)		ige:		
Comments:						
Click here to enter text.						
		nference to be sched	luled by: _Adminis	strator		
Specific Objectives(s) for	r follow-up obse	rvation and/or possi	ible resou	rces:		
Evaluator's Signature:			Date •			
Digitature.			_ •			
Teacher's Signature:			Date :			
Date of post- conference:						

THERAPEUTIC SPECIALIST SUMMATIVE EVALUATION REPORT

Therap	ist: Date:		Time	e:		
School	Activity:					
Intende Goals:	ed Learning					
This r	eport must be completed following each formal cla	ssroom obsei	vation	1.		
All sk	ills must be rated as:					
	Distinguished – Performs above expected perform Proficient – Performs at expected performance le Basic – improvement recommended to reach prof Unsatisfactory - performs below basic level of per Not Applicable or Not Observed ents MUST be included for all ratings. It is intendepriate.	vel ficient perfori formance				n
DOMA	IN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION					
		D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
	emonstrating knowledge and skill in the specialist therapy; holdint certificate or license	ng the				
1b. Es	tablishing goals for the therapy program appropriate to the settin	g and the				
	emonstrating knowledge of district, state and federal regulation a	ind				
	monstrating knowledge of resources, both within and beyond the	e school				
1e. Pl	anning the therapy program, integrated with the overall school p et the needs of individual students	rogram,				
1f. De	eveloping a plan to evaluate the therapy program					
	Il Rating (3.51-4)	Avera U (1-1.5			А Г	=
Comn						
Click	nere to enter text.					

DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT

4b. Collaborating with teachers and administrators4c. Maintaining an effective data management system

4d. Participating in a professional community

	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
2a. Establishing rapport with students	(4)	(3)	(4)	(1)	1 1//A
2b. Organizing time effectively	1				
2c. Establishing and Maintaining clear procedures for referrals					
2d. Establishing standards of conduct in the treatment center					
2e. Organizing physical space for testing of students and providing therapy		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Overall Rating D (3.51-4)	Averag (1-1.5)				-]
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE					
	D	P	В	U	
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	N/A
3a. Responding to referrals and evaluating student needs 3b. Developing and implementing treatment plans to maximize students' success					
3c. Communicating with Families					
3d. Collecting information; Writing reports					
3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness					
	Averag				-
D (3.51-4) \square P (2.51-3.5) \square B (1.51-2.5) \square U	(1-1.5)		N/.	A L	J
Comments:					
Click here to enter text.					
DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY					
	D (4)	P (3)	B (2)	U (1)	N/A
4a. Reflecting on practice					

4e. Engaging in professional development	
4f. Showing professionalism, including integrity, advocacy, and maintaini confidentiality	ng
4g. Supervising therapeutic assistants	
Overall Rating D (3.51-4)	Average: U (1-1.5)
Comments:	
Click here to enter text.	
Continue regular observation cycle. Follow-up observation and conference to be scheen Date: Requested by:Teacher Specific Objectives(s) for follow-up observation and/or poss	_Administrator
Evaluator's	Date
Signature:	:
Teacher's Signature:	Date :
Date of post-conference:	

Appendix D

Litchfield School District Assistance Plan For Continuing Contract Educators

Name:	· 	Date:
Grade	/Level: Subject:	
1.	Area(s) to be addressed:	
2.	Reasons for the recommendation:	
3.	Specific Improvement goals and benchmarks for measuring implimelines and measurable results):	provement (includes
4.	Strategies for accomplishing the goals, along with specific criter successful completion of goals:	ia for assessing
5.	Schedule for progress meetings and formal observations:	
6.	Resources needed to assist the teacher (ex. Peer coach, specific development, etc.):	ic professional
-	problem is resolved by completion of the Assistance Plan, then the using Contract status.	e educator is returned to
	problem is not resolved, but significant progress has been made, and administrator, the educator may remain on the Assistance Plan	
	problem is not resolved and little or no progress is made under the ucator is referred to the Superintendent for potential salary freeze, al.	
Evalua	ator's Signature:	Date:
Evalua	atee's Signature:	Date:

Litchfield School District Assistance Plan For Continuing Contract Educators

Doto: 10/21/09

INATHEIVITS. A	_ Dale10/31/00
Grade/Level: 6 Subject: Social Studies	
,	
1. Area(s) to be addressed:	
Professional Responsibility and Classroom Management	
, ,	

2. Reasons for the recommendation:

Mrc V

Nomo:

In the area of professional responsibility, Mrs. X has been consistently late to her class in the morning and following lunch. This has left her students unsupervised on numerous occasions.

- 3. Specific Improvement goals and benchmarks for measuring improvement (includes timelines and measurable results):
 - Mrs. X will be on time to class each morning and afternoon
 - Mrs. X will establish and implement a discipline plan for her classroom by December 1, 2008.
 - Mrs. X will consistently implement the discipline plan for her classroom for the remainder of the school year.
- 4. Strategies for accomplishing the goals, along with specific criteria for assessing successful completion of goals:
 - Mrs. X will be on time to class each morning and afternoon.
 - Mrs. X will engage in professional development researching effective discipline plans utilized in effective classroom.
 - Mrs. X will observe colleagues to learn effective classroom management techniques.
- 5. Schedule for progress meetings and formal observations:
 - Nov. 15, 2008 Progress meeting to discuss progress made on establishing a discipline plan.
 - Nov. 30, 2008 Progress meeting where Mrs. X will share the discipline plan that will be implemented in her classroom on Dec 1st.
 - Dec. 5, 2008 Formal Observation to observe discipline plan in action.
 - Dec. 15, 2008 Progress meeting to discuss the implementation of the discipline plan.
 - Jan. 5, 2008, Formal observation to observe the discipline plan in action.
 - Jan. 15, 2008 Progress meeting to discuss the need for further progress meetings and to schedule monthly formal observations for the remainder of the year.

- 6. Resources needed to assist the teacher (ex. Peer coach, specific professional development, etc.):
 - Funds for professional development in classroom management (provided through teacher contract).
 - A minimum of three periods of coverage to observe colleagues with effective classroom management (to be arrange by the Principal).

If the problem is resolved by completion of the Assistance Plan, then the educator is returned to Continuing Contract status.

If the problem is not resolved, but significant progress has been made, as determined by the building administrator, the educator may remain on the Assistance Plan for up to an additional year.

If the problem is not resolved and little or no progress is made under the Assistance Plan, then the educator is referred to the Superintendent for potential salary freeze, dismissal and/or non-renewal.

Evaluator's Signature:	Date:	
Evaluatee's Signature:	Date:	

STUDENT LEARNING GOALS/OBJECTIVES DEVELOPMENT GUIDE This guide is intended to serve as a tool to assist teachers in developing their student learning goals/objectives, as well as guide the discussion between a teacher and

This guide is intended to serve as a tool to assist teachers in developing their student learning goals/objectives, as well as guide the discussion between a teacher and evaluator during the goal-setting conference. Each of the components described below includes guiding questions and descriptions for developing high quality and rigorous student learning goals/objectives.

Component	Guiding Questions	Descriptors
		 Identifies big and core ideas, domains, knowledge, and/or skills students are expected to acquire for which baseline data indicate a need
Student Learning Goal/Objective	MATIL and in the same and add on Court and and	Describes a broad goal for expected student growth and development
Statement	What is the expectation for student growth and development?	 Reflects high expectations for student growth and development and aims for mastery of content and/or skill development
		Ties to the school improvement plan
Student Population	Who is included in this student learning goal/objective? Why is this target group/class selected?	Justifies why this class and/or group was selected, as supported by data.
		 Describes characterizes of student population with specific including special needs, EL, 504, tiered students, etc. relevant to the goal objective (e.g., I have 6 English language learners, 4 special ed. Students) Includes a large proportion of students including specific sub groups wher
		appropriate
Learning	Which competencies/performance indicators are connected to the learning content?	 Selects applicable competencies or indicators from the approved Litchfield Curriculum Frameworks specific to student learning needs identified from analysis of baseline data.
Instructional Strategies/Supports	What methods will you use to accomplish this student learning	Describes key instructional strategies that will promote student learning related to the student learning goal/objective
	goal/objective? How will progress be monitored? What professional	States how formative assessments will be used to guide instruction and monitor progress toward the student learning goal objective
	learning/supports do you need to achieve this student learning goal/objective?	 Identifies professional learning/supports needed to attain the student learning goal/objective
Baseline/Trend Data	What data were reviewed to assist in establishing the student learning goal/objective?	Identifies by specific name any baseline assessment(s) and/or performance measures used (e.g. trend data, historical data, prior grades, feedback from parents and previous teachers, observations and other baseline data available, etc.)
		 Summarizes student data to demonstrate specific student need for the learning content tied to specific standards (including strengths and weakness)
Growth Targets	A. How will you measure progress toward your student learning goal/objective? B. What targets will you establish to demonstrate attainment of your student learning goal/objective?	A. ASSESSMENTS/MEASURES OF PROGRESS Identifies by specific name the standardized and/or non-standardized assessment(s)/measures of progress that will be used as indicators of student growth and development Takes into consideration how all students may demonstrate application of their knowledge/skills through multiple measure including but not limited to constructed response items or performance tasks Assessment(s) measures of progress is objective, fair and includes plans for consistent administration procedures Identifies assessment tools including but not limited to answer keys, scoring guides and/or rubrics B. GROWTH TARGETS Sets individual or differentiated growth targets for a large proportions of students that are rigorous and reflect both greater depth of knowledge and complexity of thinking required for student success Growth targets are based on state test data where available Specifies end dates which includes the majority of the course length
Actual Outcomes	Identify the actual outcomes at the end of the instructional period for the whole class as well as for different subgroups, as appropriate.	Describes and analyzes the data that was obtained through this process Relates to how many students have made progress or have demonstrated proficiency
Comments	Please provide any comments you wish to include about actual outcomes:	Include any information that could be relevant to describing the data or outcomes

STUDENT LEARNING GOALS/OBJECTIVES DEVELOPMENT GUIDE This guide is intended to serve as a tool to assist teachers in developing their student learning goals/objectives, as well as guide the discussion between a teacher

This guide is intended to serve as a tool to assist teachers in developing their student learning goals/objectives, as well as guide the discussion between a teacher and evaluator during the goal-setting conference. Each of the components described below includes guiding questions and descriptions for developing high quality and riggrous student learning goals/objectives

quality and rigorous student learning goals/objectives.				
Component	Guiding Questions	Descriptors		
Student Learning Goal/Objective Statement	What is the expectation for student growth and development?			
Student Population	Who is included in this student learning goal/objective? Why is this target group/class selected?			
Standards, Learning	Which standards are connected to			
Content, or Competencies	the learning content?			
Instructional Strategies/Supports	What methods will you use to accomplish this student learning goal/objective? How will progress be monitored? What professional learning/supports do you need to achieve this student learning goal/objective?			
Baseline/Trend Data	What data were reviewed to assist in establishing the student learning goal/objective?			
Growth Targets	C. How will you measure progress toward your student learning goal/objective? D. What targets will you establish to demonstrate attainment of your student learning goal/objective?	C. ASSESSMENTS/MEASURES OF PROGRESS D. GROWTH TARGETS		
Actual Outcomes	Identify the actual outcomes at the end of the instructional period for the whole class as well as for different subgroups, as appropriate.			
Comments	Please provide any comments you wish to include about actual outcomes			

STUDENT LEARNING GOALS/OBJECTIVES DEVELOPMENT GUIDE

☐ Individual SLOs	□ Team SLO	Grade:	
Date: Name of Individual	or Names of People in Team:		
Content Area:			
Component	Guiding Questions	Descriptors	
Student Learning Goal/Objective Statement	What is the expectation for student growth and development?	Students will independently use primary ar to explain, generalize, connect, and/or form on historical and contemporary issues rela- government.	n an argument based
Student Population	Identify the students included in this SLO. Include course, grade level, number of students and sub-groups. Why is this target group/class selected?	There were a total of 60 students in this 12 course. The following is a breakdown of the number of students in each group in this classical This group was chosen because In this student population X number we education, X number were SPED	he expected and actual ass:
Standards, Learning Content, or Competencies	Which standards are connected to the learning content?	These tasks are aligned to the local Social framework and the cross-curricula literacy	
Instructional Strategies/Supports	What methods will you use to accomplish this student learning goal/objective? How will progress be monitored? What professional learning/supports do you need to achieve this student learning goal/objective?	Direct instruction, gain professional de teaching writing, collaborate with othe student samples throughout the seme progress on the rubric, re-teaching thr students who are making limited prog	er teachers, use ester to measure rough small groups of
Baseline/Trend Data	What data was used to establish the student learning goal/objective?	A variety of common performance tasks we district Social Studies curriculum departm of approved assessment prompts that can be student success. All tasks have been valided Assessment Review Tool. An example of a Assessment Review Tool are attached. Baseline Data	ere developed by the ent and are in a bank be used to measure ated through the n assessment and the
		Low Group Approaching Proficiency Group	21 students 33 students
		Proficient or Highly Proficient Group	6 students

Growth Targets	 A. How will you measure progress toward your student learning goal/objective? B. What targets will you establish to demonstrate attainment of your student learning goal/objective? 	Approaching Proficiency Group 46 stude		ses. This formed by the fand was ment Review vriting scores	
Actual	Identify the actual outcomes at		Entering	Expected	Actual
Outcomes	the end of the instructional period for the whole class as well	Lowest Group:	21 students	5 students	6 students
	as for different subgroups, as	Average Group:	33 students	46 students	49 students
	appropriate.	High Group:	6 students	9 students	5 students
Comments	Please provide any comments you wish to include about actual outcomes:	Although the percentages have some discrepancies (e.g., expected 10%; actual 3%), the actual number of students in ear group illustrates the overall improvement of student performance. However, the actual targets fell slightly below the expected targets.			

Educator Rubrics

Teacher

Instructional Specialist

Library Media Specialist

School Counselor

School Nurse

Therapeutic Specialist

Teacher Evaluation Rubric

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION				
CRITERION 1a: DEMONSTRATING KN	OWLEDGE OF CONTENT AND UNIT/LESS	ON DESIGN		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
In planning and practice, the teacher makes content errors or does not correct errors made by students. The teacher displays little understanding of prerequisite knowledge important to student learning of the content.	The teacher is familiar with the important concepts in the discipline but displays a lack of awareness of how these concepts relate to one another. The teacher indicates some awareness of prerequisite learning, although such knowledge may be inaccurate or incomplete.	The teacher displays a working knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate to one another. The teacher demonstrates accurate understanding of prerequisite knowledge and skills (content) as well as relationships among topics.	The teacher displays extensive knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate both to one another and to other disciplines. The teacher demonstrates understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts and understands the link to necessary cognitive structures that ensure student understanding.	
Critical Attributes: • The teacher makes content errors. • The teacher does not consider prerequisite knowledge and/or skills when planning.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher's understanding of the discipline is rudimentary. • The teacher's knowledge of prerequisite knowledge and/or skills is inaccurate or incomplete.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher can identify important concepts of the discipline and their relationships to one another. • The teacher provides clear explanations of the content. • The teacher answers students' questions accurately and provides feedback that furthers their learning.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher cites intra- and interdisciplinary content relationships. • The teacher's plans demonstrate awareness of possible student misconceptions and how they can be addressed.	

CRITERION 1b: DEMONSTRATING KNOWLEDGE OF STUDENTS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
The teacher displays minimal understanding of how students learn, little knowledge of their varied approaches to learning, knowledge, skills, special needs, interests and backgrounds—nor is this information valued.	The teacher displays generally accurate knowledge of how students learn and of their varied approaches to learning, knowledge, skills, special needs, interests and backgrounds, yet tends to apply this knowledge not to individual students but to the class as a whole.	The teacher understands the active nature of student learning and attains information about levels of development for groups of students. The teacher acquires accurate knowledge from several sources about groups of students' varied approaches to learning, knowledge and skills, special needs, interests, and/or backgrounds.	The teacher understands the active nature of student learning and acquires information about levels of development for individual students. The teacher also acquires accurate knowledge from several sources about individual students' or groups of students' varied approaches to learning, knowledge and skills, special needs, interests, and/or backgrounds.	
Critical Attributes: • The teacher does not understand child development characteristics and has unrealistic expectations for students. • The teacher does not try to ascertain varied ability levels among students in the class. • The teacher is not aware of students' interests or backgrounds • The teacher takes no responsibility to learn about students' medical or learning disabilities.	Critical Attributes: The teacher cites developmental theory but does not seek to integrate it into lesson planning. The teacher is aware of the different ability levels in the class but tends to teach to the "whole group". The teacher recognizes that students have different interests but rarely draws on their contributions or differentiates materials to accommodate those differences. The teacher is aware of medical issues and learning disabilities with some students but does not seek to understand the implications of that knowledge.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher knows, for groups of students, their levels of cognitive development. • The teacher has a good idea of the range of interests in the class. • The teacher recognizes various levels of ability and performance within the class and plans accordingly. • The teacher is well informed about students' backgrounds/interests and incorporates this knowledge in lesson planning. • The teacher is aware of the special needs represented by students in the class.	Critical Attributes: The teacher uses ongoing methods to assess students' skill levels and designs instruction accordingly. The teacher seeks out information from all students about their backgrounds/interests The teacher maintains a system of updated student records and incorporates medical and/or learning needs into lesson plans.	

CRITERION 1c: SETTING INSTRUCTIONAL OUTCOMES				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
The outcomes represent low	Outcomes represent moderate	Most outcomes represent rigorous	All outcomes represent high-level	
expectations for students and lack of	expectations and rigor. Some reflect	and important learning in the	learning in the discipline. They are	
rigor, and not all of these outcomes	important learning in the discipline	discipline and are clear, are written	clear, written in the form of student	
reflect important learning in the	and consist of a combination of	in the form of student learning, and	learning, and permit viable methods	
discipline. They are stated as	outcomes and activities. Outcomes	suggest viable methods of	of assessment. Outcomes reflect	
student activities rather than as	reflect several types of learning, but	assessment. Outcomes reflect	several different types of learning	
outcomes for learning. Outcomes	the teacher has made no effort at	several different types of learning	and, where appropriate, represent	
reflect only one type of learning and	coordination or integration.	and they are differentiated, in	both coordination and integration.	
only one discipline or strand and are	Outcomes, based on global	whatever way is needed, for	Outcomes are differentiated in	
suitable for only some students.	assessments of student learning, are	different groups of students.	whatever way is needed for groups	
	suitable for most of the students in		of students or individual students as	
	the class.		appropriate.	
Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	
Outcomes lack rigor.	Outcomes represent a mixture of	Outcomes represent high	The teacher's plans reference	
Outcomes do not represent	inconsistent expectations and rigor.	expectations and rigor.	curricular frameworks or blueprints	
important learning in the discipline	Some outcomes represent	Outcomes are related to "big	to ensure accurate sequencing.	
Outcomes are not clear or are	important learning in the discipline.	ideas" of the discipline.	The teacher connects outcomes to	
stated as activities.	Outcomes are suitable for most of	Outcomes are written in terms of	previous and future learning.	
Outcomes are not suitable for	the class.	what students will learn rather than	Outcomes are differentiated to	
many students in the class.		what students will do.	encourage individual students.	
		Outcomes represent a range of		
		types: factual, knowledge,		
		conceptual understanding,		
		reasoning, social interaction,		
		management, and communication.		
		Outcomes, differentiated where		
		necessary, are suitable to groups of		
		students in the class.		

CRITERION 1d: DESIGNING COHERENT INSTRUCTION				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
The teacher displays little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content. Learning activities are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes, do not follow an organized progression, are not designed to engage students in active intellectual activity, and have unrealistic time allocations. Instructional groups are not suitable to the activities and offer no variety.	The teacher's plans and practice reflect a limited range of pedagogical approaches to the discipline or to the students. Some of the learning activities and materials are aligned with the instructional outcomes and represent moderate cognitive challenge, but with no differentiation for different students. Instructional groups partially support the activities, with some variety. The lesson or unit has a recognizable structure; but the progression of activities is uneven, with only some reasonable time allocations.	The teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the subject. Most of the learning activities are aligned with the instructional outcomes and follow an organized progression suitable to groups of students. The learning activities have reasonable time allocations; they represent significant cognitive challenge, with some differentiation for different groups of students and varied use of	The teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline and the ability to anticipate student misconceptions. The sequence of learning activities follows a coherent sequence, is aligned to instructional goals, and is designed to engage students in high-level cognitive activity. These are appropriately differentiated for individual learners. Instructional groups are varied appropriately, with some opportunity for student choice.	
Critical Attributes: The teacher's plans use inappropriate strategies for the discipline. Learning activities are boring and/or not well aligned to the instructional goals. Materials are not engaging or do not meet instructional outcomes. Instructional groups do not support learning. Lesson plans are not structured or sequenced and are unrealistic in their expectations.	Critical Attributes: Lesson and unit plans use limited instructional strategies, and some are not suitable to the content. Learning activities are moderately challenging. Learning resources are suitable, but there is limited variety. Instructional groups are random, or they only partially support objectives. Lesson structure is uneven or may be unrealistic about time expectation.	 instructional groups. Critical Attributes: Instructional strategies in unit and lesson plans are suitable to the content. District approved resources are used effectively and as intended. Learning activities are matched to instructional outcomes. Activities provide opportunity for higher-level thinking. The teacher provides a variety of appropriately challenging materials and resources. Instructional student groups are organized thoughtfully to maximize learning and build on students' strengths. The plan for the lesson or unit is well structured, with reasonable time allocations. 	Critical Attributes: • The teacher's plans reflect recent development in content-related pedagogy. • District approved resources are used effectively and as intended. • Activities permit student choice. • Learning experiences connect to other disciplines. • The teacher provides a variety of appropriately challenging resources that are differentiated for students in the class. • Lesson plans differentiate for individual student needs.	

CRITERION 1e: DESIGNING STUDENT	CRITERION 1e: DESIGNING STUDENT ASSESSMENTS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Assessment procedures are not congruent with instructional outcomes and lack criteria by which student performance will be assessed. The teacher has no plan to incorporate formative assessment in the lesson or unit.	Assessment procedures are partially congruent with instructional outcomes. Assessment criteria and standards have been developed, but they are not clear. The teacher's approach to using formative assessment is rudimentary, including only some of the instructional outcomes.	All the instructional outcomes may be assessed by the proposed assessment plan; assessment methodologies may have been adapted for groups of students. Assessment criteria and standards are clear. The teacher has a well-developed strategy for using formative assessment and has designed particular approaches to be used.	All the instructional outcomes may be assessed by the proposed assessment plan, with clear criteria for assessing student work. There is evidence of student feedback in developing/clarifying assessments. Assessment methodologies have been adapted for individual students as the need has arisen. The approach to using formative assessment is well designed and includes student as well as teacher use of the assessment information.		
Critical Attributes: Assessments do not match instructional outcomes. Assessments lack criteria. No formative assessments have been designed. Assessment results do not have future plans. There is no evidence that assessment results are used in planning.	Critical Attributes: Only some of the instructional outcomes are addressed in the planned assessments. Assessment criteria are vague. Plans refer to the use of formative assessments, but they are not fully developed. Assessment results are used to design lesson plans for the whole class, not individual students.	Critical Attributes: • All of the learning outcomes have a method for assessment. • Assessment types match learning expectations. • Plans indicate modified assessments when they are necessary for some students. • Assessment criteria are clearly written. • Plans include formative assessments to use during instruction. • Lesson plans indicate possible adjustments based on formative assessments.	Critical Attributes: Assessments provide opportunities for student choice. Evidence that student feedback is used to design assessments and develop rubrics. Teacher-designed assessments are authentic, with real-world applications as appropriate. Students are actively involved in collecting information from formative assessments and provide input. Lesson plans indicate adjustments based on assessment results and student feedback.		

DOMAIN 2: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT					
CRITERION 2a: CREATING AN ENVIRO	CRITERION 2a: CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF RESPECT AND RAPPORT				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Patterns of classroom interactions,	Patterns of classroom interactions,	Teacher-student interactions are	Classroom interactions between		
both between teacher and students	both between teacher and students	friendly and demonstrate general	teacher and students are highly		
and among students, are mostly	and among students, are generally	caring and respect. Such interactions	respectful, reflecting genuine		
negative, inappropriate, or	appropriate but may reflect	are appropriate to the ages,	warmth, caring, and sensitivity to		
insensitive to students' ages,	occasional inconsistencies,	backgrounds, and developmental	students as individuals. Students		
backgrounds, and developmental	favoritism, and disregard for	levels of the students. Interactions	exhibit respect for the teacher and		
levels. Student interactions are	students' ages, backgrounds, and	among students are generally polite	contribute to high levels of civility		
characterized by sarcasm, put-	developmental levels. Students	and respectful, and students exhibit	among all members of the class. All		
downs, or conflict. The teacher	rarely demonstrate disrespect for	respect for the teacher. The teacher	students are valued and are		
ignores disrespectful behavior.	one another. The teacher attempts	responds successfully to	encouraged to take intellectual risks.		
	to respond to disrespectful behavior,	disrespectful behavior among			
	with uneven results. Teacher has	students. Students are encouraged			
	inconsistent rapport with students.	to take intellectual risks.			
Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:		
 The teacher is disrespectful 	 The quality of interactions 	Talk between teacher and students	 The teacher demonstrates 		
towards students or insensitive to	between teacher and students, or	and among students is uniformly	knowledge and caring about		
students' ages, backgrounds, and	among students, is uneven with	respectful.	students in their classroom.		
developmental levels.	occasional disrespect or insensitivity.	The teacher has clear expectations	• The teacher has clear expectations		
 Student body language indicates 	The teacher attempts to respond	and appropriately responds to	and appropriately responds to		
feelings of hurt, discomfort, or	to disrespectful behavior among	disrespectful behavior among	disrespectful behavior among		
insecurity.	students, with uneven results.	students and maintains control of	students and maintains control of		
 The teacher displays no familiarity 	 The teacher attempts to make 	the class.	the class.		
with, or caring about, individual	connections with individual	 Students participate willingly, but 	The teacher models respectful		
students.	students, but student reactions	may be somewhat hesitant to offer	behavior.		
 The teacher disregards 	indicate that these interactions were	their ideas in front of classmates.	• The classroom environment is one		
disrespectful interactions among	not entirely successful.	The teacher makes connections	in which diverse opinions are valued.		
students.		with individual students.	The teacher models respect and		
		Students exhibit respect for the	encourages students' efforts.		
		teacher.			

Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The classroom culture is characterized by a lack of commitment to learning, and/or minimal commitment to the task at hand. Hard work and the precise use of language are not expected or valued. Medium to low expectations for student achievement are the norm, with high expectations for learning reserved for a few students.	The classroom culture is characterized by little commitment to learning by the teacher or students. The teacher appears to be only "going through the motions," and students indicate that they are interested in the completion of a task rather than the quality of the work. The teacher conveys that student success is the result of natural ability rather than hard work, and refers only in passing to the precise use of language. High expectations for learning are reserved for those students thought to have a natural aptitude for the	The classroom culture is a place where learning is valued by all; high expectations for both learning and hard work are the norm for most students. Students understand their role as learners and are consistently encouraged to give their best effort. Classroom interactions support learning, hard work, and the precise use of language.	The classroom culture is a cognitively busy place, characterized by a shared belief in the importance of learning. The teacher conveys high expectations for learning for all students and insists on hard work; students assume responsibility for high quality by initiating improvements, making revisions, adding detail, and/or assisting peers in their precise use of language.
Critical Attributes: • The teacher conveys that there is little or no purpose for the work, or that reasons for doing it are due to external factors. • The teacher conveys to at least some students that the work is too challenging for them. • Students exhibit little or no pride in their work. • Students use language incorrectly; the teacher does not correct them.	subject. Critical Attributes: The teacher's energy is neutral, neither indicating a high level of commitment nor ascribing the need to do the work to external forces. The teacher conveys high expectations for only some students. Students exhibit a limited commitment to complete the work on their own; many students indicate that they are looking for an "easy path." The teacher's primary concern appears to be to complete the task at hand. The teacher urges, but does not insist, that students use precise language.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher communicates the importance of the content and the conviction that with hard work all students can master the material. • The teacher demonstrates a high regard for students' abilities. • The teacher conveys an expectation of high levels of student effort for all students. • The teacher encourages precise use of language by students.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher communicates passion for the subject. • The teacher conveys the satisfaction that accompanies a deep understanding of complex content. • Students indicate through their questions and comments a desire to understand the content. • Students assist their classmates in understanding the content. • Students take initiative in improving the quality of their work. • Students correct one another in their use of language.

CRITERION 2c: MANAGING CLASSROOM PROCEDURES				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Much instructional time is lost due	Some instructional time is lost due	There is little loss of instructional	Instructional time is maximized due	
to ineffective classroom routines	to ineffective classroom routines	time due to effective classroom	to effective and seamless classroom	
and procedures. There is little or no	and procedures. The teacher's	routines and procedures. The	routines and procedures. Students	
evidence of the teacher's managing	management of instructional groups	teacher's management of	take initiative in the management of	
instructional groups and transitions	and transitions, or handling of	instructional groups and transitions,	instructional groups and transitions,	
and/or handling of materials and	materials and supplies, or both, are	or handling of materials and	and/or the handling of materials and	
supplies effectively. There is little	inconsistent, leading to some	supplies, are consistently successful.	supplies. Routines are well	
evidence that students know or	disruption of learning. With regular	With minimal guidance and	understood and may be initiated by	
follow established routines.	guidance and prompting, students	prompting, students follow	students.	
	follow established routines.	established classroom routines.		
Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	
 Students not working directly with 	 Students not working directly with 	 Students are productively engaged 	With minimal prompting by the	
the teacher are not productively	the teacher are only partially	during small-group or independent	teacher, students ensure that their	
engaged.	engaged.	work.	time is used productively.	
 Transitions are disorganized, with 	 Procedures for transitions seem to 	 Transitions between large and 	Students take initiative in	
much loss of instructional time.	have been established, but their	small-group activities are smooth.	distributing and collecting materials	
 There do not appear to be any 	operation is not smooth.	 Routines for distribution and 	efficiently.	
established procedures for	 There appear to be established 	collection of materials and supplies	Students themselves ensure that	
distributing and collecting materials.	routines for distribution and	work efficiently.	transitions and other routines are	
 A considerable amount of time is 	collection of materials, but students	 Classroom routines function 	accomplished smoothly.	
spent off task because of unclear	are confused about how to carry	smoothly.		
procedures.	them out.			
	 Classroom routines function 			
	unevenly.			

CRITERION 2d: MANAGING STUDENT BEHAVIOR				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
There appear to be no established standards of behavior, or students challenge them. There is little or no teacher monitoring of student behavior, and response to students' misbehavior is repressive or disrespectful of student dignity.	Standards of behavior appear to have been established, but their implementation is inconsistent. The teacher tries, with uneven results, to monitor student behavior and respond to student misbehavior.	Student behavior is generally appropriate. The teacher monitors student behavior according to established standards. Teacher response to student misbehavior is consistent, proportionate, and respectful to students and is effective.	Student behavior is appropriate. Students take an active role in monitoring their own behavior and/or that of other students according to established standards of conduct. Teacher monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventive. The teacher's response to student misbehavior is sensitive to individual student needs and respects student dignity.	
Critical Attributes: • The classroom environment is chaotic, with no standards of behavior evident. • The teacher does not monitor student behavior. • Some students disrupt the classroom, without apparent teacher awareness or with an ineffective response.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher attempts to maintain order in the classroom, referring to classroom rules, but with uneven success. • The teacher attempts to keep track of student behavior, but with no apparent system. • The teacher's response to student misbehavior is inconsistent.	Critical Attributes: • Standards of behavior appear to have been established and implemented successfully. • Overall, student behavior is generally appropriate. • The teacher frequently monitors student behavior. • The teacher's response to student misbehavior is effective	Critical Attributes: • Student behavior is appropriate; and student misbehavior is minor and swiftly handled. • The teacher subtly monitors student behavior. • Students respectfully intervene with classmates at appropriate moments to ensure compliance with standards of behavior.	

	CRITERION 2e: ORGANIZING PHYSICAL SPACE				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
The classroom environment is	The classroom is safe, and essential	The classroom is safe, and students	The classroom environment is safe,		
unsafe, or learning is not accessible	learning is accessible to most	have appropriate access to learning	and learning is accessible to all		
for all students. There is poor	students. The teacher makes modest	activities; the teacher ensures that	students. The teacher makes		
alignment between the arrangement	use of physical resources, including	the furniture arrangement is	effective use of physical resources,		
of furniture and resources, including	computer technology. The teacher	appropriate to the learning activities	including computer technology. The		
computer technology, and lesson	attempts to adjust the classroom	and uses physical resources,	teacher ensures that the physical		
activities.	furniture for a lesson or, if	including computer technology,	arrangement is appropriate to the		
	necessary, to adjust the lesson to	effectively.	learning activities. Student		
	the furniture, but with limited		contributions to the use or		
	effectiveness.		adaptation of the physical		
			environment to advance learning		
			are encouraged		
Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:	Critical Attributes:		
 There are physical dangers in the 	The classroom environment is	The classroom environment is	 Modifications are made to the 		
classroom, endangering student	safe, and most students can see and	safe, and all students can see and	physical environment to		
safety.	hear the teacher as well as see the	hear the teacher as well as see the	accommodate students with special		
 Many students can't see or hear 	board.	board.	needs.		
the teacher nor see the board.	The physical environment is not an	The physical environment is	 There is alignment between the 		
 Available technology is not being 	impediment to learning but it does	arranged to support the	learning activities and the physical		
used even if it is available and its use	not enhance it.	instructional goals and learning	environment.		
would enhance the lesson.	The teacher makes limited use of	activities.	Students are encouraged to adjust		
	available technology and other	The teacher makes appropriate	the physical environment when		
	resources.	use of available technology.	appropriate		
			The teacher and students make		
			extensive and imaginative use of		
			available technology.		

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION				
CRITERION 3a: COMMUNICATING WITH STUDENTS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
The instructional purpose of the	The teacher's attempt to explain the	The instructional purpose of the	The teacher links the instructional	
lesson is unclear to students, and	instructional purpose has only	lesson is clearly communicated to	purpose of the lesson to the larger	
the directions and procedures are	limited success, and/or directions	students; directions and procedures	curriculum; the directions and	
confusing. The teacher's explanation	and procedures must be clarified	are explained clearly. The teacher's	procedures are clear and anticipate	
of the content contains major errors	after initial student confusion. The	explanation of content is scaffolded,	possible student misunderstanding.	
and does not include any	teacher's explanation of the content	clear, and accurate and connects	The teacher's explanation of content	
explanation of strategies students	may contain minor errors; some	with students' knowledge and	is thorough and clear, developing	
might use. The teacher's spoken or	portions are clear, others difficult to	experience. During the explanation	conceptual understanding through	
written language contains errors or	follow. The teacher's explanation	of content, the teacher focuses, as	clear scaffolding and connecting	
is inappropriate, vague, or used	does not invite students to engage	appropriate, on strategies students	with students' interests. Students	
incorrectly, leaving students	intellectually or to understand	may use when working	contribute to extending the content	
confused.	strategies they might use when	independently. The teacher's	by explaining concepts to their	
	working independently. The	spoken and written language is clear	classmates and suggesting strategies	
	teacher's spoken language is correct	and correct and is suitable to	that might be used. The teacher's	
	but uses vocabulary that is not	students' ages and interests.	spoken and written language is	
	grade-level appropriate.		expressive, and the teacher finds	
			opportunities to extend students'	
			vocabularies, both within the	
			discipline and for more general use.	

CRITERION 3a

Critical Attributes:

- At no time during the lesson does the teacher convey to students what they will be learning.
- Students indicate through body language or questions that they don't understand the content being presented.
- The teacher makes a serious content error that will affect students' understanding of the lesson.
- Students indicate through questions that they are confused about the learning task.
- The teacher's communications include errors of vocabulary or usage or imprecise use of academic language.
- The teacher's language is inappropriate to the ages or backgrounds of the students.

Critical Attributes:

- The teacher provides little elaboration or explanation about what the students will be learning.
- The teacher's explanation of the content consists of a monologue, with minimal participation or intellectual engagement by the students.
- The teacher makes no serious content errors but may make minor ones.
- The teacher's explanation of content are purely procedural, with no indication of how students can think strategically.
- The teacher must clarify the learning task so that the students can complete it.
- The teacher's vocabulary and usage are correct but unimaginative.
- When the teacher attempts to explain academic vocabulary, it is only partially successful.
- The teacher's vocabulary is too advanced, or too juvenile, for students.

Critical Attributes:

- The instructional purpose of the lesson is clearly communicated to students.
- The teacher's explanation of the content is clear and invites student participation and thinking.
- The teacher makes no content errors.
- The teacher describes specific strategies students might use, inviting students to interpret them in the context of what they are learning.
- Students engage with the learning task, indicating that they understand what they are to do.
- If appropriate, the teacher models the process to be followed in the task.
- The teacher's vocabulary and usage are correct and entirely suited to the lesson, including where appropriate, explanations of academic vocabulary.
- The teacher's vocabulary is appropriate to the students' ages and levels of development.
- The teacher answers students' questions accurately and provides feedback that furthers their learning

Critical Attributes:

- If asked, students are able to explain what they are learning.
- The teacher explains clearly and conceptual understanding through clear scaffolding and connecting with students' interests.
- The teacher points out possible areas for misunderstanding.
- The teacher invites the students to explain the content to their classmates.
- Students suggest other strategies that they might use in approaching a challenge or analysis.
- The teacher uses rich language, offering brief vocabulary lessons where appropriate, both for general language and for the discipline.
- There is evidence that students use academic language correctly.

CRITERION 3b: USING QUESTIONING	CRITERION 3b: USING QUESTIONING AND DISCUSSION TECHNIQUES			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
The teacher's questions are of low cognitive challenge, with single correct responses, and are asked in rapid succession. Interaction between the teacher and students is predominantly recitation style, with the teacher mediating all questions and answers; the teacher accepts all contributions without asking students to explain their reasoning. Only a few students participate in the discussion.	The teacher's questions lead students through a single path of inquiry, with answers seemingly determined in advance. Alternatively, the teacher attempts to ask some questions designed to engage students in thinking, but only a few students are involved. The teacher attempts to engage all students in the discussion, to encourage them to respond to one another, and to explain their thinking, with uneven results.	The teacher uses an appropriate balance of low-level and higher-order questions designed to promote student thinking and understanding. The teacher creates a genuine discussion among students, providing adequate time for students to respond and stepping aside when doing so is appropriate. The teacher challenges students to justify their thinking and successfully engages most students in the discussion, employing a range of strategies to ensure that most students are heard.	The teacher uses a variety or series of questions or prompts to challenge students cognitively, advance highlevel thinking and discourse, and promote metacognition. Students are encouraged to formulate many questions, initiate topics, challenge one another's thinking, and make unsolicited contributions. There is a well-established class norm that all voices are heard in the discussion.	
Critical Attributes: • Questions are rapid-fire and convergent, with a single correct answer. • Questions do not invite student thinking. • All discussion is between the teacher and students; students are not invited to speak directly to one another. • The teacher does not ask students to explain their thinking. • Only a few students dominate the discussion.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher frames some questions designed to promote student thinking, but many have a single correct answer, and the teacher calls on students quickly. • The teacher invites students to respond directly to one another's ideas, but few students respond. • The teacher calls on many students, but only a small number actually participate in the discussion. • The teacher asks students to explain their reasoning but only some students attempt to do so.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher uses open-ended questions, inviting students to think and/or offer multiple possible answers. • The teacher makes effective use of wait time. • Discussions encourage students to talk to one another without ongoing mediation by teacher. • The teacher calls on most students, even those who don't initially volunteer. • Many students actively engage in the discussion. • The teacher asks students to justify their reasoning, and most attempt to do so.	Critical Attributes: Students initiate higher-level questions. The teacher builds on and uses student responses to questions in order to deepen students understanding. Students extend the discussion, enriching it. Students accept comments from their classmates during a discussion and challenge one another's thinking. Virtually all students are engaged in the discussion.	

CRITERION 3c: ENGAGING STUDENTS IN LEARNING			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Level 1: Unsatisfactory The learning tasks/activities, materials, and resources are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes, or require only rote responses, with only one approach possible. The groupings of students are unsuitable to the activities. The lesson has no clearly defined structure, or the pace of the lesson is too slow or rushed.	Level 2: Basic The learning tasks and activities are partially aligned with the instructional outcomes but require only minimal thinking by students and little opportunity for them to explain their thinking, allowing most students to be passive or merely compliant. The groupings of students are moderately suitable to the activities. The lesson has a recognizable structure; however, the pacing of the lesson may not provide students the time needed to be intellectually engaged or may be so slow that many students have a considerable amount of "downtime."	Level 3: Proficient The learning tasks and activities are aligned with the instructional outcomes and are designed to challenge student thinking, inviting students to explain their thinking. The teacher facilitates active intellectual engagement by most students with important and challenging content and with teacher scaffolding to support that engagement. The groupings of students are suitable to the activities. The lesson has a clearly defined structure, and the pacing of the lesson is appropriate, providing most students the time needed to be intellectually engaged.	Students are intellectually engaged in challenging content through well-designed learning tasks and activities that require complex thinking by students. The teacher provides suitable scaffolding and challenges students to explain their thinking. There is evidence of some student initiation of inquiry and student contributions to the exploration of important content; students may serve as resources for one another. The lesson has a clearly defined structure, and the pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed not only to intellectually engage with and reflect
Critical Attributes: • Few students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. • Learning tasks/activities and materials require only recall or have a single correct response or method. • Instructional materials used are unsuitable to the lesson and/or the students. • The lesson drags or is rushed. • Only one type of instructional group is used (whole group, small groups) when variety would promote more student engagement.	Critical Attributes: • Some students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. • Learning tasks are a mix of those requiring thinking and those requiring recall. • Student engagement with the content is largely passive; the learning consists primarily of facts or procedures. • The materials and resources are partially aligned with the lesson objectives. • Few of the materials and resources require student thinking or ask students to explain their thinking. • The pacing of the lesson is uneven—suitable in part but rushed or dragging in others. • The instructional groupings used are partially appropriate to the activities.	Critical Attributes: • Most students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. • Most learning tasks have multiple correct responses or approaches and/or encourage higher-order thinking. • Students are invited to explain their thinking as part of completing tasks. • Materials and resources support the learning goals and require intellectual engagement, as appropriate. • The pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to be intellectually engaged. • The teacher uses groups that are suitable to the lesson activities.	upon their learning but also to consolidate their understanding. Critical Attributes: • Virtually all students are intellectually engaged in the lesson. • Lesson activities require high-level student thinking and explanation of their thinking. • The teacher designs highly engaging instructional activities that are developmentally appropriate; some students initiate inquiry and contribute to the exploration of important content; students are encouraged to serve as resources for one another. • Students have an opportunity for reflection and closure on the lesson to consolidate their understanding.

CRITERION 3d: USING ASSESSMENT IN INSTRUCTION			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Assessment is not integrated into instruction and there is little or no monitoring of student learning. Feedback is absent or of poor quality. Students do not engage in self- or peer assessment.	Assessment is partially integrated into instruction and the teacher monitors student learning of the class as a whole with limited use of formative assessment. Questions and assessments are rarely used to diagnose evidence of learning. Feedback to students is general, and few students assess their own work.	Assessment is consistently integrated into instruction and the teacher monitors student learning for groups of students using formative assessment. Questions and assessments are regularly used to diagnose evidence of learning. Teacher feedback to groups of students is accurate and specific; some students engage in self-assessment.	Assessment is fully integrated into instruction, through extensive use of formative assessment. There may be some evidence that students have contributed to the assessment criteria. Questions and assessments are used regularly to diagnose evidence of learning by individual students. A variety of forms of feedback, from both teacher and peers, is accurate and specific and advances learning. Students self-assess and monitor their own progress. The teacher successfully differentiates instruction to address individual students' misunderstandings.
Critical Attributes: • The teacher gives no indication of what high-quality work looks like. • The teacher makes no effort to determine whether students understand the lesson. • Students receive no feedback, or feedback is global or directed only to one student. • The teacher does not ask students to evaluate their own or classmates' work. *There is little or no evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Critical Attributes: • There is little evidence that students understand how their work will be evaluated. • The teacher monitors understanding through a single method, or without eliciting evidence of understanding from students. • Feedback to students is vague and not oriented toward future improvement of work. • The teacher makes only minor attempts to engage students in self or peer-assessment. *There is limited evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher makes the standards of high-quality work clear to students. • The teacher elicits evidence of student understanding. • Students are invited to assess their own work and make improvements; most of them do so. • Feedback includes specific and timely guidance, at least for groups of students. *There is evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Critical Attributes: The teacher makes the standards of high-quality work clear to students and students indicate that they clearly understand the characteristics of high-quality work. The teacher is constantly "taking the pulse" of the class; monitoring of student understanding is continuous and makes use of strategies to elicit information about student understanding. Students monitor their own understanding, either on their own initiative or as a result of tasks set by the teacher. High-quality feedback comes from many sources, including students; it is specific and focused on improvement. There is evidence that professional learning has had significant impact on student performance.

CRITERION 3e: DEMONSTRATING FLE Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher ignores students' questions; when students have difficulty learning, the teacher does not accept responsibility for their lack of success. The teacher makes no attempt to adjust the lesson even when students don't understand the content.	The teacher accepts responsibility for the success of all students but has only a limited repertoire of strategies to use. Adjustment of the lesson in response to assessment is minimal or ineffective.	The teacher successfully accommodates students' questions and interests. Drawing on a broad repertoire of instructional strategies, the teacher persists in seeking a variety of approaches for students. If impromptu measures are needed, the teacher makes a minor adjustment to the lesson and does so smoothly.	The teacher seizes an opportunity to enhance learning, building on a spontaneous event or students' interests, or successfully adjusts and differentiates instruction to address individual student understandings. Using an extensive repertoire of instructional strategies and soliciting additional resources from the school or community, the teacher persists in seeking effective approaches for students.
Critical Attributes: • The teacher ignores indications of student boredom or lack of understanding. • The teacher brushes aside students' questions. • The teacher conveys to students that when they have difficulty learning it is their fault. • In reflecting on practice, the teacher does not indicate that it is important to reach all students. • The teacher makes no attempt to adjust the lesson in response to student confusion.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher makes perfunctory attempts to incorporate students' questions and interests into the lesson. • The teacher conveys to students a level of responsibility for their learning but also his or her uncertainty about how to assist them • In reflecting on practice, the teacher indicates the desire to reach all students but does not suggest strategies for doing so. • The teacher's attempts to adjust the lesson are partially successful.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher incorporates students' interests into the heart of the lesson. • The teacher employs a variety of approaches when the students experience difficulty. • In reflecting on practice, the teacher cites multiple approaches undertaken to reach students. • When improvising becomes necessary, the teacher makes adjustments to the lesson.	 Critical Attributes: The teacher seizes on a teachable moment to enhance a lesson. The teacher employs a wide variety of approaches. In reflecting on practice, the teacher can cite others in the school and/or beyond whom s/he has contacted for assistance in reaching some students. The teacher's adjustments to the lesson, when they are needed, are designed to assist individual students.

	DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIO	ONAL RESPONSIBILITIES	
CRITERION 4a: REFLECTING ON TEAC	HING		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher does not know whether a lesson was effective or achieved its instructional outcomes, or the teacher profoundly misjudges the success of a lesson. The teacher has no suggestions for how a lesson could be improved.	The teacher has a generally accurate impression of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which instructional outcomes were met. The teacher makes general suggestions about how a lesson could be improved.	The teacher makes an accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its instructional outcomes and can cite general references to support the judgment. The teacher makes a few specific suggestions of what could be tried another time the lesson is taught.	The teacher makes a thoughtful and accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its instructional outcomes, citing many specific examples from the lesson and weighing the relative strengths of each. The teacher draws on an extensive repertoire of skills and past experiences, offering specific alternative actions.
Critical Attributes: • The teacher considers the lesson but draws incorrect conclusions about its effectiveness. • The teacher makes no suggestions for improvement.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher has a general sense of whether or not instructional practices were effective. • The teacher offers general modifications for future instruction.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher accurately assesses the effectiveness of instructional activities used. • The teacher identifies specific ways in which a lesson might be improved.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher's assessment of the lesson is thoughtful and includes specific indicators of effectiveness. • The teacher's suggestions for improvement draw on an extensive repertoire.
CRITERION 4b: MAINTAINING ACCUR			<u></u>
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments and student progress in learning is nonexistent or in disarray. The teacher's records for non-instructional activities are in disarray, the result being errors and confusion.	The teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments and student progress in learning is rudimentary and only partially effective. The teacher's records for non-instructional activities are adequate but inefficient and, unless given frequent oversight by the teacher, prone to errors.	The teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments, student progress in learning, and non-instructional records is fully effective.	The teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments, student progress in learning, and non-instructional records is fully effective. Teachers encourage students to contribute information and participate in maintaining the records as developmentally appropriate and suitable to the subject area.

Critical Attributes: • There is no system for either instructional or non-instructional records. • Record keeping systems are in disarray and provide incorrect or confusing information.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher has a process for recording student work completion. However, the process may not allow students or parents to access the information in a timely manner. • The teacher's' process for tracking student progress is cumbersome to use. • The teacher has a process for tracking some, but not all, instructional information, and it may contain some errors.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher's process for recording completion of student work is efficient and effective; students have access to information about completed and/or missing assignments. • The teacher has an efficient and effective process for recording student attainment of learning goals; students are able to see how they're progressing. • The teacher's process for recording non instructional information is both efficient and effective.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher expects that students contribute to and maintain records indicating completed and outstanding work assignments. • The teacher expects that students contribute to and maintain data files indicating their own progress in learning, such as maintaining portfolios, journaling, utilizing agendas, etc.
CRITERION 4c: COMMUNICATING WIT	TH FAMILIES		•
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher provides little information about the instructional program to families, such as	The teacher makes sporadic attempts to communicate with families about the instructional program and about	The teacher provides frequent and appropriate information to families about the instructional	The teacher initiates communication and responds effectively and the teacher involves families in the

Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher provides little information about the instructional program to families, such as curriculum guides, syllabi, updated grades, etc.; the teacher's communication about students' progress is minimal. The teacher does not respond, or responds	The teacher makes sporadic attempts to communicate with families about the instructional program and about the progress of individual students but does not attempt to engage families in the instructional program. Moreover, the communication that does take place may not be	The teacher provides frequent and appropriate information to families about the instructional program and individual student progress.	The teacher initiates communication and responds effectively and the teacher involves families in the instructional program as appropriate.
insensitively, to parental concerns. Critical Attributes: Little or no information regarding the instructional program is available to parents. Families are unaware of their child's progress. Family engagement activities are lacking.	professional. Critical Attributes: School- or district-created materials about the instructional program are sent home. The teacher sends home infrequent or incomplete information about the instructional program. The teacher maintains a school-required grade book but does little else to inform families about student progress.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher regularly makes information about the instructional program available. • The teacher regularly updates the digital grading system.	Critical Attributes: The teacher initiates communication and responds effectively and sensitively to family concerns. The teacher engages families in the instructional program as appropriate.

Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher's relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving. The teacher avoids participation in a professional culture of inquiry, resisting opportunities to become involved. The teacher avoids becoming involved in school events or school and district projects.	The teacher maintains cordial relationships with colleagues to fulfill duties that the school or district requires. The teacher participates in the school's culture of professional inquiry when invited to do so. The teacher participates in school events and or school or district projects when specifically	The teacher's relationships with colleagues are characterized by mutual support and cooperation; the teacher actively participates in a culture of professional inquiry. The teacher participates in school events and or in school and or district projects.	The teacher's relationships with colleagues are characterized by mutual support and cooperation, with the teacher taking. The teacher participates in school events and district projects, making a substantial contribution or assuming a leadership role in at least one aspect of school or district life.
Critical Attributes: • The teacher's relationships with colleagues are characterized by negativity and combativeness. • The teacher purposefully avoids contributing to activities promoting professional inquiry. • The teacher avoids involvement in school activities and district and community projects.	asked. Critical Attributes: The teacher has cordial relationships with colleagues. When invited, the teacher participates in activities related to professional inquiry. When asked, the teacher participates in school activities, as well as district and community projects.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher has supportive and collaborative relationships with colleagues. • The teacher regularly participates in activities related to professional inquiry. • The teacher frequently participates in school events and school district and community projects.	Critical Attributes: The teacher initiates collaborative relationships with colleagues. • The teacher regularly contributes to and leads events that positively impact school life. • The teacher regularly contributes to and leads significant district and community projects.

CRITERION 4e: GROWING AND DEVELOPING PROFESSIONALLY			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The teacher engages in no professional development activities to enhance knowledge or skill. The teacher resists feedback on teaching performance from either supervisors or more experienced colleagues. The teacher makes no effort to share knowledge with others or to assume professional responsibilities.	The teacher participates to a limited extent in professional activities when they are convenient. The teacher engages in a limited way with colleagues and supervisors in professional conversation about practice, including some feedback on teaching performance. The teacher finds limited ways to assist other teachers and contribute to the profession.	The teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skill. The teacher actively engages with colleagues and supervisors in professional conversation about practice, including feedback about practice. The teacher participates actively in assisting other educators and looks for ways to contribute to the profession.	The teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development based on personal reflection of strengths and possible areas for improvement. The teacher solicits feedback on practice from both supervisors and colleagues. The teacher initiates important activities to contribute to the profession. The teacher implements what they have learned to improve student instruction.
Critical Attributes: • The teacher is not involved in any activity that might enhance knowledge or skill. • The teacher purposefully resists discussing performance with supervisors or colleagues. • The teacher ignores invitations to join professional organizations or attend conferences. *There is little or no evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Critical Attributes: The teacher participates in professional activities when they are required or provided by the district. The teacher reluctantly accepts feedback from supervisors and colleagues. The teacher contributes in a limited fashion to professional organizations. There is limited evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Critical Attributes: The teacher seeks regular opportunities for continued professional development. The teacher actively engages with colleagues and supervisors in professional conversation about practice. The teacher actively participates in assisting other educators and remains current with the profession. There is evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	 Critical Attributes: The teacher seeks regular opportunities for continued professional development The teacher reflects on practice to analyze strengths and areas for improvement. The teacher actively seeks feedback from supervisors and colleagues. The teacher finds opportunities to apply newly learned strategies and measure outcomes. The teacher takes a leadership role in professional organizations in order to contribute to the profession. There is evidence that professional learning has had significant impact on student performance.

CRITERION 4f: SHOWING PROFESSION	CRITERION 4f: SHOWING PROFESSIONALISM			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
The teacher lacks integrity when dealing with colleagues, students, and the public. The teacher is not alert to students' needs and contributes to school practices that result in some students being ill served by the school. The teacher makes decisions and recommendations that are based on self-serving interests. The teacher does not follow school and district policies and procedures.	The teacher displays integrity in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public. The teacher's attempts to serve students are inconsistent, and do not fully meet students' needs. The teacher's decisions are based on limited considerations. The teacher must be reminded by supervisors to follow school and district policies and procedures.	The teacher displays high standards of integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public. The teacher is active in serving students, working to ensure that all students receive a fair opportunity to succeed. The teacher maintains an open mind in team or departmental decision making. The teacher follows school and district policies and procedures.	The teacher demonstrates high standards of integrity, and confidentiality. The teacher is highly proactive in serving students, seeking out resources when needed. The teacher makes a concerted effort to challenge negative attitudes or practices to ensure that all students are honored in the school. The teacher helps ensure that team and departmental decisions are based on the highest professional standards. The teacher follows school and district	
Critical Attributes: The teacher lacks integrity. The teacher does not recognize the needs of students. The teacher engages in practices that are self-serving. The teacher willfully rejects district policies and procedures.	Critical Attributes: The teacher has integrity. The teacher recognizes the needs of students but is inconsistent in addressing them. The teacher does not notice practices which result in poor or less than optimal conditions for students. The teacher has limited involvement in team and departmental decision making. The teacher must be reminded by supervisors to comply with school and district policies and procedures.	Critical Attributes: • The teacher exhibits high standards of integrity and confidentiality. • The teacher actively addresses student needs. • The teacher actively works to provide opportunities for student success. • The teacher willingly participates in team and departmental decision making. • The teacher follows district policies and procedures.	policies and procedures Critical Attributes: The teacher demonstrates integrity, and confidentiality. The teacher is highly proactive in serving students. The teacher makes a concerted effort to ensure opportunities are available for all students to be successful. The teacher takes an active role in team and departmental decision making. The teacher follows district policies and procedures.	

Litchfield School District: Instructional Specialist Evaluation Criteria

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

PROGRAM AND LEVELS OF TEACHER SKI Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist demonstrates	Instructional specialist	Instructional specialist	Instructional specialist's knowledge of
little or no familiarity with specialty	demonstrates basic familiarity with	demonstrates thorough knowledge	specialty area and trends in professional
area or trends in professional	specialty area and trends in	of specialty area and trends in	development is wide and deep; specialist
development. Instructional specialist	professional development. The	professional development.	is regarded as an expert by colleagues.
demonstrates little or no knowledge of	specialist demonstrates basic	Instructional specialist	The specialist is deeply familiar with the
the school's program.	knowledge of the school's program.	demonstrates thorough knowledge of the school's program.	school's program and works to shape its future direction.
Criterion 1b: ESTABLISHING GOALS FOR	THE INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT PROGE	<u> </u>	ND THE TEACHERS SERVED.
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist has no clear	Instructional specialist's goals for	Instructional specialist's goals for	Instructional specialist's goals for the
goals for the instructional support	the instructional support program	the instructional support program	instructional support program are highly
program, or they are inappropriate to	are rudimentary and are partially	are clear and are suitable to the	appropriate to the situation and the needs
either the situation or the needs of the	suitable to the situation and the	situation and the needs of the	of the staff. They have been developed
staff.	needs of the staff.	staff.	following consultation with administrators
			and colleagues.
Criterion 1c: DEMONSTRATING KNOWL	EDGE OF RESOURCES, BOTH WITHIN A	ND BEYOND THE SCHOOL AND DISTRI	CT.
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist demonstrates	Instructional specialist	Instructional specialist is fully	Instructional specialist actively seeks out
little or no knowledge of resources	demonstrates basic knowledge of	aware of resources available in the	new resources from a wide range of
available in the school or district for	resources available in the school	school and district and in the larger	sources to enrich teachers' skills in
teachers to advance their skills.	and district but infrequently helps	professional community and helps	implementing the schools' programs.
	teachers utilize these resources for	teachers utilize these resources for	
	teachers to advance their skills.	teachers to advance their skills.	
	teachers to davance their skins.		
Criterion 1d: PLANNING AND EVALU		RT PROGRAM, INTEGRATED WITH	THE OVERALL SCHOOL PROGRAM.
Criterion 1d: PLANNING AND EVALU Level 1: Unsatisfactory		PRT PROGRAM, INTEGRATED WITH Level 3: Proficient	THE OVERALL SCHOOL PROGRAM. Level 4: Distinguished
	JATING THE INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPO		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the	JATING THE INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPO Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the	Level 2: Basic Instructional specialist's plan for	Level 3: Proficient Instructional specialist's plan for	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly coherent, and has been developed
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program consists of a random collection of unrelated	Level 2: Basic Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program	Level 3: Proficient Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly coherent, and has been developed following consultation with administrators
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program consists of a random collection of unrelated activities lacking coherence or an	Level 2: Basic Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program has a guiding principle and includes	Level 3: Proficient Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is well designed. The specialist's	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly coherent, and has been developed following consultation with administrators and teachers. The specialist plan to
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program consists of a random collection of unrelated activities lacking coherence or an overall structure. The specialist has no	Level 2: Basic Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program has a guiding principle and includes a number of worthwhile activities, but some of them don't fit with the broader goals. Instructional	Level 3: Proficient Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is well designed. The specialist's plan to evaluate the instructional support program is organized around clear goals and the	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly coherent, and has been developed following consultation with administrator and teachers. The specialist plan to evaluate the instructional support
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program consists	Level 2: Basic Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program has a guiding principle and includes a number of worthwhile activities, but some of them don't fit with the	Level 3: Proficient Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is well designed. The specialist's plan to evaluate the instructional support program is organized	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly coherent, and has been developed following consultation with administrators and teachers. The specialist plan to evaluate the instructional support program is highly sophisticated with
Level 1: Unsatisfactory Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program consists of a random collection of unrelated activities lacking coherence or an overall structure. The specialist has no plan to evaluate the instructional	Level 2: Basic Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program has a guiding principle and includes a number of worthwhile activities, but some of them don't fit with the broader goals. Instructional	Level 3: Proficient Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is well designed. The specialist's plan to evaluate the instructional support program is organized around clear goals and the	Level 4: Distinguished Instructional specialist's plan for the instructional support program is highly coherent, and has been developed following consultation with administrators and teachers. The specialist plan to evaluate the instructional support

DOMAIN 2: THE ENVIRONMENT

Criterion 2a: CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF TRUST AND RESPECT, ESTABLISHING A CULTURE FOR ONGOING INSTRUCTIONAL IMPROVEMENT, AND ESTABLISHING CLEAR PROCEDURES FOR TEACHERS TO GAIN ACCESS TO INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT.				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Teachers are reluctant to request	Relationships with the instructional	Relationships with the instructional	Relationships with the instructional	
assistance from the instructional	specialist are cordial; teachers don't	specialist are respectful, with some	specialist are highly respectful and	
specialist, fearing that such a	resist initiatives established by the	contacts initiated by teachers. The	trusting, with many contacts	
request will be treated as a sign of	instructional specialist. Teachers do	specialist promotes a culture of	initiated by teachers. The specialist	
deficiency. The specialist conveys	not resist the offerings of support	professional inquiry in which	has established a culture of	
the sense that the work of improving	from the instructional specialist.	teachers seek assistance in	professional inquiry in which	
instruction is externally mandated	Some procedures (for example,	improving their instructional skills.	teachers initiate projects to be	
and is not important to school	sending students for reading	The specialist has established clear	undertaken with the support of the	
improvement. When teachers want	support) are clear to teachers,	procedures for teachers to use in	specialist. Procedures for access to	
to access assistance from the	whereas others (for example,	gaining access to instructional	instructional support are clear to all	
specialist, they are not sure how to	receiving informal support) are not.	support.	teachers and have been developed	
go about it.			following consultation with	
			administrators and teachers.	
Criterion 2b: ESTABLISHING AND MA	INTAINING NORMS OF BEHAVIOR FOR	PROFESSIONAL INTERACTIONS		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
No norms of professional conduct	Instructional specialist's efforts to	Instructional specialist has	Instructional specialist has	
have been established; teachers are	establish norms of professional	established clear norms of mutual	established clear norms of mutual	
frequently disrespectful in their	conduct are partially successful.	respect for professional interaction.	respect for professional interaction,	
interactions with one another.			and try to ensure that their	
			colleagues adhere to these	
			standards of conduct.	

DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE

Criterion 3a: COLLABORATING WITH TEACHERS IN THE DESIGN OF INSTRUCTIONAL UNITS AND LESSONS, ENGAGING TEACHERS IN NEW INSTRUCTIONAL SKILLS, AND SHARING EXPERTISE WITH STAFF				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Instructional specialist does not	Instructional specialist collaborates	Instructional specialist initiates	Instructional specialist initiates	
collaborate with classroom teachers	with classroom teachers in the	collaboration with classroom	collaboration with classroom	
in the design of instructional lessons	design of instructional lessons and	teachers in the design of	teachers in the design of	
and units. The specialist rarely	units when specifically asked to do	instructional lessons and units. The	instructional lessons and units,	
engages teachers in professional	so. The specialist's efforts to engage	specialist engages teachers in	locating additional resources from	
learning. The specialist's	teachers in professional learning are	acquiring new instructional skills.	sources outside the school. The	
instructional guidance is of poor	partially successful, with some	The quality of the specialist's	specialist skillfully engages teachers	
quality or is not appropriate to the	participating. The quality of the	instructional guidance is appropriate	in acquiring new instructional skills	
needs of the teachers being served.	specialist's instructional guidance is	to the needs of the teachers being	and takes initiative in suggesting	
	mixed, with some being appropriate	served.	new areas for growth. The quality of	
	to the needs of the teachers being		the specialist's instructional	
	served.		guidance is uniformly high and	
			appropriate to the needs of the	
			teachers being served.	
	FOR TEACHERS TO SUPPORT INSTRUCTI	T		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Instructional specialist fails to locate	Instructional specialist's efforts to	Instructional specialist locates	Instructional specialist is highly	
resources for instructional	locate resources for instructional	resources for instructional	proactive in locating resources for	
improvement for teachers, even	improvement for teachers are	improvement for teachers when	instructional improvement for	
when specifically requested to do so.	partially successful, reflecting	asked to do so.	teachers, anticipating their needs.	
	incomplete knowledge of what is			
	available.			
Criterion 3c: DEMONSTRATING FLEXI	BILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Instructional specialist adheres to	Instructional specialist makes	Instructional specialist makes	Instructional specialist is continually	
specialist's plan, in spite of evidence	modest changes in the support	revisions to the support program	seeking ways to improve the support	
of its inadequacy.	program when confronted with	when it is needed.	program and makes changes as	
	evidence of the need for change.		needed in response to student,	
			parent, or teacher input.	

Criterion 3d: WORKING WITH STAFF TO ANALYZE ASSESSMENT RESULTS AND USING ASSESSMENT TO MONITOR STUDENTS LEARNING			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist does not	Instructional specialist looks over	Instructional specialist works with	Instructional specialist works with
participate in analyzing assessment results with colleagues. The specialist administers summative assessment and moves on without planning follow-up with students.	students' assessments with colleagues to see if there is anything that needs to be re-taught. The specialist administers summative assessment and looks them over to see if there is anything to be retaught.	colleagues to use data from formative and summative assessments to adjust teaching and re-teach if necessary. The specialist administers formative and summative assessments to measure student learning, and uses the results to adjust instruction.	colleagues to analyze formative and summative assessment data to fine-tune teaching, re-teach, and help differentiate instruction for students. The specialist administers diagnostic, formative and summative assessments that align with instructional goals to monitor student learning and uses the results to modify instruction.
Criterion 3e: DESIGNING LESSONS W TEACHING STRATEGIES, AND ENGAGI	ITH CLEAR MEASURABLE GOALS ALIGNING STUDENTS AS ACTIVE LEARNERS.	ED TO DISTRICT OUTCOMES, UTILIZING	A VARIETY OF MATERIALS AND
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist designs lessons aimed primarily at entertaining students or covering textbook chapters. The specialist uses only one or two teaching strategies, technologies, and types of questions and/or materials, and fails to accommodate the students' learning needs. The specialist does not actively involve students in lessons. Strategy practice is of low quality.	Instructional specialist designs lessons only with unit goals in mind. The specialist uses a limited range of teaching strategies, questions, materials, grouping practices, and technologies, and attempts to accommodate students' learning needs with mixed success. The specialist attempts to get students actively involved, but some students are disengaged. There is little or limited evidence	Instructional specialist designs lessons focused on measurable outcomes aligned with most state and district standards. The specialist uses teaching strategies, questions, materials, grouping practices, and technologies to support student learning and accommodate most students' learning needs. The specialist has students actively think about, discuss and use the ideas and skills being taught.	Instructional specialist designs lessons with clear, measurable goals, closely aligned with state and district standards. The specialist utilizes a variety of highly effective teaching strategies, questions, materials, grouping practices, and technologies to foster student learning and skillfully meet the needs and styles of all students. The specialist gets students highly involved in focused work in which they are active learners, risk takers, and problem solvers.
There is no evidence that professional learning improved student learning.	that professional learning improved student learning.	There is evidence that professional learning improved student learning.	There is evidence that professional learning significantly improved student learning.

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Criterion 4a: REFLECTING ON PRACTI	CE		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist does not	Instructional specialist's reflection	Instructional specialist's reflection	Instructional specialist's reflection is
reflect on practice, or the reflections	on practice is moderately accurate	provides an accurate and objective	highly accurate and perceptive,
are inaccurate or self-serving.	and objective without citing specific	description of practice, citing	citing specific examples. The
	examples and with only global	specific positive and negative	specialist draws on an extensive
There is no evidence that	suggestions as to how it might be	characteristics. The specialist makes	repertoire to suggest alternative
professional learning improved	improved.	some specific suggestions as to how	strategies accompanied by a
student learning.		the support program might be	prediction of the likely
C	There is little or limited evidence	improved.	consequences of each.
	that professional learning improved		There is evidence that professional
	student learning.	There is evidence that professional	There is evidence that professional
	j –	learning improved student learning.	learning significantly improved
Criterion 4b: PREPARING AND SUBM	ITTING BUDGETS AND DEPORTS		student learning.
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist does not	Instructional specialist's efforts to	Instructional specialist's budgets are	Instructional specialist anticipates
follow established procedures for	prepare budgets are partially	complete, anticipating all	and responds to teacher needs
preparing budgets and submitting	successful, anticipating most	expenditures and following	when preparing budgets, following
reports. Reports are routinely late.	expenditures and following	established procedures. Reports are	established procedures and
reporter reports are readinery rate.	established procedures. Reports are	submitted on time.	suggesting improvements to those
	sometimes submitted on time.		procedures. Reports are submitted
			on time.
Criterion 4c: COORDINATING WORK \	WITH OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL SPECIALIS	TS	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist makes no	Instructional specialist responds	Instructional specialist initiates	Instructional specialist takes a
effort to collaborate with other	positively to the efforts of other	efforts to collaborate with other	leadership role in coordinating
instructional specialists within the	instructional specialists within the	instructional specialists within the	projects with other instructional
district.	district to collaborate.	district.	specialists within and beyond the
			district.
Criterion 4d: PARTICIPATING IN A PR	OFESSIONAL COMMUNITY		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Instructional specialist's	Instructional specialist's	Instructional specialist participates	Instructional specialist makes a
relationships with colleagues are	relationships with colleagues are	actively in school and district events	substantial contribution to school
negative or self-serving, and the	cordial, and the specialist	and projects and maintains positive	and district events and projects and
specialist avoids being involved in	participates in school and district	and productive relationships with	assumes a leadership role with
school and district events and	events and projects when	colleagues.	colleagues.
projects.	specifically requested.		

Criterion 4e: ENGAGING IN PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Instructional specialist does not participate in professional development activities, even when such activities are clearly needed for the enhancement of skills.	Instructional specialist's participation in professional development activities is limited to those that are convenient or are required.	Instructional specialist seeks out opportunities for professional development based on an individual assessment of need.	Instructional specialist actively pursues professional development opportunities and makes a substantial contribution to the profession through such activities as participating in state or national conferences for other specialists.	
Criterion 4f: SHOWING PROFESSIONA	ALISM, INCLUDING INTEGRITY AND COI	NFIDENTIALITY		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Instructional specialist displays dishonesty in interactions with colleagues and violates norms of confidentiality.	Instructional specialist is honest in interactions with colleagues and respects norms of confidentiality.	Instructional specialist displays high standards of honesty and integrity in interactions with colleagues and respects norms of confidentiality.	Instructional specialist can be counted on to hold the highest standards of honesty and integrity and takes a leadership role with colleagues in respecting the norms of confidentiality.	

Litchfield School District: Librarian/Media Specialist Evaluation Criteria

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

Criterion 1a: DEMONSTRATING KNOW	Criterion 1a: DEMONSTRATING KNOWLEDGE OF LITERATURE AND CURRENT TRENDS IN LIBRARY/MEDIA PRACTICE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist demonstrates	Drawing on extensive professional		
little or no knowledge of literature and	limited knowledge of literature and of	thorough knowledge of literature and of	resources, library/media specialist		
of current trends in practice and	current trends in practice and	current trends in practice and	demonstrates rich understanding of		
information technology.	information technology.	information technology.	literature and of current trends in		
			practice and information technology.		
Criterion 1b: DEMONSTRATING KNOW	WLEDGE OF THE SCHOOL'S PROGRAM A	ND STUDENT INFORMATION NEEDS W	THIN THAT PROGRAM		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist takes a		
little or no knowledge of the school's	basic knowledge of the school's content	thorough knowledge of the school's	leadership role, when adequate		
content standards and of students'	standards and of students' needs for	content standards and of students'	technology is available in the library,		
needs for information skills within those	information skills within those	needs for information skills within those	within the school and district to		
standards.	standards.	standards.	articulate the needs of students for		
			information technology within the		
			school's academic program.		
Criterion 1c: ESTABLISHING GOALS FO	OR LIBRARY/MEDIA PROGRAM APPROP	RIATE TO THE SETTING AND STUDENTS	SERVED		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Library/media specialist has no clear	Library/media specialist's goals for the	Library/media specialist's goals for the	Library/media specialist's goals for the		
goals for the media program, or they are	media program are rudimentary and are	media program are clear and	media program are highly appropriate to		
inappropriate to either the situation in	partially suitable to the situation in the	appropriate to the situation in the school	the situation in the school and to the age		
the school or the age of the students.	school and the age of the students.	and to the age of the students.	of the students and have been		
			developed following consultations with		
			students and colleagues.		
Criterion 1d: DEMONSTRATING KNOW	WLEDGE OF RESOURCES, WITHIN AND B	EYOND THE SCHOOL AND DISTRICT ANI	O TO ACCESS SUCH RESOURCES AS		
INTERLIBRARY LOAN					
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist demonstrates	Library/media specialist is fully aware of	Library/media specialist is fully aware of		
little or no knowledge of resources	little or no knowledge of resources	resources available for students and	resources available for students and		
available for students and teachers in	available for students and teachers in	teachers in the school, in other schools	teachers and actively seeks out new		
the school, in other schools in the	the school, in other schools in the	in the district, and in the larger	resources from a wide range of sources		
district, and in the larger community to	district, and in the larger community to	community to advance program goals.	to enrich the school's program.		
advance program goals.	advance program goals. Library/media				
	specialist demonstrates basic knowledge				
	of resources available for students and				
	teachers in the school, in other schools				
	in the district, and in the larger				
	community to advance program goals				

Criterion 1e: PLANNING THE LIBRARY/MEDIA PROGRAM INTEGRATED WITH THE OVERALL SCHOOL PROGRAM				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Library/media program consists of a random collection of unrelated activities, lacking coherence or an overall structure.	Library/media specialist's plan has a guiding principle and includes a number of worthwhile activities, but some of them don't fit with the broader goals.	Library/media specialist's plan is well designed to support both teachers and students in their information needs.	Library/media specialist's plan is highly coherent, taking into account the competing demands of scheduled time in the library, consultative work with teachers, planning, delivering and assessing classes, and work in maintaining and extending the collection; the plan has been developed after consultation with teachers.	

Criterion 1f: DEVELOPING A PLAN TO EVALUATE THE LIBRARY/MEDIA PROGRAM			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist has no plan to	Library/media specialist has a	Library/media specialist's plan to	Library/media specialist's evaluation
evaluate the program or resists	rudimentary plan to evaluate the	evaluate the program is organized	plan is highly sophisticated, with
suggestions that such an evaluation is	library/media program.	around clear goals and the collection of	effective sources of evidence and a clear
important.		evidence to indicate the degree to which	path toward improving the program on
		the goals have been met.	an ongoing basis.

PRINCIPLE 2: THE ENVIRONMENT

Criterion 2a: CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF RESPECT AND RAPPORT			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Interactions, both between the	Interactions, both between the	Interactions, between library/media	Interactions among the library/media
library/media specialist and students	library/media specialist and students	specialist and students and among	specialist, individual students, and the
and among students, are negative,	and among students, are generally	students are polite and respectful,	classroom teachers are highly respectful,
inappropriate, or insensitive to students'	appropriate and free from conflict but	reflecting general warmth and caring,	reflecting genuine warmth and caring
cultural back-grounds, and characterized	may be characterized by occasional	and are appropriate to the cultural and	and sensitivity to students' cultures and
by sarcasm, put-downs, or conflict.	displays of insensitivity or lack of	developmental differences among	levels of development. Students
	responsiveness to cultural or	groups of students.	themselves demonstrate high levels of
	developmental differences among		civility among students in the library.
	students.		
Criterion 2b: ESTABLISHING A CULTU	RE FOR INVESTIGATION AND LOVE OF L	ITERATURE	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist conveys a sense	Library/media specialist goes through	Library/media specialist, in interactions	Library/media specialist, in interactions
that the work of seeking information and	the motions of performing the work of	with both students and colleagues,	with both students and colleagues,
reading literature is not worth the time	the position but without any real	conveys a sense of the importance of	conveys a sense of the essential nature
and energy required.	commitment to it.	seeking information and reading	of seeking information and reading
		literature.	literature. Students appear to have
			internalized these values as shown by
			students actively seeking information
			and reading materials.

Criterion 2c: ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING LIBRARY PROCEDURES			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Media center routines and procedures (for example, for circulation of materials, working on computers, independent work) are either nonexistent or inefficient, resulting in general confusion. Library assistants, if assistants are employed, are confused as to their role.	Media center routines and procedures (for example, for circulation of materials, working on computers, independent work) have been established but function sporadically. Efforts to establish guidelines for library assistants, if assistants are employed, are partially successful.	Media center routines and procedures (for example, for circulation of materials, working on computers, independent work) have been established and function smoothly. Library assistants, if assistants are employed, are clear as to their role	Media center routines and procedures (for example, for circulation of materials, working on computers, independent work) are seamless in their operation, with students assuming considerable responsibility for their smooth operation. Library assistants, if assistants are employed, work independently and contribute to the success of the media center.
Criterion 2d: MANAGING STUDENT B	EHAVIOR		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
There is no evidence that standards of conduct have been established, and little or no monitoring of student behavior. Response to student misbehavior is repressive or disrespectful of student dignity.	It appears that the library/media specialist has made an effort to establish standards of conduct for students and tries to monitor student behavior and respond to student misbehavior, but these efforts are not always successful.	Standards of conduct appear to be clear to students, and the library/media specialist monitors student behavior against those standards. Library/media response to student misbehavior is appropriate and respects the students' dignity.	Standards of conduct are clear, with evidence of student participation in setting them. Library/media specialist's monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventive, and teacher's response to student misbehavior is sensitive to individual student needs. Students take an active role in monitoring the standards of behavior.
Criterion 2e: ORGANIZING PHYSICAL	SPACE		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist makes poor use of the physical environment, resulting in poor traffic flow, confusing signage, inadequate space devoted to work areas and computer use, and general confusion.	Library/media specialist's efforts to make use of the physical environment are uneven, resulting in occasional confusion.	Library/media specialist makes effective use of the physical environment, resulting in good traffic flow, clear signage, and adequate space devoted to work areas and computer use.	Library/media specialist makes highly effective use of the physical environment, resulting in clear signage, excellent traffic flow, and adequate space devoted to work areas and computer use. In addition, book displays are attractive and inviting.

PRINCIPLE 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE

Criterion 3a: MAINTAINING AND EXTENDING THE LIBRARY COLLECTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCHOOL'S NEEDS AND WITHIN BUDGET LIMITATIONS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist fails to adhere	Library/media specialist is partially	Library/media specialist adheres to	Library/media specialist selects materials
to district or professional guidelines in	successful in attempts to adhere to	district or professional guidelines in	for the collection thoughtfully and in
selecting materials for the collection and	district or professional guidelines in	selecting materials for the collection and	consultation with teaching colleagues,
does not periodically purge the	selecting materials, to weed the	periodically purges the collection of	and periodically purges the collection of
collection of outdated material.	collection and to establish balance.	outdated material. Collection is balanced	outdated material. Collection is balanced
Collection is unbalanced among different		among different areas.	among different areas.
areas.			

Criterion 3b: COLLABORATING WITH TEACHERS IN THE DESIGN OF INSTRUCTIONAL UNITS AND LESSONS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist declines to	Library/media specialist collaborates	Library/media specialist collaborates	Library/media specialist collaborates
collaborate with classroom teachers in	with classroom teachers in the design of	with classroom teachers in the design of	with classroom teachers in the design of
the design of instructional lessons and	instructional lessons and units when	instructional lessons and units.	instructional lessons and units, locating
units.	specifically asked to do so.		additional resources from sources
			outside the school.
Criterion 3c: ENGAGING STUDENTS IN E	NJOYING LITERATURE AND IN LEARNING IN	FORMATION SKILLS	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Students are not engaged in enjoying	Only some students are engaged in	Students are engaged in enjoying	Students are highly engaged in enjoying
literature and in learning information	enjoying literature and in learning	literature and in learning information	literature and in learning information
skills because of poor design of activities,	information skills due to uneven design	skills because of effective design of	skills and take initiative in ensuring the
poor grouping strategies, or	of activities, grouping strategies, or	activities, grouping strategies, or	engagement of their peers.
inappropriate materials.	partially appropriate materials.	partially appropriate materials.	
Criterion 3d: ASSISTING STUDENTS AND	TEACHERS IN THE USE OF TECHNOLOGY IN	THE LIBRARY/MEDIA CENTER	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist declines to	Library/media specialist assists students	Library/media specialist initiates	Library/media specialist is proactive in
assist students and teachers in the use	and teachers in the use of technology in	sessions to assist students and teachers	initiating sessions to assist students and
of technology in the library/media	the library/media center when	in the use of technology in the	teachers in the use of technology in the
center.	specifically asked to do so.	library/media center.	library/media center.
Criterion 3e: DEMONSTRATING FLEXI	BILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Library/media specialist adheres to the	Library/media specialist makes modest	Library/media specialist makes revisions	Library/media specialist is continually
plan, in spite of evidence of its	changes in the library/media program	to the library/media program when they	seeking ways to improve the
inadequacy.	when confronted with evidence of the	are needed.	library/media program and makes
	need for change.		changes as needed in response to
			student, parent, or teacher input.

PRINCIPLE 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Criterion 4a: REFLECTING ON PRACTICE							
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished				
Library/media specialist does not reflect on practice, or the reflections are inaccurate or self-serving. There is little or no evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Library/media specialist's reflection on practice is moderately accurate and objective, without citing specific examples and with only global suggestions as to how it might be improved. There is limited evidence that professional learning has improved student performance.	Library/media specialist's reflection provides an accurate and objective description of practice, citing specific positive and negative characteristics. Library/media specialist makes some specific suggestions as to how the media program might be improved. There is evidence that professional learning improved student learning.	Library/media specialist's reflection is highly accurate and perceptive, citing specific examples. Library/media specialist draws on an extensive repertoire to suggest alternative strategies and their likely success. There is evidence that professional learning has had significant impact on student performance.				

Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished				
Library/media specialist ignores teacher	Library/media specialist's efforts to	Library/media specialist honors teacher	Library/media specialist anticipates				
requests when preparing requisitions	prepare budgets are partially successful,	requests when preparing requisitions	teacher needs when preparing				
and budgets or does not follow	responding sometimes to teacher	and budgets and follows established	requisitions and budgets, follows				
established procedures. Inventories and	requests and following procedures.	procedures. Inventories and reports are	established procedures, and suggests				
reports are routinely late even when	Inventories and reports are sometimes	sometimes submitted on time when	improvements to those procedures.				
provided with adequate time.	submitted on time even when provided	provided with adequate time.	Inventories and reports are submitted				
provided with adequate time.	with adequate time.	provided with adequate time.	on time when provided with adequate				
	with adequate time.		time.				
Criterion 4c: COMMUNICATING WITH TH	L LARGER COMMUNITY		tine.				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished				
Library/media specialist makes no effort	Library/media specialist makes sporadic	Library/media specialist engages in	Library/media specialist is proactive in				
to engage in outreach efforts to parents	efforts to engage in outreach efforts to	outreach efforts to parents and the	reaching out to parents and establishing				
or the larger community.	parents or the larger community.	larger community.	contacts with outside libraries,				
,	,	,	coordinating efforts for mutual benefit.				
Criterion 4d: PARTICIPATING IN THE PROFESSIONAL COMMUNITY							
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished				
Library/media specialist's relationships	Library/media specialist's relationships	Library/media specialist participates	Library/media specialist makes a				
with colleagues are negative or self-	with colleagues are cordial, and the	actively in school and district events and	substantial contribution to school and				
serving, and the specialist avoids being	specialist participates in school and	projects and maintains positive and	district events and projects and assumes				
involved in school and district events	district events and projects when	productive relationships with colleagues.	leadership with colleagues.				
and projects.	specifically requested.						
Criterion 4e: ENGAGING IN PROFESSI	ONAL DEVELOPMENT						
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished				
Library/media specialist does not	Library/media specialist's participation	Library/media specialist seeks out	Library/media specialist actively pursues				
participate in professional development	in professional development activities is	opportunities for professional	professional development opportunities				
activities, even when such activities are	limited to those that are convenient or	development based on an individual	and makes a substantial contribution to				
clearly needed for the enhancement of	are required.	assessment of need.	the profession through such activities as				
skills.			offering workshops to colleagues.				
Criterion 4f: SHOWING PROFESSIONALISM							
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished				
Library/media specialist displays	Library/media specialist is honest in	Library/media specialist displays high	Library/media specialist can be counted				
dishonesty in interactions with	interactions with colleagues, students,	standards of honesty and integrity in	on to hold the highest standards of				
colleagues, students, and the public;	and the public; respects copyright laws.	interactions with colleagues, students,	honesty and integrity and takes a				
violates copyright laws.		and the public; adheres carefully to	leadership role with colleagues, in				
		copyright laws.	ensuring there is no plagiarism or				
			violation of copyright laws.				

School Counselor Evaluation Rubric

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

	Level of Performance					
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished		
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of School Counseling Theory	School Counselor demonstrates little or no understanding of school programming, counseling techniques, and theory.	School Counselor demonstrates limited understanding of school programming, counseling techniques, and theory.	School Counselor demonstrates solid understanding of school programming, counseling techniques, and theory.	School Counselor demonstrates deep and thorough understanding of school programming, counseling techniques and theory. School Counselor's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective counseling approaches.		
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor displays minimal understanding and application of the district/ school programming and counseling techniques. School Counselor's plans use inappropriate strategies. 	 School Counselor displays rudimentary understanding and application of the district/school programming. School Counselor's knowledge and use of counseling techniques is of moderate value or suitability in meeting the needs of some students. 	 School Counselor demonstrates solid understanding and application of the district/school programming and counseling techniques. School Counselor is able to select and employ suitable and effective techniques to meet the needs of most students. 	 School Counselor demonstrates extensive knowledge and application of the district/school programming and counseling techniques. School Counselor actively investigates new counseling theories and techniques, and skillfully selects and employs those that meet the needs of individual students. 		

		Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished		
1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students	School Counselor displays little or no knowledge of child and adolescent development nor of students' skills, special needs, interests and cultural heritages.	School Counselor displays limited knowledge of child and adolescent development, and some knowledge of the varied students' skills, special needs, interests and cultural heritages.	School Counselor demonstrates understanding of the typical developmental characteristics of the age group, as well as exceptions to the general patterns of development. Counselor displays accurate and detailed knowledge of students' skills, special needs, interests and cultural heritages.	In addition to the characteristics of "proficient," School Counselor displays knowledge of the extent to which individual students follow the general patterns of development. School Counselor demonstrates extensive knowledge of students, systematically acquiring knowledge from several sources about individual students' knowledge, skills, special needs, interests and cultural heritages.		
Critical Attributes	School Counselor does not understand child development characteristics and has unrealistic expectations for students. School Counselor is unaware of the individualized needs of students and ignores students' cultures, language, interests, special needs, history and/or circumstances.	School Counselor cites developmental theory, but does not seek to integrate theory into interactions with students. School Counselor inconsistently attempts to gain knowledge of individual needs of students and sometimes seeks to understand students' cultures, language, interests, special needs, history and/or circumstances.	School Counselor integrates knowledge of developmental theory with knowledge of students on their counseling roster to inform their practice. School Counselor actively seeks to gain knowledge of student background and experiences, culture, special needs, history and circumstances and uses this knowledge in	School Counselor applies understanding of developmental attributes to differentiate practice and decision-making based on individual students' circumstances School Counselor demonstrates thorough knowledge of student background and experiences, culture, special needs, history and circumstances, as well as knowledge of		

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
	School Counselor is unaware of medical issues and learning disabilities of students.	School Counselor is aware of medical issues and learning disabilities with some students, but does not seek to understand the implications of those issues and conditions.	practice and decision-making. • School Counselor is aware of medical issues and learning disabilities of all students on her caseload and works collaboratively with colleagues to understand the implications of those issues and conditions.	individualized techniques to support student. School Counselor uses this knowledge to proactively communicate with or about the student. • School Counselor researches medical and learning issues of students on her caseload, and works with those students to ensure their own understanding and ability to advocate on their own behalf.	

		Level of Pe	erformance	
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
1c: Establishing Counseling Outcomes	School Counselor has no clear goals for the counseling program, or goals are inappropriate to either the situation or the age of the students. Counseling outcomes represent low expectations for students.	School Counselor's goals for the counseling program are rudimentary and are partially suitable to the situation and the age of the students. Counseling outcomes represent moderate expectations and rigor.	School Counselor's goals for the counseling program are clear and appropriate to the situation in the school and to the age of the students. Counseling outcomes represent rigorous and important expectations for student learning and achievement.	School Counselor's goals for the counseling program are highly appropriate to the situation in the school and the age of the students, and have been developed in consultation with students, parents, and colleagues. Counseling outcomes represent high- level learning and achievement. Outcomes are differentiated and reflect multiple career and college ready paths based on student aspirations and input.
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor cannot identify goals for students. Goals do not represent the needs of the student population. 	 Goals represent low expectations for students. Goals reflect minimal consideration of student population needs. 	 Goals represent high expectations and rigor for students. Goals reflect consistent consideration of student population needs. 	 Goals represent high expectations and rigor and are differentiated to encourage individual students to take educational risks. Goals are carefully tailored and differentiated to meet the needs of the entire student population.

		Level of F	Performance	
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources	School Counselor demonstrates little or no knowledge of resources available to students through the school, district or community, nor is the counselor aware of resources for expanding one's own professional skills.	School Counselor displays some awareness of resources available to students through the school, district, or community and for extending one's professional skills but does not seek to expand their knowledge.	School Counselor displays knowledge of resources available to students through the school or district, as well as those in the community, on the internet, and other sources external to the school. School Counselor seeks resources to extend their own professional skills and knowledge.	School Counselor displays extensive knowledge of resources for students. These include school, district, community, and external resources. School Counselor makes extensive use of resources provided by professional organizations, universities and on the internet.
Critical Attributes	School Counselor does not seek resources outside the district to expand his/her knowledge. School Counselor is unable to suggest or identify resources that meet the needs of students.	 School Counselor sometimes seeks resources outside the district to expand his/her knowledge. School Counselor has limited suggestions for resources to meet the needs of students. 	 School Counselor knows how to gain access to resources outside the district and effectively shares them with students and parents. The School Counselor makes detailed suggestions for evidence-based resources to meet the needs of a diverse student population. 	 School Counselor proactively and routinely seeks resources outside the district and makes extensive effort to share with students, colleagues, parents and all community stakeholders. The School Counselor works collaboratively with colleagues, parents and students to create a list of evidence-based resources that will support the needs of a diverse student population.

Criterion	Level of Performance				
	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
1e: Contributes to the Development of a Coherent Counseling Plan	School Counselor plan consists of a random collection of unrelated activities, lacking coherence or an overall structure, and is poorly aligned to counseling outcomes. School Counselor plan is developed without input from stakeholders or inclusion of individual, school, and district needs.	School Counselor plan has a guiding principle and includes a number of activities, some of which do not fit with the broader goals. There is little differentiation for students. School Counselor seeks minimal input from stakeholders.	School Counselor plan includes important aspects of counseling in the school setting and includes school goals. Counseling programs follow an organized progression with differentiation for different groups of students. School Counselor routinely seeks input from stakeholders.	School Counselor plan is highly coherent and serves to support not only the students individually and in groups, but also the broader educational program. The plan provides opportunity for student choice. Input from stakeholders is incorporated throughout the plan.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor plan lacks structure and is not aligned to social decision- making, behavioral, mental health, and academic goals. There is no effort to connect counseling activities to counseling outcomes. School Counselor designs the plan without collaboration with stakeholders. 	 School Counselor plan may be unrealistic about expectations for social decision-making, behavioral, mental health, and academic goals. Counseling activities are loosely connected to counseling outcomes. School Counselor designs the plan with minimal collaboration with stakeholders. 	School Counselor plan is well structured and reasonable about expectations for social decision making, behavioral, mental health, and academic goals. Counseling activities match counseling outcomes. School Counselor consistently engages in collaborative planning with all in-district stakeholders.	 School Counselor plan is holistic, systemic, balanced, proactive, integrated into the academic agenda, and reflective in addressing individual student's social decision making, behavioral, mental health, and academic goals. Counseling activities are aligned with counseling outcomes and ensure student choice. School Counselor actively seeks input from multiple stakeholders within and beyond the district to maximize collaborative planning process. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
1f: Contributes to Overall Program Assessment	School Counselor has no plan to assess services or resists suggestions that such an evaluation is important.	School Counselor has a rudimentary plan to assess services. Assessment criteria and standards have been developed, but they are not clear. School Counselor's approach to using formative assessment is partially developed and includes only some of the program outcomes.	School Counselor's plan to assess services is organized around clear goals, criteria, and standards related to the collection of evidence. School Counselor has a well-developed strategy for using formative assessment data.	School Counselor's assessment plan is highly sophisticated, with a variety of evidence sources and clear criteria for assessing outcomes. The plan includes student contributions to its development. Assessment plan includes multiple methodologies to ensure accurate assessment of program effectiveness for diverse student groups.	
Critical Attributes	 There is no program assessment plan to gather feedback from stakeholders and evaluate services. The program assessment plan has no provision for data collection. School Counselor does not use data/assessments to plan future program priorities. 	 The program assessment plan contains minimal provision for feedback from stakeholders. The program assessment plan has limited provision for data collection. Only some of the counseling outcomes are addressed in the planned assessments. School Counselor minimally uses assessment data to plan future program priorities 	 The program assessment plan is updated based upon feedback from stakeholders. The program assessment plan provides for collection of relevant data and is consistent with program goals. School Counselor synthesizes data to plan effective future program priorities. 	 The program assessment plan includes cycles for reviewing progress of the counseling program, so that formative adjustments can be made as needed. The program assessment plan uses a variety of methods to collect data in order to assess program goals and services, including soliciting student input. School Counselor continually synthesizes data to plan for effective program priorities, monitors progress, and makes adjustments as needed. 	

Domain 2: The Environment

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport	School Counselor's interactions with students are mostly negative, inappropriate, or insensitive to students' ages, cultural backgrounds, and developmental levels. The counselor does not promote positive interactions among students, parents, or staff. The Counselor does not deal with disrespectful behavior. The counseling environment is not a place where students, teachers, and/or parents feel safe and respected.	School Counselor's interactions with students are generally appropriate, but may reflect occasional inconsistencies and insensitivity. The counselor makes occasional attempts to promote positive interactions among students, parents, or staff. The counselor attempts to respond to disrespectful behavior with uneven results. Students, teachers and/or parents feel somewhat safe and respected in the counseling environment.	School Counselor's interactions with students, parents and staff are respectful and appropriate to the ages, cultures, and developmental levels of the students. The counselor actively promotes positive interactions. The counseling environment is one in which participants feel safe and respected.	School Counselor's interactions with students, parents and staff are highly positive, respectful, and appropriate to the ages, cultures and developmental levels of the students, reflecting genuine warmth, caring and sensitivity. The counselor has successfully involved students in promoting positive student-to-student interactions.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor is insensitive to students' cultural backgrounds and developmental levels. School Counselor displays no familiarity with or caring about students. School Counselor speaks disrespectfully to students, parents, staff, or colleagues. School Counselor displays a lack of responsiveness to students, parents, staff and colleagues. 	 School Counselor makes inconsistent effort to be sensitive to students' cultural backgrounds and developmental levels. School Counselor demonstrates familiarity with the unique circumstances of some of the students on her roster. Quality of interactions between School Counselor and students, parents, and staff is uneven, with occasional disrespect or insensitivity. 	School Counselor is sensitive to all students' cultural backgrounds and developmental levels and fosters this sensitivity with students and staff. School Counselor demonstrates detailed knowledge of each of the students on her roster.	 School Counselor is highly sensitive to all students' cultural backgrounds and developmental levels and supports student initiation of events recognizing and including diverse populations. School Counselor consistently demonstrates knowledge of, compassion for, and responsiveness to all students. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
	School Counselor fails to convey an atmosphere of respect and encouragement.	 School Counselor is responsive to a small number of students, parents, staff and colleagues. School Counselor attempts to create an atmosphere of respect with uneven results. Students may hesitate to participate. 	 Interactions between School Counselor and students, parents, and staff are consistently respectful. School Counselor is responsive to parents, staff, colleagues, and all students on his/her caseload. School Counselor consistently creates an atmosphere of support and rapport. 	 School Counselor mode exemplary respect for students, parents, staff and community stakeholders, treating them with dignity in all situations. School Counselor is responsive to all school district, and community stakeholders. School Counselor facilitates an atmospher of genuine caring and respect for all. Student participate without fear put-downs or ridicule from either the School Counselor or other students. 	

		Level of	Performance	
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning	The counseling environment is characterized by little to no commitment of effort by the School Counselor or students. Students show no regard for the importance of the counseling work. School Counselor makes no attempt to encourage students to work hard and achieve at their highest level. School Counselor does not offer students support for college and career readiness.	The counseling environment is characterized by an inconsistent commitment of effort or investment of energy by the School Counselor or students. Students show little regard for the importance of the counseling work. The School Counselor encourages some students to achieve at a higher level. School Counselor offers some students support for college and career readiness.	The counseling environment is characterized by a consistent commitment of effort and investment of energy by the School Counselor and students. Students show positive regard for the importance of the counseling work. School Counselor interacts with all students to encourage hard work and support learning. School Counselor seeks to ensure that all students are college and career ready.	The counseling environment is characterized by a high commitment of effort and investment of energy by the School Counselor and students. Students take an active role in upholding the importance of the counseling work. School Counselor's interactions with students, staff, and families support attainment of success for all students and involves these stakeholders in ensuring all students are college and career ready.
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor conveys to some students that counseling work is too challenging for them. School Counselor sets no expectations for engagement and participation. Students are not encouraged to work hard. 	 School Counselor conveys a neutral position to students regarding their ability to meet the demands of counseling work. School Counselor sets limited expectations for engagement and participation. Some students receive encouragement to work hard from the counselor. 	 School Counselor communicates to students the importance of counseling work, and the conviction that with hard work they will succeed. School Counselor expects all students to engage with and participate in the counseling program. All students receive encouragement to work hard in order to achieve. 	 School Counselor communicates a passion for counseling work to students, staff, and families and a conviction that students can acquire the skills necessary to be successful. The School Counselor sets high expectations for engagement in the counseling program and communicates this importance to all students, staff, and families. The School Counselor supports students' initiative in improving the quality of their work.

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
2c: Managing Routines and Procedures	School Counselor's routines and practices are nonexistent or disorderly. There are no procedures established for scheduling time with the counselor. No schedule or use-of-time data is available. There is little evidence that students know or follow established routines.	School Counselor's routines and practices are partially successful. Procedures for scheduling time with the counselor are established, but have been communicated to only some students. Schedule and/or use-of-time data exist, but are not used or maintained. Students are aware of some routines and procedures, but they are only partially effective or are inconsistently followed.	School Counselor's routines and practices are effective. Procedures for scheduling time with the counselor are established, communicated to students, and followed. Schedule and use-of-time data are available, used, and fully maintained. Students know and follow established routines with minimal guidance and prompting.	School Counselor's routines and practices are seamless, and students assist in maintaining them. Procedures for scheduling time with the counselor are established with input from students and well communicated to all stakeholders. Schedule and use-of-time data are detailed and used in a highly effective manner. Routines and procedures are well understood and may be initiated or improved by students.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor has not established procedures or routines. School Counselor is unable to prioritize time-sensitive tasks. Lack of procedures or routines during classroom work or a counseling session result in student time off task. 	 School Counselor has inconsistently established procedures and routines. School Counselor is inconsistent in prioritizing tasks. Counseling office has some procedures and routines related to counseling activities and access to materials and resources. 	 School Counselor has established consistent routines and procedures. School Counselor consistently prioritizes tasks. Counseling office has clear and consistent procedures and routines that are organized to facilitate various counseling sessions and access to materials and resources. 	 School Counselor has seamlessly established routines and procedures. School Counselor seeks feedback from students and faculty regarding task prioritization. Counseling routines and procedures maximize student engagement, opportunities, and time on task. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
2d: Managing Student Behavior	School Counselor has established no standards of conduct for students during counseling or classroom sessions. No assistance with student behavior is offered to staff or families.	School Counselor's efforts to establish standards of conduct for counseling sessions or classroom are partially effective. School Counselor inconsistently attempts to monitor student behavior and sometimes offers behavioral assistance to staff or families.	School Counselor has established clear standards of conduct for counseling sessions or classroom. Student behavior in counseling sessions is generally appropriate. School Counselor makes significant effort to offer assistance to staff or families with student behavior.	School Counselor has established clear standards of conduct for counseling and classroom sessions and students contribute to maintaining them. Students take an active role in monitoring their own behavior and/or that of other students against standards of conduct. School Counselor takes a leadership role in providing assistance with student behavior and shares ideas with staff and/or families.	
Critical Attributes	 Counseling sessions are chaotic, with no standards of conduct evident. School Counselor disregards student's' violation of rules. Some students disrupt the counseling session, without apparent School Counselor awareness or with an ineffective response. 	 Standards have been established but are not consistently applied or monitored by the School Counselor. School Counselor maintains order with uneven success. Response to student misbehavior is inconsistent during the counseling and/or classroom guidance sessions. 	 Standards of conduct have been established and are monitored by the School Counselor. School Counselor maintains order with overall success. School counselor consistently and appropriately responds to student misbehavior during the counseling and classroom guidance sessions. 	 School Counselor's monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventative. Students actively monitor their own behavior. Students are involved in the development of appropriate behavioral guidelines for counseling sessions and actively contribute to maintaining order by addressing peer behavior based upon established behavioral guidelines. School Counselor models and promotes student ownership of behavior. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basi c	Proficient	Distinguished	
2e: Organizing Physical Space	School Counselor's physical environment is in disarray, unsafe, or is inappropriate for the planned activities. Physical barriers make the counseling area inaccessible to students with special needs.	School Counselor's attempts to create an inviting and well-organized physical environment are partially successful. Accommodations are made for some students' special needs.	The School Counseling center or classroom arrangements are inviting and conducive to the planned activities. All areas of the counseling center are accessible to students with special needs.	The School Counseling center and classroom arrangements are flexibly arranged to support a range of individual and group counseling activities. Arrangements provide individualized accommodations for students with special needs.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor does not consider or is unaware of the need to arrange the counseling space. There are physical hazards in the counseling center. There is no attempt to arrange the counseling center to meet the needs of students with physical or sensory disabilities. 	School Counselor makes some attempt to arrange the environment and make the counseling office inviting. School Counselor makes some attempt at arranging the counseling center to accommodate students with physical disabilities.	 School Counselor makes a concerted effort to make the counseling environment inviting and accessible for students. School Counselor successfully arranges the counseling center to accommodate groups with special needs. 	School Counselor enlists the input of students on how to make the counseling environment inviting and welcoming.	

Domain 3: Delivery of Services

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
3a: Communicating with Students	School Counselor rarely communicates with students. Communications contains major errors and/or are unclear. The Counselor's vocabulary is inappropriate, vague, or used incorrectly, leaving students confused. Communication is strictly one-way from School Counselor to students.	School Counselor attempts to communicate with students. Spoken and written communications contain some errors or are partially clear, requiring clarification. School Counselor's spoken communication may be correct, but vocabulary is not fully appropriate for students. School Counselor does not take opportunities to explain academic or counseling vocabulary. School Counselor makes minimal efforts at two-way communication with students.	School Counselor regularly communicates with students. School Counselor's oral and written communications are clear and accurate. School Counselor's use of academic and counseling vocabulary is precise and serves to extend understanding. School Counselor makes regular efforts at two-way communication with students.	School Counselor's oral and written communications with students are ongoing, clear, precise, and expressive. Misconceptions are anticipated by the School Counselor and prevented through use of well-honed communication skills. School Counselor takes the opportunity to extend students' knowledge of concepts and vocabulary. School Counselor frequently uses multiple means of soliciting input from, and communicating with, students.	
School Counselor does not share information, or makes serious errors that will affect student understanding. Students indicate, through body language or questions, that they do not understand the purpose or content of the session provided by the School Counselor School Counselor does not seek input from students.		 School Counselor shares limited information or provides only partially accurate information to students. School Counselor provides little explanation about the purpose of the session. School Counselor seeks input from students, but is inconsistent or sporadic in gathering or acting on such input. 	 School Counselor consistently shares accurate information with students. School Counselor clearly states the purpose of the session, as well as session goals. School Counselor regularly seeks input from students, and effectively acts on such input. 	 School Counselor has consistent and accurate collaborative communication with students. Students contribute to defining the purpose of the session; if asked, students are able to explain the purpose of the session. School Counselor's gathering of input from students is skillfully applied to make program improvements. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
3b: Using Appropriate Counseling Techniques	School Counselor has few counseling techniques to help students acquire skills in decision-making and problem solving for both interactions with other students and for future planning.	School Counselor displays a narrow range of counseling techniques to help students acquire skills in decision-making and problem solving for both interactions with other students and for future planning.	School Counselor uses a range of counseling techniques to help students acquire skills in decision-making and problem solving for both interactions with other students and for future planning.	School Counselor uses an extensive range of counseling techniques to help students acquire skills in decision-making and problem solving for both interactions with other students and for future planning.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor does not attempt to assist students in decision-making, goal setting, or problem solving. School Counselor makes poor use of questioning techniques, using low level or inappropriate questions. School Counselor's questions do not invite student response. 	School Counselor attempts to assist students in decision-making, goal setting, or problem solving. School Counselor's use of questioning and discussion techniques is adequate. School Counselor's questions invite students to respond, but most do not.	 School Counselor assists students in decision-making, goal setting, or problem solving. Questioning and discussion techniques are employed effectively, encouraging students to comfortably disclose information after having established confidentiality guidelines for discussions. School Counselor uses open-ended questions, inviting students to think and/or offer multiple responses. 	 School Counselor mentors students as they use available data to make decisions, set goals, or solve problems. Questioning and discussion techniques provide opportunities for students to use higher order thinking skills. School Counselor builds on and uses student responses to deepen student understanding and skill building. Students initiate, maintain, and extend discussions during the session. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
3c: Engaging Students in the Formulation of Current and Future Plans	School Counselor does not assist students in formulating personalized plans.	School Counselor attempts to assist some students in formulating personalized plans, but efforts are inconsistent.	School Counselor assists the students in formulating clear, purposeful, and personalized plans.	School Counselor supports students as they formulate personal academic, social/ emotional, and career plans. The process has a clearly defined structure and provides students with the time needed to engage with and reflect on their planning.	
Critical Attributes	School Counselor does not assist students in developing appropriate skills needed for formulating personalized plans.	School Counselor attempts to help students develop the skills needed for formulating personalized plans.	School Counselor provides clear strategies that assist students in developing successful skills for formulating personalized plans, goal setting, and decision making.	School Counselor enables student exploration of multiple strategies that will assist them in developing solid, lifetime skills for formulating personalized plans.	

		Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished		
3d: Assessing Student Needs	School Counselor neither assesses the progress students are making in programs nor consults with team members to address students' needs. Feedback is absent or of poor quality. Students do not engage in self-assessment. School Counselor does not use assessment to determine services that will address students' needs	School Counselor inconsistently assesses progress students are making in programs or limits consultation with team members to address students' needs. Feedback to students is general, and few students assess their own work. School Counselor sometimes uses assessments to determine services that will address students' needs.	School Counselor consistently assesses the progress students are making in programs and consults with team members to discuss students' needs. Feedback to students is accurate and specific; students frequently engage in self-assessment. School Counselor uses assessment to determine appropriate school-wide services to address the needs of the student population.	School Counselor consistently assesses students' progress in programs, using multiple measures and regularly consults with students, parents, and team members to discuss students' needs. A variety of forms and methods are used to provide accurate and specific feedback. Students self-assess and monitor their progress, and use the data to identify appropriate improvement strategies. School Counselor successfully assesses individual students' needs and differentiates services to address them.		

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
Critical Attributes					
3d	 School Counselor has no plan to engage students in self-assessment. School Counselor uses inappropriate assessment techniques for the student or setting. School Counselor provides feedback that is inaccurate, nonspecific and/or lacks timeliness. School Counselor ignores or does not know how to interpret student assessment data. 	 School Counselor makes only minimal attempts to engage students in self-assessment. School Counselor attempts to use appropriate assessment techniques for the general student population. School Counselor provides feedback that is timely, but is not specific or consistent. School Counselor displays limited ability to interpret student assessment data. 	 School Counselor frequently invites students to engage in self- assessment, and provides appropriate strategies for doing so. School Counselor uses assessment techniques that are differentiated for specific student population groups. School Counselor provides feedback that is specific, timely, and consistent. School Counselor accurately interprets student assessment data and makes program decisions or recommends courses of action based on the data. 	Students assess their own needs/progress using assessment criteria that has been provided. School Counselor skillfully determines and uses assessment techniques that are differentiated for individual students. School Counselor and students discuss high quality feedback that is proactive, pertinent and focused on improvement. School Counselor partners with individual students to interpret assessment data, and discusses program direction and individual action plans with them based on the data.	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
3e: Implementing Responsive Services	School Counselor does not provide counseling sessions for individual students and/or small groups to help them overcome issues that arise.	School Counselor makes an attempt to meet with some individual students and/or small groups in response to emergent student needs and concerns. Sessions are not goal-focused and offer only moderate assistance.	School Counselor holds individual and/or small group counseling sessions to assist students with academic, career, and personal/social issues in response to emergent student needs and concerns.	School Counselor holds individual and/or small group counseling sessions that help students identify problems, causes, alternatives, and possible consequences. Students are guided to make thoughtful decisions and take appropriate actions in response to emergent needs and concerns.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor does not provide responsive services to address students' needs. School Counselor does not adjust priority of tasks with student or school goals in mind. School Counselor is unable adjust a session when students are confused or unresponsive. 	 School Counselor inconsistently provides responsive services to address students' needs. School Counselor attempts to adjust priorities to address student needs. School Counselor is partially successful in adjusting a session when students are confused or unresponsive. 	 School Counselor recognizes change in students' needs and provides responsive services to meet the current, identified needs. School Counselor routinely adjusts priorities to meet the needs of students. School Counselor routinely makes adjustments to a session as needed. 	 School Counselor's anticipates adjustments to services based on deep knowledge of students and current social and environmental events and conditions. School Counselor uses various data sources and input from stakeholders to anticipate the needs of students, and adjusts priorities accordingly. School Counselor seizes a teachable moment to enhance a session. 	

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
4a: Reflecting on Practice	School Counselor's reflection on practice is inaccurate and not based on evidence-based standards. School Counselor has no suggestions for how counseling services could be improved.	School Counselor's reflection on practice is sometimes accurate and objective, but not based on evidence-based standards. Reflection includes some general suggestions for how counseling services might be improved.	School Counselor's reflection on practice is accurate and objective, based on evidence-based standards, and cites both positive and negative characteristics. School Counselor makes specific suggestions for improving practice based on the approved standards.	School Counselor's reflection on practice is thoughtful and accurate, citing specific examples of practices and the reasons for their degree of success. School Counselor draws on an extensive body of evidence-based practices to suggest alternative practice strategies according to the approved standards.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor does not use approved standards or data to reflect on counseling practices. School Counselor makes no suggestions for professional improvement. 	 School Counselor uses approved standards but does not gather data to reflect on counseling practices. School Counselor suggests general modifications for professional improvement. 	 School Counselor uses approved standards and data to guide decision-making and to standardize and reflect on counseling practice. School Counselor draws upon appropriate resources to suggest alternative strategies to refine professional performance. 	 School Counselor uses program assessment data; input from school officials and students; and the approved standards to guide decision-making and reflect on counseling practice. School Counselor uses extensive resources to identify areas for improvement and professional growth opportunities. 	

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
4b: Maintaining Accurate Records and Using Appropriate Data to Guide Practice	School Counselor's reports, records, and documentation are missing, late, or inaccurate, resulting in confusion. School Counselor does not understand the importance of safekeeping and maintenance of student records. School Counselor does not use student data to guide decision-making.	School Counselor's reports, records, and documentation are uneven and occasionally late. School Counselor has a rudimentary understanding of the safekeeping and maintenance of student information. School Counselor makes scant use of student data to guide decision-making.	School Counselor's reports, records, and documentation are accurate and are submitted in a timely manner. School Counselor's practices related to safekeeping and maintenance of student records are consistent with district and national standards. School Counselor uses student data to guide decisionmaking.	School Counselor's practices related to record keeping are highly systematic and efficient. School Counselor engages parents and students in using student data to guide decision-making.	
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor has no system for record keeping. Records are in disarray and provide incorrect or confusing information. School Counselor does not maintain and submit records/reports in a timely manner when requested. School Counselor does not know how to use data to guide students. 	 School Counselor has a process for recording information; however it is out of date, incomplete, or inaccurate. School Counselor inconsistently maintains and submits records/reports. School Counselor has limited knowledge of how to use data to guide students. 	 School Counselor's process for record keeping is efficient and effective. School Counselor consistently maintains and submits records/reports in a timely manner. School Counselor has a clear understanding of how to use data to guide students. 	 School Counselor collaborates with colleagues regarding best practice for record-keeping and shares systems and processes. School Counselor consistently makes records/reports available to stakeholders to support self-advocacy and future planning. School Counselor engages and educates appropriate stakeholders on how to best use data to guide students. 	

		Level of Performance				
	Criterion					
		Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
4c:	Communicating with Families, Staff, and Community	School Counselor provides little, if any, information to families, staff or community about the counseling program as a whole or about individual students. School Counselor does not attempt to engage families in the programs offered by the counseling department. Communications with staff and families violate confidentiality guidelines. Some communications contain socially or culturally inappropriate or offensive references.	School Counselor provides limited though accurate information to families, staff or community about the counseling program as a whole and about individual students. School Counselor attempts to engage families in the programs offered by the counseling department. School Counselor is somewhat familiar with confidentiality guidelines. Communication may not be socially or culturally sensitive.	School Counselor provides thorough and accurate information to families, staff or the community about the counseling program as a whole and about individual students. School Counselor frequently engages families in the programs offered by the counseling department. School Counselor consistently follows confidentiality guidelines. Communications are conveyed in a culturally sensitive manner.	School Counselor is proactive in providing information to families about the counseling program and about individual students through a variety of means. School Counselor engages families in using and contributing to the resources of the counseling department. School Counselor consistently maintains and models confidentiality for all while appropriately communicating student needs with family, staff and community. School Counselor responds to concerns with social and cultural sensitivity.	

Critical Attributes 4c

- School Counselor provides limited or inaccurate
- information regarding the counseling program to stakeholders.
- School Counselor does not seek input from stakeholders regarding the most effective means of communicating.
- School Counselor does not comply with school/district procedures for communicating with families.
- School Counselor doesn't know who should have access to information or what can be disseminated.
- School Counselor does not know about the ASCA National Model, that includes the program's mission and vision.

- School Counselor infrequently provides
- information about the counseling program to stakeholders; parents are randomly informed.
- School Counselor seeks input only from district stakeholders regarding the most effective means of communicating.
- School Counselor complies with school/district procedures for communicating with families but efforts are inconsistent.
- School Counselor has limited knowledge of who should have access to information, or does not communicate helpful confidential information to those with whom it would be appropriate.
- School Counselor knows how to access the ASCA Model website.

- School Counselor regularly makes information about
- the program available and uses more than one means to disseminate information.
- School Counselor seeks input from all stakeholders, including community organizations, regarding the most effective means of communication.
- School Counselor complies with all school/district procedures for communicating with families and successfully engages them at the appropriate times.
- School Counselor is aware of what information is confidential; timing, content, and audience for sharing information is always appropriate.
- School Counselor directs stakeholders to the ASCA National Model.

- School Counselor is innovative in finding
- multiple means to provide information to stakeholders.
- School Counselor is a leader in facilitating a communication network of district and diverse community organizations and seeks input from stakeholders regarding the most effective means of communicating.
- School Counselor complies
 with all school/district
 procedures and initiates
 additional interactions,
 encouraging collaboration
 with all stakeholders. School
 Counselor provides
 appropriate information at
 appropriate times.
- School Counselor serves as the district consultant for the appropriate dissemination of records and educates all stakeholders about confidentiality policies.

	Level of Performance				
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished	
4d: Participating in the Professional Community	School Counselor's interactions with other counselors and/or other colleagues in the school/district are negative. The counselor avoids becoming involved in school or district events or projects. School Counselor does not participate in a professional learning community.	School Counselor interacts with other counselors and/or colleagues to fulfill required duties. The School Counselor participates in school events, district projects, and professional learning communities when specifically asked or invited.	School Counselor's interactions with other counselors and colleagues are characterized by mutual support and collaboration. The counselor volunteers to participate in school events and school/district projects, making a substantial contribution. The School Counselor actively participates in a professional learning community.	School Counselor interactions are characterized by mutual support and collaboration, with the School Counselor taking initiative in assuming leadership among other counselors and colleagues. The School Counselor volunteers to participate in school/district events and projects, making a substantial contribution and assuming a leadership role in at least one aspect of school/district life. The School Counselor takes a leadership role in promoting a professional learning community.	
Critical Attributes	School Counselor's interactions with other counselors and colleagues are characterized by negativity or combativeness. School Counselor purposefully avoids involvement in school/district events and projects. School Counselor avoids contributing to activities promoting professional learning communities.	School Counselor engages in interactions with colleagues to fulfill department mandates, but does not initiate collaborative, professional conversations. When asked, the School Counselor participates in school events and projects. When invited, the School Counselor participates in activities related to professional learning communities.	 School Counselor has supportive, collaborative and professional interactions with other counselors and colleagues. School Counselor frequently volunteers to participate in school events and projects. School Counselor regularly participates in activities related to professional learning communities. 	School Counselor initiates and models collaborative interactions with other counselors, colleagues and organizations. School Counselor contributes to and leads significant school/district and community projects. School Counselor takes a leadership role in promoting activities related to professional learning communities.	

	Level of Performance					
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished		
4e: Growing and Developing Professionally	School Counselor does not participate in individual and/or collaborative professional development activities even when such activities are clearly needed for the development of counseling skills. School Counselor actively avoids professional conversations with colleagues and supervisors. School Counselor does not contribute to the collective knowledge of colleagues or the profession.	School Counselor participates in limited individual and/or collaborative professional development activities. School Counselor engages in limited professional conversations with colleagues and supervisors. School Counselor rarely assists other counselors or contributes to the profession.	School Counselor seeks out opportunities for individual and/or collaborative professional development based on an individual assessment of need. School Counselor actively engages with colleagues and supervisors in professional conversations about practice, including feedback about practice. School Counselor frequently contributes to the collective knowledge of colleagues.	School Counselor actively pursues individual and/or collaborative professional development opportunities based on individual or departmental assessment of need, and makes a substantial contribution to the profession. School Counselor takes a leadership role in organizing opportunities for professional conversation, including feedback about practice. School Counselor initiates important research or activities that contribute to the profession.		
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor is not involved in any activity that might enhance his/her knowledge or skills. School Counselor purposefully resists discussing performance with supervisors or colleagues. School Counselor does not participate in school/district professional development activities and makes no effort to share knowledge. School Counselor does not know the approved standards. 	 School Counselor participates in professional development activities when required by district or for recertification. School Counselor reluctantly accepts feedback from supervisors and colleagues. School Counselor participates in school/district professional development activities when specifically assigned, providing limited opportunities for sharing knowledge. School Counselor is aware of the approved standards but does not make the connection to professional growth. 	 School Counselor participates in individual professional development to enhance knowledge and skills beyond district and recertification requirements. School Counselor welcomes colleague and supervisor input in order to gain insight into improving practice. School Counselor participates actively in a variety of required and optional school/district professional development activities and shares knowledge with colleagues. School Counselor uses the approved standards to guide professional growth. 	 School Counselor provides professional development activities in the district to create opportunities for counselors and/or colleagues to collaboratively enhance knowledge and skills. School Counselor actively seeks feedback from supervisors and colleagues on a regular basis. School Counselor works with school/district professional development leaders to assess professional development needs, and finds or creates activities that promote knowledge sharing that will have a positive impact on students. School Counselor works in conjunction with other District counselors using the approved standards to guide professional growth. 		

	Level of Performance			
Criterion	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
4f: Showing Professionalism	School Counselor displays dishonesty or unprofessional behavior in interactions with colleagues, students, families and the community. School Counselor does not advocate for families or for the students' social, behavioral or academic needs. School Counselor does not comply with school, district, and professional regulations even when directed.	School Counselor is honest in interactions and appropriate in professional actions with colleagues, students, families and the community. School Counselor inconsistently advocates for families' or students' social, behavioral or academic needs. School Counselor requires prompting to comply with school, district, and professional regulations.	School Counselor displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and professional behaviors in interactions with colleagues, students, families and the community. School Counselor consistently advocates for families' or students' social, behavioral or academic needs. School Counselor fully complies with school, district, and professional regulations.	School Counselor models the highest standards of honesty, integrity, and professional behavior in interactions with other colleagues, students, families and community members. School Counselor provides leadership to colleagues in advocating for families' or students' social, behavioral or academic needs. School Counselor takes on a leadership role regarding implementation of school, district, and professional regulations.
Critical Attributes	 School Counselor demonstrates lack of honesty and integrity according to the ASCA Ethical Standards. School Counselor does not exhibit willingness or ability to work collaboratively with other professionals. School Counselor does not notice or advocate for the needs of students and families. School Counselor does not provide opportunities for student success as described in the Annual Agreement. School Counselor willfully rejects district regulations. 	 School Counselor demonstrates honesty and general compliance with the ASCA Ethical Standards. School Counselor is willing to collaborate occasionally with other professionals. School Counselor notices needs of students and families but is inconsistent in advocating for their needs. School Counselor is inconsistent in providing opportunities for student success as described in the Annual Agreement. School Counselor complies with district regulations only when prompted. 	 School Counselor is honest and is known for having high standards of integrity according to the ASCA Ethical Standards. School Counselor seeks opportunities to participate in ongoing collaboration with other professionals. School Counselor actively and consistently advocates for student and family needs. School Counselor consistently provides opportunities for student success as described in the Annual Agreement. School Counselor continually assesses planned actions to insure compliance with district regulations. 	 School Counselor provides opportunities for other counselors and staff to engage in professional conversations to ensure shared understanding of honesty and integrity according to the ASCA Ethical Standards. School Counselor exhibits skill and leadership in professional collaboration. School Counselor takes a leadership role in the school, district and community, proactively advocating for the needs of students and families. School Counselor makes differentiated efforts to ensure opportunities are available for individual students to be successful as described in the Annual Agreement. School Counselor takes a leadership role in complying with and training colleagues on district regulations.

Litchfield School District: School Nurse Evaluation Criteria

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

CRITERION 1a: DEMONSTRATING MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN NURSING TECHNIQUES				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse demonstrates little	Nurse demonstrates basic	Nurse demonstrates a working	Nurse demonstrates deep and	
medical knowledge and nursing	medical knowledge and nursing	knowledge of medical	thorough understanding of	
techniques.	techniques.	information and nursing	medical knowledge and nursing	
		techniques.	techniques.	
CRITERION 1b: DEMONSTRATING	KNOWLEDGE OF CHILD AND ADOLESCE	NT DEVELOPMENT		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse displays little or no	Nurse displays partial knowledge	Nurse displays accurate	Nurse displays accurate	
knowledge of child and	of child and adolescent	understanding of the typical	knowledge of the typical	
adolescent development.	development.	developmental characteristics of	developmental characteristics of	
		the age group, as well as	the age group and exceptions to	
		exceptions to the general	the general patterns; nurse	
		patterns.	displays knowledge of the extent	
		Passessia	to which individual students	
			follow the general patterns.	
	GOALS FOR THE NURSING PROG	RAM APPROPRIATE TO THE SETT	TING AND THE STUDENTS	
SERVED Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse has no clear goals for the	Nurse's goals for the nursing	Nurse's goals for the nursing	Nurse's goals for the nursing	
nursing program, or they are	program are rudimentary and are	program are clear and	program are highly appropriate	
inappropriate to either the	partially suitable to the situation	appropriate to the situation in	to the situation in the school and	
situation or the age of the	and the age of the students.	the school and to the age of the	to the age of the students and	
	and the age of the students.		have been developed following	
students.		students.	consultations with students,	
			parents, and colleagues.	
CRITERION 1d: DEMONSTRATING	KNOWLEDGE OF GOVERNMENT, COMN	MUNITY AND DISTRICT REGULATIONS A	· · · · · ·	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse demonstrates little or no	Nurse displays awareness of	Nurse displays awareness of	Nurse's knowledge of	
knowledge of governmental	governmental regulations and	governmental regulations and	governmental regulations and	
regulations and resources for	resources for students available	resources for students available	resources for students is	
students available through the	through the school or district,	through the school or district and	extensive, including those	
school or district.	but no knowledge of resources	some familiarity with resources	available through the school or	
	available more broadly.	external to the school.	district and in the community.	

Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nursing program consists of a random collection of unrelated	Nurse's plan has a guiding principle and includes a number	Nurse has developed a plan that includes the important aspects of	Nurse's plan is highly coherent and serves to support not only
activities, lacking coherence or an overall structure.	of worthwhile activities, but some of them don't fit with the broader goals.	work in the setting.	the students individually and in groups, but also the broader educational program.
CRITERION 1f: DEVELOPING A PLA	AN TO EVALUATE THE SCHOOL NURSING	PROGRAM	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse has no plan to evaluate the program or resists suggestions that such an evaluation is important.	Nurse has a rudimentary plan to evaluate the nursing program.	Nurse's plan to evaluate the program is organized around clear goals and the collection of evidence to indicate the degree to which the goals have been met.	Nurse's evaluation plan is highly sophisticated, with imaginative sources of evidence and a clear path toward improving the program on an ongoing basis.

DOMAIN 2: THE ENVIRONMENT

CRITERION 2a: CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT OF RESPECT RAPPORT				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse's interactions with at least	Nurse's interactions with	Nurse's interactions with	Students seek out the nurse,	
some students are negative or	students are a mix of positive	students are positive and	reflecting a high degree of comfort	
inappropriate.	and negative.	respectful.	and trust in the relationship.	
CRITERION 2b: ESTABLISHING A CO	JLTURE FOR HEALTH AND WELLNESS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse makes no attempt to	Nurse's attempts to promote a	Nurse promotes a culture	The culture in the school for health	
establish a culture for health and	culture throughout the school for	throughout the school for health	and wellness, while guided by the	
wellness in the school as a whole,	health and wellness are partially	and wellness.	nurse, is maintained by both teachers and students.	
or among students or among	successful.		teachers and students.	
teachers.				

CRITERION 2c: FOLLOWING HEALTH PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse's procedures for the	Nurse has rudimentary and	Nurse's procedures for the	Nurse's procedures for the nursing
nursing office are nonexistent or	partially successful procedures	nursing office work effectively.	office are seamless, anticipating
in disarray.	for the nursing office.		unexpected situations.
CRITERION 2d: SUPERVISING HEA	LTH ASSOCIATES *		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
No guidelines for delegated duties	Nurse's efforts to establish	Nurse has established guidelines for	Associates work independently,
have been established, or the	guidelines for delegated duties are	delegated duties and monitors	indicating clear guidelines for their
guidelines are unclear. Nurse does	partially successful. Nurse monitors	associates' activities.	work. Nurse's supervision is subtle
not monitor associates' activities.	associates' activities sporadically.		and professional.
CRITERION 2E: ORGANIZING PHYS	ICAL SPACE		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse's office is in disarray or is	Nurse's attempts to create a	Nurse's office is well organized	Nurse's office is efficiently organized
inappropriate to the planned	well-organized physical	and is appropriate to the planned	and is highly appropriate to the
activities. Medications are not	environment are partially	activities. Medications are	planned activities. Medications are
properly stored.	successful. Medications are	properly stored and well	properly stored and well organized.
property stored.		' ' '	
	stored properly but are difficult	organized.	
	to find.		

DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE

CRITERION 3a: ASSESSING STUDENT NEEDS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse does not assess student needs, or the assessments result in inaccurate conclusions.	Nurse's assessments of student needs are perfunctory.	Nurse assesses student needs and knows the range of student needs in the school.	Nurse conducts detailed and individualized assessment of student needs to contribute to program planning.	
CRITERION 3b: ADMINISTERING M	IEDICATION TO STUDENTS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Medications are administered with no regard to state or district policies.	Medications are administered by designated individuals, but signed release forms are not conveniently stored	Medications are administered by designated individuals, and signed release forms are conveniently stored and available when needed.	Nurse ensures medication administered by designated individuals, and signed release forms are conveniently stored. Students take an active role in medication compliance.	

CRITERION 3C: PROMOTING WELLNESS THROUGH CLASSES OR CLASSROOM VISITS*			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse's work with students fails	Nurse's efforts to promote	Nurse's classroom presentations	Nurse's classroom presentations
to promote wellness	wellness through classroom	result in students acquiring the	for wellness are effective, and
	presentations are partially	knowledge and attitudes that help	encourages students to assume an
	effective.	them adopt a healthy lifestyle.	active role in the school in
COUTEDION OD MANAGUNG SMEDGE	NOV CITUATIONS		promoting a healthy lifestyle.
CRITERION 3D: MANAGING EMERGE			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse has no contingency plans	Nurse's plans for emergency	Nurse's plans for emergency	The master nurse's plans for
for emergency situations.	situations have been developed	situations have been developed	emergency situations have been developed for many situations.
	for the most frequently occurring	for many situations.	Students and teachers have learned
	situations but not others.		their responsibilities in case of
			emergencies.
CRITERION 3e: DEMONSTRATING FLE	XIBILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse adheres to the plan or	Nurse makes modest changes in	Nurse makes revisions in the	Nurse is continually seeking ways
program, in spite of evidence of	the nursing program when	nursing program when they are	to improve the nursing program
its inadequacy.	confronted with evidence of the	needed.	and makes changes as needed in
	need for change.		response to student, parent, or
	3		teacher input.
	H TEACHERS TO DEVELOP SPECIALIZED I	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND SERVIC	ES FOR STUDENTS WITH DIVERSE
MEDICAL NEEDS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse declines to collaborate	Nurse collaborates with	Nurse initiates collaboration with	Nurse initiates collaboration with
with classroom teachers to	classroom teachers in developing	classroom teachers in developing	classroom teachers in developing
develop specialized educational	instructional lessons and units	instructional lessons and units.	instructional lessons and units,
programs.	when specifically asked to do so.		locating additional resources
	, ,		from outside the school.

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

CRITERION 4a: REFLECTING ON PRACTICE				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse does not reflect on	Nurse's reflection on practice is	Nurse's reflection provides an	Nurse's reflection is highly	
practice, or the reflections are	moderately accurate and	accurate and objective	accurate and perceptive, citing	
inaccurate or self-serving.	objective without citing specific	description of practice, citing	specific examples. Nurse draws	
	examples and with only global	specific positive and negative	on an extensive repertoire to	
There is little or no evidence that	suggestions as to how it might be	characteristics. Nurse makes	suggest alternative strategies.	
professional learning has	improved.	some specific suggestions as to		
improved student performance.		how the nursing program might	There is evidence that	
	There is limited evidence that	be improved.	professional learning has had	
	professional learning has	·	significant impact on student	
	improved student performance.	There is evidence that	performance.	
		professional learning has		
		improved student performance.		
CRITERION 4b: MAINTAINING HEALTI	H RECORDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH POL	ICY AND SUBMITTING REPORTS IN A TI	MELY FASHION	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse's reports, records, and	Nurse's reports, records, and	Nurse's reports, records, and	Nurse's approach to record	
documentation are missing, late,	documentation are generally	documentation are accurate and	keeping is highly systematic and	
or inaccurate, resulting in	accurate, but are occasionally	are submitted in a timely	efficient and serves as a model	
confusion.	late.	manner.	for colleagues across the school.	
CRITERION 4c: COMMUNICATING	G WITH FAMILIES			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Nurse provides no information to	Nurse provides limited though	Nurse provides thorough and	Nurse is proactive in providing	
families, either about the nursing	accurate information to families	accurate information to families	information to families about the	
program as a whole or about	about the nursing program as a	about the nursing program as a	nursing program and about	
individual students; relationships	whole and about individual	whole and about individual	individual students through a	
with families are frequently	students; maintains cordial	students; maintains positive and	variety of means; maintains	
negative.	relationships with families.	productive relationships with	excellent rapport with families.	
		families.		

CRITERION 4d: PARTICIPATING IN A PROFESSIONAL COMMUNITY			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse's relationships with	Nurse's relationships with	Nurse participates actively in	Nurse makes a substantial
colleagues are negative or self-	colleagues are cordial, and nurse	school and district events and	contribution to school and
serving, and nurse avoids being	participates in school and district	projects and maintains positive	district events and projects and
involved in school and district	events and projects when	and productive relationships with	assumes leadership role with
events and projects.	specifically requested	colleagues.	colleagues.
	to do so.		
CRITERION 4e: ENGAGING IN PROFES	SIONAL DEVELOPMENT		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse does not participate in	Nurse's participation in	Nurse takes initiative for	Nurse actively pursues
professional development	professional development	professional development based	professional development
activities, even when such	activities is limited to those that	on an individual assessment of	opportunities; makes a
activities are clearly needed for	are convenient or are required.	need.	substantial contribution to the
the development of nursing			profession through such activities
skills.			as offering workshops to
CRITERION 45. CHOMING PROFESSION	NALICAA		colleagues.
CRITERION 4f: SHOWING PROFESSION Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Nurse displays dishonesty in	Nurse is honest in interactions	Nurse displays high standards of	Nurse can be counted on to hold
		, , -	the highest standards of honesty,
interactions with colleagues,	with colleagues, students, and	honesty, integrity, and	integrity, and confidentiality and
students, and the public; violates	the public;	confidentiality in interactions	to advocate for students, taking a
principles of confidentiality.	does not violate confidentiality.	with colleagues, students, and	leadership role with colleagues.
		the public; advocates for	leadership role with colleagues.
		students when needed.	

Litchfield School District: Therapeutic Specialist Evaluation Criteria

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION

CRITERION 1a: DEMONSTRATING KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN THE SPECIALIST THERAPY; HOLDING THE RELEVANT CERTIFICATE OR LICENSE			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Specialist demonstrates little or no knowledge and skill in the therapy area; does not hold the necessary certificate or license. CRITERION 1b: ESTABLISHING SERVED Level 1: Unsatisfactory Specialist has no clear goals for the individual student's' plans, or they are inappropriate to either the situation or the age of the students.	Specialist demonstrates basic knowledge and skill in the therapy area; holds the necessary certificate or license. GOALS FOR THE THERAPY PROCES Level 2: Basic Specialist's goals for the individual students' plans are rudimentary and are partially suitable to the situation and to the age of the students.	Specialist demonstrates thorough knowledge and skill in the therapy area; holds the necessary certificate or license. GRAM APPROPRIATE TO THE SET Level 3: Proficient Specialist's goals for the individual students' plans are clear and appropriate to the situation in the school and to the age of the students.	Level 4: Distinguished Specialist's goals for the individual students' plans are highly appropriate to the situation in the school and to the age of the students and have
CRITERION 1c: DEMONSTRATION		TATE, AND FEDERAL REGULATIO	been developed following consultations with administrators and teachers. DNS AND GUIDELINES
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Specialist demonstrates little or no knowledge of special education laws and procedures.	Specialist demonstrates basic knowledge of special education laws and procedures.	Specialist demonstrates thorough knowledge of special education laws and procedures.	Specialist's knowledge of special education laws and procedures is extensive. Specialist takes a leadership role in reviewing and revising district policies.
		THIN AND BEYOND THE SCHOOL AND D	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Specialist demonstrates little or no knowledge of resources for students available through the school or district.	Specialist demonstrates basic knowledge of resources for students available through the school or district.	Specialist demonstrates thorough knowledge of resources for students available through the school or district and some familiarity with resources	Specialist demonstrates extensive knowledge of resources for students available through the school or district and in the larger community.
		outside the district.	

CRITERION 1e: PLANNING THE T	HERAPY PROGRAM, INTEGRATED W	VITH THE OVERALL SCHOOL PROGRA	M, TO MEET THE NEEDS OF
INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Individual and/or group therapy	Specialist's plan has a guiding	Specialist has developed a plan	Specialist's plans for individual
consists of a random collection of	principle and includes a number	that includes the important	and group therapy are highly
unrelated activities, lacking	of worthwhile activities, but	aspects of work in the related	coherent and preventive and
coherence or an overall	some of them don't fit with the	setting.	serves to support students
structure.	broader goals.		individually, within the broader
			educational program.
CRITERION 1f: DEVELOPING A PLA	N TO EVALUATE THE THERAPY PROGRA	AM	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Specialist has no plan to evaluate	Specialist has a rudimentary plan	Specialist's plan to evaluate the	Specialist's evaluation plan is
the program or resists	to evaluate the therapy program	program is organized around	highly sophisticated, with
suggestions that such an	as it relates to student progress	clear goals for individual and	imaginative sources of evidence
evaluation is important.	in the related service	group activities and the	and a clear path toward
	component.	collection of evidence to indicate	improving the program on an
		the degree to which the goals	ongoing basis.
		have been met.	

DOMAIN 2: THE ENVIRONMENT

CRITERION 2a: ESTABLISHING RAI	PPORT WITH STUDENTS		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Specialist's interactions with	Specialist's interactions are a mix	Specialist's interactions with	Students seek out the specialist,
students are negative or	of positive and negative; the	students are positive and	reflecting a high degree of
inappropriate; students appear	specialist's efforts at developing	respectful; students appear	comfort and trust in the
uncomfortable in the testing and	rapport are partially successful.	comfortable in the testing and	relationship.
treatment location.		treatment location.	
CRITERION 2b: ORGANIZING TIME	EFFECTIVELY		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
Specialist exercises poor	Specialist's time-management	Specialist exercises good	Specialist demonstrates excellent
judgment in setting priorities,	skills are moderately well	judgment in setting priorities,	time-management skills,
resulting in confusion, missed	developed; essential activities	resulting in clear schedules and	accomplishing all tasks in a
deadlines, and conflicting	are carried out, but not always in	important work being	seamless manner; teachers and
schedules.	the most efficient manner.	accomplished in an efficient	students understand the
		manner.	schedule for related services.
CRITERION 2c: ESTABLISHING AND	MAINTAINING CLEAR PROCEDURES FO	OR REFERRALS	
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
No procedures for referrals have	Specialist has established	Procedures for referrals and for	Procedures for all aspects of referral
been established; when teachers	procedures for referrals, but the	meetings and consultations with	and testing protocols are clear to
want to refer a student for special	details are not always clear.	parents and administrators are clear	everyone and have been developed
services they are unsure how to do		to everyone.	in consultation with teachers and
it.	NDARRO OF CONDUCT IN THE TREATMA	FAIT CENTER	administrators.
	NDARDS OF CONDUCT IN THE TREATM	Level 3: Proficient	Loyal 4: Distinguished
Level 1: Unsatisfactory No standards of conduct have	Level 2: Basic	Standards of conduct have been	Level 4: Distinguished Standards of conduct have been
	Standards of conduct appear to		
been established, and specialist	have been established for the	established for the testing and	established for the testing and
disregards or fails to address	testing and therapy sessions.	therapy sessions. Specialist	therapy sessions. The specialist's
negative student behavior during	Specialist's attempts to monitor	monitors student behavior	monitoring of students is subtle
evaluation or therapy sessions.	and correct negative student	against those standards;	and preventive, and students
	behavior during evaluation and	response to students is	engage in self-monitoring of
	therapy are partially successful.	appropriate and respectful.	behavior.

CRITERION 2e: ORGANIZING PHYSICAL SPACE FOR TESTING OF STUDENTS AND PROVIDING THERAPY			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished
The testing and therapy location	The testing and therapy location	The testing and therapy location	The testing and therapy location
is disorganized and poorly suited	is moderately well organized and	is well organized; materials are	is highly organized and is inviting
to working with students.	moderately well suited to	available when needed.	to students. Materials are
Materials are usually available.	working with students. Materials		convenient when needed.
	are difficult to find when needed.		

DOMAIN 3: DELIVERY OF SERVICE

CRITERION 3a: RESPONDING TO REFERRALS AND EVALUATING STUDENT NEEDS					
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Specialist fails to respond to	Specialist responds to referrals in	Specialist responds to referrals in	Specialist is proactive in		
referrals in accordance with state	accordance with state and	accordance with state and	responding to referrals in		
and federal law or makes hasty	federal law when pressed and	federal law and makes thorough	accordance with state and		
assessments of student needs.	makes adequate assessments of	assessments of student needs.	federal law and makes highly		
	student needs.		competent assessments of		
			student needs.		
CRITERION 3b: DEVELOPING AN	D IMPLEMENTING TREATMENT PLAI	NS TO MAXIMIZE STUDENTS' SUCCES	SS		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Specialist fails to develop goals	Specialist's goals and objectives	Specialist's goals and objectives	Specialist develops		
and objectives suitable for	for students are partially suitable	for students are suitable for	comprehensive goals and		
students, or plans are	for them or sporadically aligned	them and are aligned with	objectives for students, finding		
mismatched with the findings of	with identified needs.	identified needs.	ways to creatively meet student		
assessments.			needs.		
	There is little to no evidence that	There is evidence that			
There is evidence that	professional learning improved	professional learning improved	There is evidence that		
professional learning improved	student learning.	student learning.	professional learning significantly		
student learning.			improved student learning.		
	CRITERION 3c: COMMUNICATING WITH FAMILIES				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Specialist fails to communicate	Specialist's communication with	Specialist communicates with	Specialist secures necessary		
with families and secure	families is partially successful;	families and secures necessary	permissions and communicates		
necessary permission for	permissions are obtained, but	permission for evaluations, doing	with families in a manner highly		
evaluations or communicates in	there are occasional	so in a manner sensitive to	sensitive to cultural and linguistic		
an insensitive manner.	insensitivities to cultural and	cultural and linguistic traditions.	traditions. Specialist reaches out		
	linguistic traditions.		to families of students to		
			enhance trust.		

CRITERION 3d: COLLECTING INFORMATION; WRITING REPORTS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Specialist neglects to collect	Specialist collects most of the	Specialist collects all the	Specialist is proactive in	
important information on which	important information on which	important information on which	collecting important information,	
to base students' goals and	to base students' goals and	to base students' goals and	interviewing teachers and	
objectives; reports are inaccurate	objectives; reports are accurate	objectives; reports are accurate,	parents if necessary; reports are	
or not appropriate to the	but lacking in clarity and not	professional and appropriate to	accurate and professionally	
audience.	always appropriate to the	the audience.	written and are tailored for the	
	audience.		audience.	
CRITERION 3e: DEMONSTRATING FLEXIBILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Specialist adheres to the	Specialist makes modest changes	Specialist makes revisions in the	Specialist is continually seeking	
students' plans or program, in	in the students' plans when	students' plans when they are	ways to improve the students'	
spite of evidence of its	confronted with evidence of the	needed.	plans and makes changes as	
inadequacy.	need for change.		needed based upon students'	
			needs, evaluations, or IEP team	
			input.	

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

CRITERION 4a: REFLECTING ON PRACTICE					
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Specialist does not reflect on	Specialist's reflection on practice	Specialist's reflection provides an	Specialist's reflection is highly		
practice, or the reflections are	is moderately accurate and	accurate and objective	accurate and perceptive, citing		
inaccurate or self-serving.	objective without citing specific	description of practice, citing	specific examples that were not		
	examples, and with only global	specific positive and negative	fully successful for at least some		
	suggestions as to how it might be	characteristics. Specialist makes	students. Specialist draws on an		
	improved.	some specific suggestions as to	extensive repertoire to suggest		
		how the therapy program might	alternative strategies.		
		be improved.			
CRITERION 4b: COLLABORATING	CRITERION 4b: COLLABORATING WITH TEACHERS AND ADMINISTRATORS				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Specialist is not available to staff	Specialist is available to staff for	Specialist initiates contact with	Specialist seeks out teachers and		
for questions and planning and	questions and planning and	teachers and administrators to	administrators to confer		
declines to provide background	provides background material	confer regarding individual cases.	regarding cases, soliciting their		
material when requested.	when requested.		perspectives on individual		
			students.		
CRITERION 4c: MAINTAINING AN E	FFECTIVE DATA-MANAGEMENT SYSTEM				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished		
Specialist's data-management	Specialist has developed a	Specialist has developed an	Specialist has developed a highly		
system is either nonexistent or in	rudimentary data-management	effective data-management	effective data-management		
disarray; it cannot be used to	system for monitoring student	system for monitoring student	system for monitoring student		
monitor student progress or to	progress and occasionally uses it	progress and uses it to adjust	progress and uses it to adjust		
adjust goals and objectives when	to adjust goals and objectives	goals and objectives when	goals and objectives when		
needed.	when needed.	needed.	needed. Specialist uses the		
			system to communicate with		
			teachers and parents.		

CRITERION 4d: PARTICIPATING IN A PROFESSIONAL COMMUNITY				
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Specialist's relationships with	Specialist's relationships with	Specialist participates actively in	Specialist makes a substantial	
colleagues are negative or self-	colleagues are cordial, and	school and district events and	contribution to school and	
serving, and specialist avoids	specialist participates in school	projects and maintains positive	district events and projects and	
being involved in school and	and district events and projects	and productive relationships with	assumes a leadership role with	
district events and projects.	when specifically asked to do so.	colleagues.	colleagues.	
CRITERION 4e: ENGAGING IN PRO	FESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Specialist does not participate in	Specialist's participation in	Specialist seeks out opportunities	Specialist actively pursues	
professional development	professional development	for professional development	professional development	
activities, even when such	activities is limited to those that	based on an individual	opportunities and makes a	
activities are clearly needed for	are convenient or are required.	assessment of need.	substantial contribution to the	
the development of skills.			profession through such activities	
			as offering workshops to	
			colleagues.	
	IONALISM, INCLUDING INTEGRITY, AD	VOCACY, AND MAINTAINING CONFIDEN		
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Specialist displays dishonesty in	Specialist is honest in	Specialist displays high standards	Specialist can be counted on to	
interactions with colleagues,	interactions with colleagues,	of honesty, integrity, and	hold the highest standards of	
students, and the public and	students, and the public, plays a	confidentiality in interactions	honesty, integrity, and	
violates principles of	moderate advocacy role for	with colleagues, students, and	confidentiality and to advocate	
confidentiality.	students, and does not violate	the public and advocates for	for students, taking a leadership	
	norms of confidentiality.	students when needed.	role with colleagues.	
CRITERION 4g: SUPERVISING THE	RAPEUTIC ASSISTANTS			
Level 1: Unsatisfactory	Level 2: Basic	Level 3: Proficient	Level 4: Distinguished	
Ineffectively observes and	Occasionally observes and	Consistently observes and	Consistently promotes	
monitors work of therapeutic	monitors work of therapeutic	monitors work of therapeutic	development of treatment and	
assistants. Provides little or no	assistants. Provides minimal	assistants. Works collaboratively	documentation skills among	
feedback for the purposes of	feedback to administration for	with administrators to provide	assistant. Provides guidance in	
supervision. Provides little or no	supervision. Provides limited	supervision to assistants.	selecting PD opportunities.	
training to therapeutic assistants.	training to therapeutic and may	Provides training related to	Gathers documentation from all	
	not be well aligned to needs.	therapeutic techniques as	stakeholders for evaluation	
		appropriate.	process. Provides training	
			related to therapeutic techniques	
			as appropriate.	